Sentiment SentimentText LoggedIn

1 ==RUDE== Dude, you are rude upload that carl picture back, or else. TRUE

1 == OK! == IM GOING TO VANDALIZE WILD ONES WIKI THEN!!! TRUE

1 Stop trolling, zapatancas, calling me a liar merely demonstartes that you arer Zapatancas. You may choose to chase every legitimate editor from this site and ignore me but I am an editor with a record that isnt 99% trolling and therefore my wishes are not to be completely ignored by a sockpuppet like yourself. The consensus is overwhelmingly against you and your trollin g lover Zapatancas, TRUE

1 ==You're cool== You seem like a really cool guy... \*bursts out laughing at sarcasm\*. FALSE

1 ::::: Why are you threatening me? I'm not being disruptive, its you who is being disruptive. TRUE

1 == hey waz up? == hey ummm... the fif four fifty one song... was the info inacurate? did i spell something wrong? hmm... cause i don't think you have a right to delete ANYTHING that is accurate and that peple may want to read about fool. i don't like being pushed around especially by some little boy. got it? TRUE

0 ::::::::::I'm not sure either. I think it has something to do with merely ahistorical vs being derived from pagan myths. Price does believe the latter, I'm not sure about other CMT proponents. TRUE

0 " \*::Your POV and propaganda pushing is dully noted. However listing interesting facts in a netral and unacusitory tone is not POV. You seem to be confusing Censorship with POV monitoring. I see nothing POV expressed in the listing of intersting facts. If you want to contribute more facts or edit wording of the cited fact to make them sound more netral then go ahead. No need to CENSOR interesting factual information. " TRUE

0 == File:Hildebrandt-Greg and Tim.jpg listed for deletion == An image or media file that you uploaded or altered, File:Hildebrandt-Greg and Tim.jpg, has been listed at Wikipedia:Files for deletion. Please see the discussion to see why this is (you may have to search for the title of the image to find its entry), if you are interested in it not being deleted. TRUE

0 ::::::::This is a gross exaggeration. Nobody is setting a kangaroo court. There was a simple addition concerning the airline. It is the only one disputed here. FALSE

1 "::No, I won't unrevert your edits!"" ""sounds more like you're writing their MARKETING material!!"" Don't get bossy with me. Or snippy either, Miss religious Bigot! Kindly leave your hatred for Christianity at DailyKos before you log out there and log in over here as a...er...ahem...NPOV editor " FALSE

1 ::::I heard Mark Kermode say today that Turbo was rubbish, and he's never \*cough\* wrong! He doesn't like F1 but he loved Senna and liked Rush as well. TRUE

1 I am a sock puppet? THAT is my ban reason? This is my only account, and thanks for ignoring the bulk of my text. Wikipedia IS corrupt AND populated by idiots. I am free to say this, so please refrain from saying anything like that again. I didn't get banned for trolling, or personal attacks, I got banned because I changed an article to NPOV when the far majority of the editors here would rather the see the BNP article as a diatribe denouncing the party. FALSE

1 You twit, read the article before you revert edits. Power-mad jerks like you are ruining this place FALSE

0 " A tag has been placed on Jerome leung kam, requesting that it be speedily deleted from Wikipedia. This has been done because the article appears to be about a person, group of people, band, club, company, or web content, but it does not indicate how or why the subject is notable: that is, why an article about that subject should be included in an encyclopedia. Under the criteria for speedy deletion, articles that do not assert the subject's importance or significance may be deleted at any time. Please see the guidelines for what is generally accepted as notable. If you think that you can assert the notability of the subject, you may contest the deletion. To do this, add on the top of the page (just below the existing speedy deletion or ""db"" tag) and leave a note on the article's talk page explaining your position. Please do not remove the speedy deletion tag yourself, but don't hesitate to add information to the article that would confirm the subject's notability under Wikipedia guidelines. For guidelines on specific types of articles, you may want to check out our criteria for biographies, for web sites, for bands, or for companies. Feel free to leave a note on my talk page if you have any questions about this. " TRUE

1 ==READ THIS== This is Wikipedia. It is a place where people come for infomation. So tell me how it is that a guy wants to check John Cena's recent activity in the WWE can't because SOME people want to keep the page unedited. It is not worth my time to try to bring new infomation to a page every month or two if you NERDS just change it back. THERE IS NO POINT WHATSOEVER! If I want to put what happened at Backlash I WILL BLODDY WELL PUT WHAT HAPPENED AT BACKLASH! Don't any of you nerds try and stop me! FALSE

