STS WX1 - Computational Creativity

Group Members: Julia Chu, Chyna Co, Jamie Figueroa, EJ Laurel (graduating), Sophia Rañola

As technology advances at a dizzying speed, the emergence of Computational Creativity stands as a testament to the symbiotic relationship between human ingenuity and artificial intelligence as we move to the future and progress a species. Within this nascent field lies the promise of a new frontier, where algorithms and imagination converge to redefine the boundaries of artistic expression. As we traverse this uncharted territory, we are compelled to confront a fundamental question: What ramifications does the rise of Computational Creativity or CC hold for the intrinsic value of human creativity? With a keen lens, we will try to explore the multifaceted dimensions of Computational Creativity, from its historical antecedents to its contemporary manifestations.

Through a rigorous examination of algorithmic frameworks such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), we will dissect the underlying mechanisms that enable machines to generate novel artistic content. Yet, our inquiry extends beyond mere technical prowess to interrogate the ethical imperatives and philosophical undertakings inherent in the proliferation of Computational Creativity. In navigating this landscape, we must navigate the ethical nuances surrounding issues of authorship, authenticity, and cultural representation, as well as the philosophical question of human creativity, intention, and emotional connection. Ultimately, we not only seek to illuminate the transformative potential of Computational Creativity but also the imperative of responsible stewardship in harnessing its power to enrich, rather than diminish, the creative fabric of humanity and allay any fears that it may overshadow our creative endeavors in the future.

1. **Introduction**
    a. **Computational Creativity: Background**
        i. **Understanding Computational Creativity**
            1. Computational creativity refers to the interdisciplinary subfield of artificial intelligence that explores the potential of artificial intelligence to generate original content autonomously or collaboratively with humans. It encompasses a range of domains, including visual arts, music composition, poetry, storytelling, and design (Boden, 2009). It is essentially the study of building software that exhibits behavior that would be creative or considered as such by humans to produce creative work that can be seen as its own entity that can produce creative pieces on its own accord and not just a tool to help humans (De Mántaras, n.d.).
            2. AI that have advanced CC should exhibit creative behaviors, such as generating novel ideas, exploring solution spaces, and evaluating the quality of generated content. These systems often employ techniques from machine learning, natural language processing, and cognitive science to simulate human-like creative processes (Colton & Wiggins, 2012).
        ii. **Historical Context**
            1. **CC Systems**
                a. The concept of CC has roots in early AI research, with pioneers like Alan Turing and John McCarthy contemplating the potential of machines to exhibit creative behavior. Over the decades, as we have progressed, advances in computing power, algorithms, and data availability have fueled rapid progress in the field, leading to the development of sophisticated CC systems. This can be seen with the rise of softwares like NovelAI, ChatGPT, and Midjourney among others (Boden, 2009).
                b. Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and Variational

Autoencoders (VAEs) are two prominent types of generative models in the field of artificial intelligence that are crucial to the growth seen in the subfield of CC.

They play a crucial role in computational creativity by providing powerful tools for generating novel and diverse content across different artistic domains, mimicking human creativity almost seamlessly, even fooling the average folk into believing its creations are truly human. These generative models enable automated content generation, exploration of design spaces, and augmentation of human creativity in ways once could not have imagined just a decade ago.

c. By leveraging GANs and VAEs, computational creativity researchers and practitioners can explore new artistic possibilities, experiment with alternative styles and aesthetics, and push the boundaries of traditional creative practices. These generative models serve as collaborators and catalysts for human creativity, inspiring new ideas, and facilitating the exploration of creative landscapes.

2. Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

a. GANs are a class of deep learning models consisting of two neural networks: a generator and a discriminator, which are trained simultaneously through a game-theoretic framework (Goodfellow et al., 2014).

b. Essentially, a generator is a neural network that learns to generate synthetic data samples (e.g., images and texts) that resemble real data samples from a given distribution (e.g., vectors mimicking movement coordinates), while a discriminator is another neural network that learns to distinguish between real and synthetic samples that are produced by the generator. The two networks are thus trained in an adversarial manner, where the generator basically tries to fool the discriminator, and the discriminator tries to correctly classify samples as synthetic or real – they're like adversaries.

c. GANs have demonstrated remarkable success in generating high-quality and diverse synthetic content across various domains, including image synthesis, text generation, and music composition. By capturing complex data distributions and learning from large datasets that less complex algorithms can not quickly process, GANs enable the creation of realistic and novel content that can inspire human creators and enrich the creative process while keeping things quick and precise (Elgammal et al., 2017).

3. Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)

a. VAEs are another type of generative model that combines elements of autoencoders and probabilistic modeling. VAEs aim to learn latent representations of data by mapping input samples to a continuous latent space (Kingma & Welling, 2013).

b. Unlike GANs, which generate samples directly from a learned distribution, VAEs generate samples by sampling from a learned latent space and decoding them back into the input space. VAEs are trained using a variational inference approach, where the

model learns to approximate the true posterior distribution of the latent variables.

c. VAEs have been applied to various creative tasks, such as image generation, style transfer, and music composition. By learning structured and interpretable representations of data, VAEs enable the synthesis of diverse and coherent content that captures underlying patterns and characteristics of the input data (Higgins et al., 2017).

iii. Applications

1. Arts and Entertainment

a. CC systems are widely used in the arts and entertainment industry to generate original content, such as artworks, music compositions, and storytelling. These systems can automate the creative process, produce diverse outputs, and inspire new forms of artistic expression.

b. For example, "The Painting Fool" software, developed by Simon Colton and his colleagues, is an AI-based system capable of creating visual artworks in response to textual input. The software was trained to analyze articles from the Guardian newspaper and generate collages that reflect the themes and content of the articles (Colton & Wiggins, 2012).

2. Education

a. CC tools have applications in education for facilitating learning, fostering creativity, and enhancing student engagement. These tools can generate educational content, such as quizzes, tutorials, and interactive simulations, tailored to individual learning needs and preferences.

b. For instance, CC systems can generate personalized learning materials based on students' interests, learning styles, and proficiency levels, providing adaptive and interactive learning experiences. These tools can also serve as creative tutors, guiding students through problem-solving tasks and encouraging exploration and experimentation. This can be seen with the likes of Duolingo, which is now not only acting as a platform for language learning on the go, but also as a way to introduce AI-level language learning, along with ChatGPT acting as a way to converse with AI (through text) in one's target language.

3. Advertising

a. CC technology is increasingly utilized in advertising and marketing campaigns to create compelling and memorable content that resonates with target audiences. These systems can generate creative advertisements, slogans, and visual designs that capture attention and evoke emotional responses, making it easier for businesses to attract more customers and expand their audience..

b. For example, CC tools can analyze consumer data, market trends, and brand preferences to generate personalized advertising campaigns tailored to individual consumers' interests and preferences. These campaigns along with their respective marketers can leverage AI-generated content to deliver targeted

messages and drive consumer engagement and conversion.

4. **Scientific Discovery**
    a. CC has potential applications in future scientific discovery by enabling the generation of hypotheses, simulations, and experimental designs. These systems can analyze complex datasets, identify patterns, and generate novel insights that inform scientific research and discovery.
    b. For instance, CC tools can assist researchers in exploring large-scale datasets (i.e GANs), conducting virtual experiments, and generating hypotheses about complex phenomena that would have taken more time to analyze. These kinds of tools can facilitate interdisciplinary collaboration, accelerate the pace of discovery, and uncover hidden relationships and trends in scientific data, which will be of great benefit in the field of medicine, for example.

