

COMP90038 Algorithms and Complexity

Tutorial 5 Decrease and Conquer

Tutor: Chuang(Frank) Wang





1. Review



Insertion Sort (Complexity - Quadratic)

```
function InsertionSort(A[\cdot], n)
for i \leftarrow 1 to n-1 do
v \leftarrow A[i]
j \leftarrow i-1
while j \geq 0 and v < A[j] do
A[j+1] \leftarrow A[j]
j \leftarrow j-1
A[j+1] \leftarrow v
```

By a Constant

the size of an instance is reduced by the same constant on each iteration of the algorithm

Binary Search(Complexity - Logarithmic)

```
function BINSEARCH(A[\cdot], n, k)

lo \leftarrow 0

hi \leftarrow n - 1

while lo \leq hi do

m \leftarrow \lfloor (lo + hi)/2 \rfloor

if A[m] = k then

return m

if A[m] > k then

hi \leftarrow m - 1

else

lo \leftarrow m + 1


return -1
```

Decrease and Conquer

By a constant factor

a problem instance by the same constant factor on each iteration of the algorithm

QuickSelect




By a Factor

By a variable factor

the size reduction pattern varies from one iteration of the algorithm to another

Interpolation Search







2. Tutorial Questions




33. Write an algorithm to classify all edges of an undirected graph, so that depth-first tree edges can be distinguished from back edges.

Tree edge & Back edge







- Whenever a new unvisited vertex is reached for the first time, it is attached as a child to the vertex from which it is being reached. Such an edge is called *a* tree edge.
- The algorithm may also encounter an edge leading to a previously visited vertex other than its immediate predecessor (i.e., its parent in the tree). Such an edge is called a *back edge* because it connects a vertex to its ancestor, other than the parent, in the depth-first search forest.

```
function ClassifyEdges(\langle V, E \rangle)
mark each node in V with 0
for each v in V do

if v is marked 0 then

Description:

Description:
```

```
function DfsExplore(v)

mark v with 1

for each edge (v, w) do

if w is marked with 0 then

classify (v, w) (and thereby (w, v)) as "tree edge"

DfsExplore(w)

else


if (v, w) (and thereby (w, v)) is not a "tree edge" then


classify (v, w) (and thereby (w, v)) as "back edge"
```




34. Show how the algorithm from the previous question can be utilised to decide whether an undirected graph is cyclic.

Solution:








```
v = a  w = b
v = b  w = a
v = b  w = d
v = d  w = a
```

```
function Cyclic (\langle V, E \rangle)
   mark each node in V with 0
   for each v in V do
      if v is marked 0 then
         if DFSEXPLORE(v) then
            return True
   return False
function DfsExplore(v)
   \max v with 1
   for each edge (v, w) do
      if w is marked with 0 then
          if DFSEXPLORE(w) then
             return True
      else
          if (v, w) is a back edge then
             return True
   return False
```




35. Explain how one could also use breadth-first search to see whether an undirected graph is cyclic. Which of the two traversals, depth-first and breadth-first, will be able to find cycles faster? (If there is no clear winner, give an example where one is better, and another example where the other is better.)




A graph has a cycle iff its breadth-first forest contains a cross edge.

- Whenever a new unvisited vertex is reached for the first time, the vertex is attached as a child to the vertex it is being reached from with an edge called a **tree edge**.
- If an edge leading to a previously visited vertex other than its immediate predecessor (i.e., its parent in the tree) is encountered, the edge is noted as a **cross edge**.



<i>a</i>	—— b	a
		b
		b
		C
\dot{d}	$\stackrel{\backprime}{c}$	


DFS:	BFS:
a - b	a - b
b - a: tree edge	a - c
b - c	a - d
c - a: back edge	b - a: tree edge
	b - c: cross edge



BFS:	DFS:
a - b	a - b
a - d	b - a: tree edge
b - a: tree edge	b - c
b - c	c - b: tree edge
b - d: cross edge	b - d
	d - a: back edge



Solution:



$$v = a \quad u = b$$

$$v = b$$
 $u = c$

$$v = b \quad u = d$$

$$v = d$$
 $u = f$

$$v = f \quad u = a$$

36. Design an algorithm to check whether an undirected graph is 2-colourable, that is, whether its nodes can be coloured with just two colours in such a way that no edge connects two nodes of the same colour. Hint: Adapt one of the graph traversal algorithms.

```
function IsTwoColourable(G)
   let \langle V, E \rangle = G
   for each v in V do
       colour[v] \leftarrow 0
   for each v in V do
      if colour[v] = 0 then
          if DFS(v, 1) = False then
              return False
   return True
function DFS(v, currentColour)
   colour[v] \leftarrow currentColour
   for each node u in V adjacent to v do
       if colour[u] = currentColour then
          return False
       if colour[u] = 0 then
          if DFS(u, 3 - currentColour) = False then
              return False
   return True
```



Topological Sorting Algorithm 1

We can solve the top-sort problem with depth-first search:

- Perform DFS and note the order in which nodes are popped off the stack.
- List the nodes in the reverse of that order.

This works because of the stack discipline.

If (u, v) is an edge then it is possible (for some way of deciding ties) to arrive at a DFS stack with u sitting below v.

Taking the "reverse popping order" ensures that u is listed before v.

a

$$b \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$$


C

$$d \rightarrow a \rightarrow e \rightarrow f$$

$$e \rightarrow a \rightarrow c \rightarrow s$$

f

37. Apply the DFS-based topsort algorithm to linearize the following graph:



Topological Sorting Algorithm 2

An alternative method would be to repeatedly select a random source in the graph (that is, a node with no incoming edges), list it, and remove it from the graph.

This is a very natural approach, but it has the drawback that we repeatedly need to scan the graph for a source.

However, it exemplifies the general principle of decrease-and-conquer.



Next Week:

Divide and conquer

Thank you!





COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

Copyright Regulations 1969

Warning

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of the University of Melbourne pursuant to Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (the Act).

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further copying or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act.

Do not remove this notice