0 " == Administrator Complaint Filed Against You == I requested that you do not edit the article until the editor assistance has been sought. But you still added and the tag you added is fault because this is a professionally written article, besides the last section there is nothing about the article having a fan flavor to it. Before you add the add again please do show which section besides the ""What Ram's Fan's have to say about him"" seems written from a fan point of view. This article besides the last section adheres to the Wikpedia standard of writing. IF not please first prove it in my notes. As for the resource the technical person on the team is in the process of adding the refernce link to the source after which we will remove that tag as well. Once again please do not add false tags, lets wait for the editor and the administrator, I did tell the administrator to look at the history and have provided your notes to him. So at this time, just have patience and lets wait. I am also forwarding this to the administrator from whom I have requested help. Like I said before, as adminstrator came to the page and made the necessary changes, she did not find the article sub-standard, so please refrain from adding tags. " TRUE

1 It is a shame what people are here, I am disgusting of you. TRUE

0 :Hello Cielomobile. I have to say that I also belive that the edits made recently to the United States-Mexico barrier page were not vandalism. I understand that the topic of the border can be polemic, but I don't think that User:68.2.242.165 was vandalizing the page. Maybe you could use the talk page Talk:United States–Mexico barrier to lay out your objections to those edits without deleting them entirely. I think they were good-faith efforts to improve the article, and that is also one of the guiding principles of Wikipedia, to Assume Good Faith. It might help though, if the author of those edits were to register with Wikipedia so the edits won't appear merely with an IP address. TRUE

0 " == my removal of your content on DNA melting == I removed the content you placed when creating the article because it was wrong and unreferenced. Mutations do not have ""weird structures"" a point mutation might start with a single nucleotide mismatch, but those are rapidly detected and repaired to form a stable bonded double-helix structure, and subsequent rounds of DNA replication match each base with its complement. Perhaps your wording was wrong, perhaps you were thinking of an obscure related technology that I have heard of, but you didn't give a reference and I'm not going to help you with this, because you're being rude. I find it disturbing that you apparently made this scientific page on wikipedia claiming a statement of fact that was in merely based on your own speculations. " TRUE

1 wiki shold dye!they should be ashame!j FALSE

1 I suggest you kill yourself. TRUE

1 Yes, I was blocked for losing patience with you, and what I did then would constitute personal attack. Honest outspoken criticism that is based on fact is permitted though, and the shameless hate speech expressed here deserves more than just vocal criticism. As for you, I'll discuss you elsewhere. This isn't the place for that. TRUE

0 Get yourself some help. TRUE

1 == regarding threats == is not revert of person's edits, only unwarranted edit by bot. appeal has been made to bot but presumption of guilt on part of administrative base is sign of censorship so made edits again to see if reversion would occur second time. has not. please keep baseless threats to self, vulgar pedant. TRUE

1 Alright, your lack of fact checking and denial of truth is pathetic, especially by your staff. Stop making comments, just to harass me. You are assuming I'm everyone who doesn't agree with your wiki article. Pathetic. I will continue to report them until your competent employees do the right thing. FALSE

1 Telling that you wouldn't answer my question. You are a hypocrit as anyone can see TRUE

1 == YOUR INFORMATIONS ARE MISLEADING AND FULL OF ERRORS. == YOUR INFORMATIONS ARE MISLEADING AND FULL OF ERRORS. IF THIS IS THE WAY YOU SERVE PEOPLE, I PITY THEM FOR BEING BRAINWASHED WITH LIES OF YOU. TRUE

0 AND I EVEN PUT A LINK TO A HIGHLIGHTS VIDEO ON YOUTUBE TRUE

0 Wind in the Sahara rawks, too. Much more accessible than 7 pillars. FALSE

1 ::Excellent, thanks for looking into it. Some socks are quite dumb... TRUE

1 Hypocrit! you just cited a newspaper that claims to be reliable. i will incorporate and make a newspaper company then ill site it. its called TEADRINKERNEWS.com this site has no merit and you have no integrity! TRUE