2. **Body**
    a. **Collaborative Partnership between AI and Human Creativity**
        i. **The Definition of Creativity**
            1. The question of creativity is not a scientific one, we must preface, but a philosophical one that, like many of its kind, is difficult to answer. Human creativity encompasses the ability to generate novel ideas, solutions, and expressions that are both original and valuable within a particular concept or domain and within the context of the time that these are produced. It is a process that involves divergent thinking, intuition, imagination, and the ability to make connections between seemingly unrelated concepts or elements without obvious or explicit directions – only a sense of creative direction, social connections, and stored knowledge and wisdom. It is intentional and aims to connect on an emotional level with the audience (Frey, 2023).
            2. Creativity in AI can be defined differently. It refers to the ability of AI systems and softwares to generate novel and valuable outputs that exhibit characteristics traditionally associated with human creativity (“computational creativity (artificial creativity)”, 2019).
            3. Computational Creativity or CC systems aim to emulate and extend human creativity by simulating cognitive processes, such as idea generation, problem-solving, and artistic expression, using computational algorithms and techniques (“computational creativity (artificial creativity)”, 2019).
            4. It is, thus, crucial to emphasize the use of algorithms. Yes, their aim is to produce novel ideas that mimic the exhibition of unique characteristics of human creativity. However, unlike human creativity, CC softwares at the moment and in the future are limited to the data and patterns they have been trained on, and thus are bound by the rules set by humans. Never truly making groundbreaking ideas that have not yet been seen before without any sort of explicit direction from us. It is, thus, unintentional in its creativity, creating novel ideas not due to its conscious understanding of the meaning of its creation and its context but due to its recognition of patterns it has learned from data. This lack of intention leads to it lacking emotional impact, which is why when people today learn that a piece is AI-generated, the emotional impact they would have initially felt dissipates knowing that the software that did produce the art was

woefully unaware of the context and meaning of its creation (Frey, 2023).

5. So can computational creativity truly produce novel ideas? No, so long as it is bound by databases and patterns and is disconnected from the worldly contexts that shape human creativity. It can, however, be utilized by humans to bolster their creativity.

ii. Collaboration

1. Idea generation is crucial in the creative process. Thus, the quick pace at which AI creates art can be used to bolster our ability to ideate, and provide a range of ideas we can pluck from and build upon as sources of inspiration, encouraging exploration of diverse ideas that we may not have considered otherwise. A musician might be stuck in a rut, unable to determine what style of music they want, and may not even know which instruments to use. They may use music-generative AI that has progressed well into its CC to generate novel ideas that may not be the most human-sounding, and try reproducing some of those ideas in real life by playing them on real instruments or writing them down as sheet music. CC tools can generate story prompts, character sketches, or plot outlines to help writers overcome writer's block and generate new narrative directions. In design, CC systems can provide visual stimuli, mood boards, or design templates to inspire designers and facilitate ideation and experimentation.
2. Refinement is another way we may collaborate with AI as it moves forward with computational creativity. With the proper understanding of prompts, an artist may refine their rough ideas into something structured and presentable without losing the human intention in the idea or creation. For example, if one had an idea they truly believed in but could not structure it well enough to be presented, one could use ChatGPT to structure the idea for them through well-structured outlines, refining the output until the artist is satisfied.
3. Learning new skills and enhancing others is where computational creativity truly shines. AI, despite the progress in CC, will never truly replace human creativity unless there is a way CC software can truly detach itself from the confines of algorithmic thinking and ties to limited databases. It can, however, learn to mimic and and generate in ways that could help artists hone their skills. For example, a writer who wishes to learn to write fantasy novels may utilize software like Novel AI to generate texts in certain styles, helping the writer find their own voice in the world of fantasy writing and honing that newfound style/skill.

b. Challenges/Limitations

i. Address concerns about the potential devaluation of human creativity due to the integration of CC. (I think there will be a time when AI becomes so popular that artists may fall out of favor for a while [like a trend], but human creativity will always hold more value because of human intention and emotional connection [AI will never be able to make art that tackles the Martial Law period here in the PH, for example], also AI might bring in more money for now due to the novelty of the idea that AI is producing art).

ii. Argue that CC does not replace human creativity but rather amplifies and extends it, leading to new forms of expression and innovation.

iii. Highlight the importance of human judgment, emotion, and intention in the creative process, which cannot be replicated by AI alone.

iv. *Discuss ethical considerations and the responsibility of creators and technologists in ensuring the ethical and equitable use of CC technology.*

