

⌘ What is the left column? R. Seth: This is the redaction of the words of the rabbis and sages, who interpret the center text. R. Alter: The rabbis never agree on anything. I think that the left column is the “oral tradition”,

given to us in parallel with the primary text. So there! When you see the following special symbol, it’s time to make a decision. ➤ *Inscribe*: **The center column is primary. or The left column is the oral center column.** ⌘ R. Alter: And another thing! Sometimes we have a lot to argue about, and we end up citing verses, as it is written: “Blah blah blah thus saith Asherah our queen.”

R. Seth: I’m just going to fill up a lot of space now by citing another text: “Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce faucibus enim semper tincidunt varius. Nam et mi lacus. Sed commodo leo consectetur, imperdiet neque eget, aliquet felis. Etiam elit nulla, malesuada vitae dolor eget, tincidunt pharetra tellus a. Nunc eget convallis nunc, in faucibus ante. Sed dictum varius neque commodo hendrerit. Sed maximus ligula nunc, et tristique sapien faucibus et. Donec dictum turpis ac odio rhoncus consequat. Pellentesque metus nisi, sodales ut vestibulum vitae, convallis eget purus. Nulla lectus lacus, dapibus at molestie mattis, placerat non purus. Sed ac pharetra neque. Sed accumsan libero non sollicitudin faucibus. Integer a urna tortor. Proin quis volutpat turpis. Cras consectetur quam mauris, vitae feugiat ipsum sollicitudin at. Duis non imperdiet diam. Suspendisse laoreet venenatis metus sed porta. Nullam vel leo turpis. Vivamus id lacinia turpis, lacinia mattis libero. Proin fermentum, quam a rhoncus ornare, leo turpis facilisis leo, sit amet consectetur purus nulla non leo. Ut volutpat, nunc eu viverra dictum, sapien quam porta tellus, vel viverra diam erat at mi. Maecenas pellentesque mattis nibh in consequat. Donec mollis, ex a ullamcorper consectetur, diam leo aliquet leo, eu interdum tortor velit in magna.” Does that clarify anything for you? ➤ *Inscribe*: **R. Seth helped me understand. or That last proof-text was gibberish.**

R. Alter: And another thing! Sometimes we have a lot to argue about, and we end up citing verses, as it is written: “Blah blah blah thus saith Asherah our queen.”

R. Seth: I’m just going to fill up a lot of space now by citing another text: “Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce faucibus enim semper tincidunt varius. Nam et mi lacus. Sed commodo leo consectetur, imperdiet neque eget, aliquet felis. Etiam elit nulla, malesuada vitae dolor eget, tincidunt pharetra tellus a. Nunc eget convallis nunc, in faucibus ante. Sed dictum varius neque commodo hendrerit. Sed maximus ligula nunc, et tristique sapien faucibus et. Donec dictum turpis ac odio rhoncus consequat. Pellentesque metus nisi, sodales ut vestibulum vitae, convallis eget purus. Nulla lectus lacus, dapibus at molestie mattis, placerat non purus. Sed ac pharetra neque. Sed accumsan libero non sollicitudin faucibus. Integer a urna tortor. Proin quis volutpat turpis. Cras consectetur quam mauris, vitae feugiat ipsum sollicitudin at. Duis non imperdiet diam. Suspendisse laoreet venenatis metus sed porta. Nullam vel leo turpis. Vivamus id lacinia turpis, lacinia mattis libero. Proin fermentum, quam a rhoncus ornare, leo turpis facilisis leo, sit amet consectetur purus nulla non leo. Ut volutpat, nunc eu viverra dictum, sapien quam porta tellus, vel viverra diam erat at mi. Maecenas pellentesque mattis nibh in consequat. Donec mollis, ex a ullamcorper consectetur, diam leo aliquet leo, eu interdum tortor velit in magna.” Does that clarify anything for you? ➤ *Inscribe*: **R. Seth helped me understand. or That last proof-text was gibberish.**

R. Alter: And another thing! Sometimes we have a lot to argue about, and we end up citing verses, as it is written: “Blah blah blah thus saith Asherah our queen.”

R. Seth: I’m just going to fill up a lot of space now by citing another text: “Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Fusce faucibus enim semper tincidunt varius. Nam et mi lacus. Sed commodo leo consectetur, imperdiet neque eget, aliquet felis. Etiam elit nulla, malesuada vitae dolor eget, tincidunt pharetra tellus a. Nunc eget convallis nunc, in faucibus ante. Sed dictum varius neque commodo hendrerit. Sed maximus ligula nunc, et tristique sapien faucibus et. Donec dictum turpis ac odio rhoncus consequat. Pellentesque metus nisi, sodales ut vestibulum vitae, convallis eget purus. Nulla lectus lacus, dapibus at molestie mattis, placerat non purus. Sed ac pharetra neque. Sed accumsan libero non sollicitudin faucibus. Integer a urna tortor. Proin quis volutpat turpis. Cras consectetur quam mauris, vitae feugiat ipsum sollicitudin at. Duis non imperdiet diam. Suspendisse laoreet venenatis metus sed porta. Nullam vel leo turpis. Vivamus id lacinia turpis, lacinia mattis libero. Proin fermentum, quam a rhoncus ornare, leo turpis facilisis leo, sit amet consectetur purus nulla non leo. Ut volutpat, nunc eu viverra dictum, sapien quam porta tellus, vel viverra diam erat at mi. Maecenas pellentesque mattis nibh in consequat. Donec mollis, ex a ullamcorper consectetur, diam leo aliquet leo, eu interdum tortor velit in magna.” Does that clarify anything for you? ➤ *Inscribe*: **R. Seth helped me understand. or That last proof-text was gibberish.**