1 == Conflict of interest == You are a person who is doing some sort of harm to this lady Saman Hasnain.. It is apparent that you are making sure that her name is defamed.... Okay no problem... Will get a better source... you are playing dirty... DOG Sonisona TRUE

1 REALLY REALLY ANGRY NOW GRRRRRRRRRRRR FALSE

0 " ::I also found use of the word ""humanists"" confusing. The types of people listed preceding ""humanists"" are defined by what they \*do\* (i.e. study, teach, do medical research) which makes sense in the context of talking about the commonplace book as one of their tools. ""Humanists"" defines people of a certain ethical ideologywhat does that have to do with the function of a commonplace book? Is the use of a commonplace book particularly defined by one's world perspective? To me this would be akin to writing ""many blogs are maintained by writers, professors, lawyers, editorialists, and Republicans/Democrats"" in an article about blogs. True though it may be, it confuses the reader into thinking that the subject being written about is somehow ideologically specific when it is not." FALSE

1 :the category was unnecesary, as explained in my edit summary. Your threats are disgrace to wikipedia. TRUE

1 == I hate you. == I hate you. I hate you! FALSE

0 " ==Drovers' Award== Better you hear it from me, and early, I suppose: The Wikipedia logo is ""All Rights Reserved, Wikimedia Foundation, Inc."", and use of it is governed by the Wikimedia visual identity guidelines, which states that ""no derivative of the Wikimedia logo can be published without prior approval from the Foundation."" " TRUE

0 " Please stop. If you continue to vandalize Wikipedia, you will be blocked from editing. | " TRUE

0 == removing a deletion review?!? == WP:SNOW doesn't apply to my deletion review since the issue is controversial. TRUE

0 Oooooh thank you Mr. DietLimeCola. Once again, nice job trying to pretend you have some authority over anybody here. You are a wannabe admin, which is even sadder than a real admin TRUE

1 Grow up you biased child. FALSE

0 :Saved without renaming; marked for rapid del. TRUE

1 ==Terrible== Anyone else agree this list is garbage? FALSE

1 == DON'T INTERFERE! == Look, I am telling you: YOU DON'T INTERFERE between me and Ohnoitsjamie. He is a filthy hog, an oldest enemy, and i can go to any extent to insult him to the fullest extent. So be a good boy, and eat potato crisps (Yummy... yummy ... munch crunch. - TRUE

0 " :Going by immediate place of origin is much more in keeping with the definition of ""Hispanic or Latino"". You're acting in good faith, obviously, but claiming every Hispanic/Latino person based on ancestry is too OR, too subjective, as can be seen from all that explaining you've had to do. There is a way to include these people we're discussing: with the support of reliable sources that refer to them as Hispanic or Latino, something that ideally should be done for everyone on the list. " TRUE

1 == Pathetic == This user needs a life TRUE

0 See the section below about the Macedonian last names, and common endings of the Macedonian last names, as well some common endings of the last names in the Slavic Languages. TRUE

0 "Hauskalainen|Tom]] RFC Response The ""criticism"" section reads like a POV essay without adequate references. I have added the appropriate tag. [[User:" TRUE

1 And, frankly, you are just as pathetic and immature, clearly these acts of annoyance are your favourite past time. TRUE

1 She's insane and a zealot. FALSE

0 " : I know you listed your English as on the ""level 2"", but don't worry, you seem to be doing nicely otherwise, judging by the same page - so don't be taken aback. I just wanted to know if you were aware of what you wrote, and think it's an interesting case. : I would write that sentence simply as ""Theoretically I am an altruist, but only by word, not by my actions."". : PS. You can reply to me on this same page, as I have it on my watchlist. " TRUE

1 == A bit of education for you... == Here is the link to Bay Lake, Florida. Now, what was that you were saying about it NOT being a city? Educate yourself a bit before you make such ludicrous ignorant comments FALSE