3. Conclusion

a. Future Implications and Impact on Societies, Cultures, and Values

i. *Examine the broader societal implications of Computational Creativity, including its potential impacts on culture, values, and ethics. (Augmented creativity, collaborative dynamics, expanding possibilities) (We can also include how CC in AI might lead to a rise in its own cultural phenomenon and diversification of its own subgenre in the different field of art, think cult following or a zeitgeist of its time)*

ii. *Discuss how CC may challenge traditional notions of creativity, authorship, and artistic authenticity, prompting reflections on the nature of human creativity and the role of technology in artistic expression. We may also include here the shift in value of human creativity as AI improves with CC (i.e. as more users utilize CC to produce novel ideas, human-created and produced ideas will become more valued)*

References

Boden, M. A. (2009). Computer models of creativity. ˜*the œAI Magazine/AI Magazine*, *30*(3), 23–34. https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v30i3.2254

Carnovalini, F., & Rodà, A. (2020). Computational Creativity and Music Generation Systems: An Introduction to the state of the art. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2020.00014

Colton, S., & Wiggins, G. A. (2012). Computational creativity: the final frontier? *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 21–26. https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-098-7-21

computational creativity (artificial creativity). (2019, April 5). WhatIs. https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/definition/computational-creativity

De Mántaras, R. L. (n.d.). *Artificial Intelligence and the Arts: Toward Computational Creativity | OpenMind*. OpenMind. https://www.bbvaopenmind.com/en/articles/artificial-intelligence-and-the-arts-toward-computational-creativity/

Elgammal, A., Liu, B., Elhoseiny, M., & Mazzone, M. (2017). CAN: Creative adversarial networks generating "Art" by learning about styles and deviating from style norms. In the *International Conference on Computational Creativity (ICCC)* (pp. 96–103). http://computationalcreativity.net/iccc2017/ICCC_17_accepted_submissions/ICCC-17_paper_47.pdf

Frey, T. (2023, April 26). *The difference between human creativity and generative AI creativity*. Futurist Speaker. https://futuristspeaker.com/artificial-intelligence/the-difference-between-human-creativity-and-generative-ai-creativity/

Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., Courville, A., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, *63*(11), 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1145/3422622

Higgins, I., Matthey, L., Pal, A., Burgess, C., Glorot, X., Botvinick, M., Mohamed, S., & Lerchner, A. (2017). beta-VAE: Learning Basic Visual Concepts with a Constrained Variational Framework. In the *ICLR 2017 conference*. https://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/conf/iclr/iclr2017.html#HigginsMPBGBML17

Hughes, R. T., Zhu, L., & Bednarz, T. (2021). Generative Adversarial Networks–Enabled Human–Artificial Intelligence Collaborative Applications for creative and Design Industries: A Systematic Review of Current Approaches and Trends. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2021.604234

Kingma, D. P., & Welling, M. (2013). *Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6114

Lark Editorial Team. (2023, December 24). *Variational Autoencoders Vaes*. Lark. Retrieved May 3, 2024, from https://www.larksuite.com/en_us/topics/ai-glossary/variational-autoencoders-vaes

Veale, T., & Pérez, R. P. Y. (2020). Leaps and Bounds: An introduction to the field of computational creativity. *New Generation Computing*, *38*(4), 551–563. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00354-020-00116-w

Links

https://computationalcreativity.net/iccc2014/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/ComputationalCreativity.pdf

https://www.bbvaopenmind.com/en/articles/artificial-intelligence-and-the-arts-toward-computational-creativity/

https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/definition/computational-creativity

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00354-020-00116-w#Sec5

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220605190_Computer_Models_of_Creativity

https://futuristspeaker.com/artificial-intelligence/the-difference-between-human-creativity-and-generative-ai-creativity/

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263012109_Generative_Adversarial_Networks

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frai.2020.00014/full

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frai.2021.604234/full

https://www.larksuite.com/en_us/topics/ai-glossary/variational-autoencoders-vaes

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/CAN%3A-Creative-Adversarial-Networks%2C-Generating-by-Elgammal-Liu/c2de603cdd1f9135edcca7e74bc5ced916047109

https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6114

https://openreview.net/forum?id=Sy2fzU9gl