⌘ **If The center column is primary:** This column is an interpretation of the center column based on previous interpretations you made having read the left column of rabbinical commentary. commentary. In this manner, you can participate in the rabbinical discourse. Or perhaps you’re actually providing a third layer, rather than adding to the second? Either way, this is where the narrative “branches”. Only it doesn’t really branch because, of course, you aren’t affecting the center column at all. Rather, this column tends to answer questions of “why something happened” or “in what manner did something happen”, and those answers are branched. || **If The left column is the oral center column:** You’re not really any steps removed from the center column, because without a reader, the center column is just ink on a page. The implications of your previous thoughts are inextricably linked to how you have, are,

and will read the center text. And so on and so forth. ⌘ **If R. Seth helped me understand:** Typically, the right column won’t offer answers based on inscriptions you make on the same page. Rather, the bolded conditionals tend to be things you wrote down on *previous* pages, such that previous readings inspire later readings. But since this is the only page in this test, that is of course impossible! So instead we’ll work with what we got. || **If That last proof-text was gibberish:** Sure, but in order to test the layout, I need more words, so here’s a few more: Praesent vitae mi mi. Sed viverra nibh turpis, non vulputate tellus tincidunt nec.

**If The center column is primary:** This column is an interpretation of the center column based on previous interpretations you made having read the left column of rabbinical commentary. commentary. In this manner, you can participate in the rabbinical discourse. Or perhaps you’re actually providing a third layer, rather than adding to the second? Either way, this is where the narrative “branches”. Only it doesn’t really branch because, of course, you aren’t affecting the center column at all. Rather, this column tends to answer questions of “why something happened” or “in what manner did something happen”, and those answers are branched. || **If The left column is the oral center column:** You’re not really any steps removed from the center column, because without a reader, the center column is just ink on a page. The implications of your previous thoughts are inextricably linked to how you have, are,

and will read the center text. And so on and so forth. ⌘ **If R. Seth helped me understand:** Typically, the right column won’t offer answers based on inscriptions you make on the same page. Rather, the bolded conditionals tend to be things you wrote down on *previous* pages, such that previous readings inspire later readings. But since this is the only page in this test, that is of course impossible! So instead we’ll work with what we got. || **If That last proof-text was gibberish:** Sure, but in order to test the layout, I need more words, so here’s a few more: Praesent vitae mi mi. Sed viverra nibh turpis, non vulputate tellus tincidunt nec.

**If The center column is primary:** This column is an interpretation of the center column based on previous interpretations you made having read the left column of rabbinical commentary. commentary. In this manner, you can participate in the rabbinical discourse. Or perhaps you’re actually providing a third layer, rather than adding to the second? Either way, this is where the narrative “branches”. Only it doesn’t really branch because, of course, you aren’t affecting the center column at all. Rather, this column tends to answer questions of “why something happened” or “in what manner did something happen”, and those answers are branched. || **If The left column is the oral center column:** You’re not really any steps removed from the center column, because without a reader, the center column is just ink on a page. The implications of your previous thoughts are inextricably linked to how you have, are,

and will read the center text. And so on and so forth. ⌘ **If R. Seth helped me understand:** Typically, the right column won’t offer answers based on inscriptions you make on the same page. Rather, the bolded conditionals tend to be things you wrote down on *previous* pages, such that previous readings inspire later readings. But since this is the only page in this test, that is of course impossible! So instead we’ll work with what we got. || **If That last proof-text was gibberish:** Sure, but in order to test the layout, I need more words, so here’s a few more: Praesent vitae mi mi. Sed viverra nibh turpis, non vulputate tellus tincidunt nec.

**If The center column is primary:** This column is an interpretation of the center column based on previous interpretations you made having read the left column of rabbinical commentary. commentary. In this manner, you can participate in the rabbinical discourse. Or perhaps you’re actually providing a third layer, rather than adding to the second? Either way, this is where the narrative “branches”. Only it doesn’t really branch because, of course, you aren’t affecting the center column at all. Rather, this column tends to answer questions of “why something happened” or “in what manner did something happen”, and those answers are branched. || **If The left column is the oral center column:** You’re not really any steps removed from the center column, because without a reader, the center column is just ink on a page. The implications of your previous thoughts are inextricably linked to how you have, are,

and will read the center text. And so on and so forth. ⌘ **If R. Seth helped me understand:** Typically, the right column won’t offer answers based on inscriptions you make on the same page. Rather, the bolded conditionals tend to be things you wrote down on *previous* pages, such that previous readings inspire later readings. But since this is the only page in this test, that is of course impossible! So instead we’ll work with what we got. || **If That last proof-text was gibberish:** Sure, but in order to test the layout, I need more words, so here’s a few more: Praesent vitae mi mi. Sed viverra nibh turpis, non vulputate tellus tincidunt nec.

**If The center column is primary:** This column is an interpretation of the center column based on previous interpretations you made having read the left column of rabbinical commentary. commentary. In this manner, you can participate in the rabbinical discourse. Or perhaps you’re actually providing a third layer, rather than adding to the second? Either way, this is where the narrative “branches”. Only it doesn’t really branch because, of course, you aren’t affecting the center column at all. Rather, this column tends to answer questions of “why something happened” or “in what manner did something happen”, and those answers are branched. || **If The left column is the oral center column:** You’re not really any steps removed from the center column, because without a reader, the center column is just ink on a page. The implications of your previous thoughts are inextricably linked to how you have, are,

Lorem 1:23

Ipsum 69:420