1 He is a CHEATER, and the article should say that. TRUE

1 " :: a.k.a. (among others) can't even get the air dates right, and the rest is a POV that is well-covered in the interesting book I cited, Hollywood Kryptonite. ""These"" users also cannot write proper English, which is what gives away that ""they"" are the same user, despite ""their"" denials. ==Reply to vandal Wakkeenah== To all the vandals and so called just administrators, the air dates are minor problems, the facts and details surrounding Reeves suicided are not being written well enough, as everybody else is reporting, the fact that Reeves was to fight Moore next day, is also being reverted, this is pure vandalism. As far as spelling goes by Vesa or Projects or whoever, well, if you keep on repeating yourself and have no time, some spelling errors might occur, but it's not the spelling that counts but content which is being vandalised by so called just users and administrators of this so called just wikipedia. And it is obvious wahkeenah has some personal interest in this, proof: All over internet we have Reeves' death explained in detail and possible people involved, but over here he is taking everything down, the idiotic administratotors are reversing it, thus making themselves look stupid and ignorant by not realizing the historical facts." FALSE

1 == Ridiculous == It's absolutely RIDICULOUS how long and detailed this article is. This is why Wikipedia is laughed at and why teachers won't allow Wikipedia to be used in schoolwork 1)the |diots writing this article are trying to demonize certain groups and 2) they're trying to revise the facts of the incident to make it seem something that it wasn't. FALSE

0 ::I agree. Trolling snitches should be protected. Where are these days when crybabies just haven't been payed attention to ? Eh, I'm waxing nostalgic.... TRUE

0 ==Fixed== Hi, I fixed up the Religion in Vietnam lead with atheism as state religion first as per your request, please take a look. The disparity in the pie chart seems mainly caused by that US institute counting 45% ancestor worship and traditional beliefs as religion, wheras officially that 45% are non-believers. It's a bit of a grey area... Second question: What do you think is better title chữ nho or chữ Hán? To my mind chữ Hán can still include Japanese and Chinese, but chữ nho is clearly Vietnamese-only, and is what Lonely Planet uses. Do you have any view? Cheers! TRUE

1 ::You should be ashamed of yourself for wasting adults' time, you ridiculous runt. TRUE

1 Good god, you wiped out my post just now. You can't even speak in coherent sentences. Bascially, you've been busted. FALSE

0 ::::I've explained beneath your unblock request that I do not feel comfortable with your proclamation. You indicated that you did not realize Banglapedia was a copyrighted source. This source bears copyright notice on every page. How can we be certain, given that, that you will not copy from other copyrighted sources without noticing that they cannot be used? I myself do not feel comfortable unblocking you until you promise not to copy from any source that you cannot prove to be without copyright restriction. TRUE

1 : Good grief have you nothing useful to do with your time? Oh well, I'll add you to the list. Fool TRUE

1 SOMETHING AWFUL IS DEAD DEAD DEAD DEAD DEAD FALSE

0 " == To the contributors of the article == Anonymiss Madchen has given you a cookie! Cookies promote WikiLove and hopefully this one has made your day better. You can Spread the ""WikiLove"" by giving someone else a cookie, whether it be someone you have had disagreements with in the past or a good friend. To spread the goodness of cookies, you can add to someone's talk page with a friendly message, or eat this cookie on the giver's talk page with ! Thank you for your hard work, and sorry about rough times in the past. I'm going to go edit other articles now. : " TRUE

1 == get a life loser. == get a life loser. TRUE

1 :::::Actually, you are the cockroach that followed me to the notice board, and repeatedly comes back to revert what I had written. FYI. 206.45.24.242 (talk) FALSE

1 I believe your actions to be pure vandalism either based on pig ignorant, racism or because you are being paid to do so. But if no one else agrees enjoy. It's more likely no one else cares either way you will reduce this to a stub or start supporting your own prejudices here. It's only wiki grow up son. This is not a conversation. The promise was a ban without farther notice so please don't give me any more notice you pathetic stooge TRUE

1 You are one of the worst page vandals I have ever seen. Your repeated vandalism of a user page shows what a pathetically insecure individual you are. FALSE

0 " :::I think the apple pie image is pretty dated. The expression ""as American as apple pie"" is dated and baseball's no longer the most popular sport in the US (football is). Plus, it's sort of weird having them on the flag. - " TRUE

1 ME IF YOU PROTECT THIS PAGE I'M GONNA KILL YOUR USER PAGE TOMORROW MORNING TRUE

0 " :::Ok, whatever, but if this separate Frankish province existed as such, then I still believe that it should be included as separate entry into disambiguation page, but I can live with the current version of the page as well. " TRUE

1 Why are you threatening me, buddy? I didn't do anything to you! And like I care about editing Wikipedia. Loser. FALSE

0 == April 2009 == Please do not attack other editors. If you continue, you will be blocked from editing Wikipedia. :If this is a shared IP address, and you didn't make any unconstructive edits, consider creating an account for yourself so you can avoid further irrelevant warnings. TRUE

1 " == HOW DARE YOU, HOW DARE YOU KUBIGULA, HOW DARE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!! == HOW DARE YOU DELETE BRILLIANT ARTICLE ON NILLIAM ""THE PHENOMENA"" TOWNSIRIS I CAN SENSE A PRESENCE ABOUT YOU BOY, AN EVIL PRESENCE, MAY THE FORCE FROM THE SPIRIT OF A SEAHORSE UNLEASH THE EXPECTO PATRONUM UPON YOU, YOU MUST EXPRESS KINDNESS TO NILLIAM TOWNSIRIS, FOR HE IS OUR SAVIOUR, THE ANSWER TO OUR ULLILOQUITY. IF YOU AS SO MUCH BLINK WHEN READING THE NEXT ARTICLE, THEN YOU WILL JUST MISS OUT THERE TIGER." TRUE

1 , 16 August 2008 (UTC) \*I'm terribly disappointed by this. There are enough disagreeable people on wikipedia. I sincerely hope you change your mind again and retire, again. You suck. 14:23 FALSE

1 == Blind as bats == Not one of you has seen what I have done to this page. Obviously you rely on some form of program to revert vandalism and not your own eyes. FALSE

1 == You are just Jealous == that you aren't a part of the GAYTOURAGE... you probably don't even now how to WERQ it! Megna James TRUE

0 I hope this helps. TRUE

0 " ::I did provide a notable source for the references I was providinga book written by a respected journalist from a patient's perspective. I created a separate article for it, with tons of references, and merely put a reference to it under See Also. You deleted even that because it's allegedly an ""obscure anti-psychiatry book."" The fact is that you are biased because you have vested interests to protect. It is people like you who make sure the truth never becomes known because it would endanger your pocketbook. " TRUE

0 ==Hello== I just wanted to let you know how you can be a nicer person through therapy and talking about your past experiences that led you to be an angry antisocial person today. FALSE

1 Yes, and this page is wayyyyy too long as well. It really needs to be condensed heavily. There are much more important shows that don't have a tenth of what this article has. Shame. FALSE

0 "== Inclusion of school type, gender, and religious information for HNMCS == There have been repeated deletions by Mikeycanuck (aka 99.254.17.230) which remove pertinent information about Holy Name of Mary College School (HNMCS) from this article. The two schools are brother and sister schools, and as per the History section in the HNMCS article, ""The Felician Sisters and St. Michael's College School co-founded the independent Holy Name of Mary College School"". As such, it is appropriate to contain some basic information about HNMCS in this article and in the same way, to include some basic information about SMCS in the Holy Name of Mary College School article. The inclusion of the school type (independent), gender (all-girls), and religious information (Catholic) of Holy Name of Mary College School in this article, is meant to illustrate the parallels to the school type (private), gender (all-boys), and religious information (Catholic) of St. Michael's College School. I don't agree that it is relevant information to give these details about Holy Name on the SMCS wiki. People reading the SMCS page quickly learn that Holy Name of Mary is the official sister school, and that it must be an all-girls school. That Holy Name is Catholic or private is also irrelavant in the SMCS article. If a reader interested in information on Holy Name wants to learn about it, he/she can go to the Holy Name wiki. I believe it should be removed as not necessary in an article about SMCS (and the same for St. Mike's info on the HNMCS wiki). — Preceding unsigned comment added by The point of including this basic information is to show how Holy Name of Mary College School and St. Michael's College School are connected by virtue of their school type, single-gender, and religious affiliation. The inclusion of this basic information is included to illustrate the parallels between the two schools. These parallels are part of the reason they are brother and sister schools, and therefore this information is relevant and should be included in the article. They are two separate institutions. If you want to write a paragraph describing the schools' connections, that can be done in sub-article within the main article. Readers can go to the other school's wiki for more detailed information and don't need to see it in the introduction, where it appears as self-serving PR. See Basic Navigation in Wikipedia ""Wikipedia articles are all linked, or cross-referenced. When highlighted text like this is seen, it means there is a link to some relevant article or Wikipedia page with further in-depth information elsewhere. Holding the mouse over the link will often show to where the link will lead. The reader is always one click away from more information on any point that has a link attached.""http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:About So if understand correctly, the inclusion of a section on each wiki describing the links between the schools is an acceptable compromise in your view? I only ask Mikeycanuck for clarification because it goes against his reasoning for removing the very basic information previously included in the introduction that drew the parallels between the two schools. In removing that content, Mikeycanuck commented (see View History), ""Removed superfluous information on Holy Name. Should be in HNM's wiki."" So Mikeycanuck, you are adverse to very basic information included in the introduction, but you would welcome an entire paragraph within the article? I want to ensure we have reached agreement before I go ahead and add that paragraph. The detailed information does not belong in the introduction, which should always be concise and relevant. In a later section, a description of the school's links is acceptable, if it is relevant. If SMCS chose Holy Name as its ""sister school"" for certain reasons, and they are verifiable, I would not object. I am never opposed to compromise and reasonable discussion and discourse. I never never

0 ==Image copyright problem with Image:KissBOTI.jpg== Thank you for uploading Image:KissBOTI.jpg. However, it currently is missing information on its copyright status. Wikipedia takes copyright very seriously. It may be deleted soon, unless we can determine the license and the source of the image. If you know this information, then you can add a copyright tag to the image description page. If you have any questions, please feel free to ask them at the media copyright questions page. Thanks again for your cooperation.

0 Thanx efe, i noticed you remove 800 bytes of info on my watchlist so i went into red alert but it was a good call.

0 == Woah! == As someone who'd been the victim of his power abuse, this \*really\* came as a surprise to me when someone e-mailed this info to me this morning! Sorry he couldn't be more adult with his admin powers, but as Stan Lee said over four decades ago, with great power comes great responsibility. Of course, the big question now is who Matthew Fenton will run and hide behind when he gets his head handed to him over his wanton edits of the Jericho and Lost pages.

0 " == Newsletter == Thanks Indon. I tried to hide it until the delivery day, hehehhe. Have you seen it before? If not, then I have done a somewhat good job of hiding it P. Cheers " TRUE

0 == List of Malcolm in the Middle characters == Your addition to List of Malcolm in the Middle characters was excellent. Welcome! TRUE

1 OH MY just CALL THEM ROCK YOU IDIOTS!!!! TRUE

1 " ::::::::: I am not user 168.209.97.34. On what basis are you acusing me of being that user? Please answer the very simple question: Is the phrase ""anti-Islamic cut and past [sic] troll"" a personal attack or is it not a personal attack? Do you deem this to be acceptable language on Wikipedia? Pename" FALSE

0 :You did a great job in the Bailando por un sueño (Argentina) article. Congratulations! FALSE

0 : Saw your message on my homepage. Is there some reason you don't like my solution? — 3 July 2005 05:18 (UTC) TRUE

1 HHHHHHHHHHHHHHAAAAAAHAHA you're funny.. Na seriously dude. I'm reallyyyyyyy drunknnnk but ya're funny! FALSE

1 dont u speak to me like that id advise u to watch ur mouth!! TRUE

1 " :You call MacDonald's a part of your ""culture""? Nonsense! Spend some 10 years in France, and then you will have a hint of what Culture is! " TRUE

1 " ::""Somebody, go write one."" Do it yourself lazy. " FALSE

0 Please do not make personal attacks. Wikipedia has a strict policy against personal attacks. Attack pages and images are not tolerated by Wikipedia and are speedily deleted. Users who continue to create or repost such pages and images, especially those in violation of our biographies of living persons policy, will be blocked from editing Wikipedia. Thank you. TRUE

0 Thanks for your response in this matter. Our plan worke like a charm. We finally got the article negativity under control and then got it protected! FALSE

1 " ::This is ridiculous. ::Aside from the reference not actually calling it a war crime, saying that ""some"" characterize it as one doesn't make it one. ::War crimes are serious violations of the laws of war. The key words here are ""laws"" and ""war."" Unless one lives in a corrupt town, laws are made by legislatures, or in this case ratified by them, after being written and argued over by diplomats in consultation with their military's generals. The laws of war were written with the understanding that killing large numbers of people may be a legitimate and necessary part of that process. The laws of war were not written by corrupt and ignorant peaceniks sitting around dreaming up what they think would be moral. ::I'm deleting this section. It's not salvageable. :: " TRUE

1 == Who he really is == This poor guy had his IP stolen by me. Pwned! Too bad his ISP will permban him. FALSE

0 == POV issue == This article does not tell about the laws that require boards of directors, typical officers on a board, typical educations, experiences, contacts, etc. of board members. There is also nothing about the history of the concept of boards of directors. Almost the entire article is devoted to pointing out the alleged shortcomings of boards, and none of the statements have sources to verify them. I'm tagging this article as POV until these issues are resolved. TRUE

1 I'm Not vandalizing. You refuse my evidence on the talk area. You seem to be blind in your support of a Racist who calls for violence. FALSE

1 I'm not the deranged harrasser here. You and yours are. Project your personality onto someone else. TRUE

0 Please refrain from making unconstructive edits to Wikipedia, as you did to Meat grinder. Your edits appear to constitute vandalism and have been reverted. If you would like to experiment, please use the sandbox. Thank you. cab (talk) :Don't you mean: 'If you would like to experiment, please use a condom. Thank you.' FALSE

0 " :Nothing wrong with that portrait, but she was queen for 22 years, mostly as an adult. It's great for the section on her childhood. Haven't hade time to look at your English yet and help you with that, if needed. I don't see why you only took this as criticism, question my ""goal"" and got so grumpy. Of course all your positive input to improve the article is appreciated by everyone, including me. I have tried to do my bit earlier. " TRUE

0 ::Thanks for the tip! I've been looking at the mediation thing a bit already - and suspect you may be correct that a wholesale revert may be the answer... TRUE

1 Only a complete loser writes a Wiki profile about themself! 5 July 2005 21:21 (UTC) FALSE

1 MY CHANGES DO NOT AFFECT ANY OF THE CONCOCTED OFFENSES YOU HAVE BROUGHT UP! WP:NPOV issues / synthesis WP:Verifiable WP:OR I want to bring your OWN STANCE, as being pro orthodox which in itself is BIASED! i am again going to put the changes back on, BECAUSE I believe your STANCE IS TO PROTECT THE CURRENT SINGH SABHA ideological stance on sikhism, WHICH MEANS that wikipedia ONLY ACCEPTS ORTHODOX POV and NOT unorthodox! Which means going by your OWN judgment, that the CHRISTIAN UNORTHODOX CHURCH, which exist, on real life and on wiki, HAS NO merit! THAT IS A BIASED APPROACH! TRUE

1 == HiDrNick == Present for you fatty. Relax. don't get too excited, it's not a 5000 Rhino meal. [] [] FALSE

0 ==Unblock== Blocking me will not solve anything. I meant what I called that person and I shall not take it back. Today he allows himself to deleate all of our images, tommorow all of articles, then he calls us second class people. Shame on you for giving such users admin rights. See my messages on Wikipedia:Requests for comment/Lupo TRUE

1 == you know? == I already finish the main temple structure. whatever you say, arrogant guy. TRUE

0 Waaaaahh erase comments on this page too, do you really think anybody is reading this? Are you that insecure? FALSE

0 == Wikipedia:Counter Un-civility Unit == Wikipedia:Counter Un-civility Unit is a new wiki-project I have thought up. I was wondering if you thought it was a good idea and if you wanted to join up. I need some users backing me before I construct a wikiproject, and you seem to share my views on subjects such as concensus, civilty, etc. Reply on my talkpage if you're interested. Thanks, -MegamanZero|Talk TRUE

0 I am refering to List of Chinese languages and dialects. TRUE

0 "A rough google tally: \*AIDS denialist 13,100 hits \*Big Tobacco denialist/ Big Tobacco denialism 0 hits \*Holocaust denialist 486 hits \*Holocaust denier 306,000 hits So there are 486 hits on Holocaust denialists who are getting some personal gain from their denailism, but 306,000 google hits on Holocaust deniers who are not getting personal gain from their denialism? Is that what you maintain? And ""Big Tobacco denialism"" actually gets 0 google hits because it is so well known those denialists are doing it for personal gain? And so on and so forth. This is ludicrous. Give it up. " TRUE

0 " == Taken from Bell X1 External Links section == Bell X1 Flock Album Review at WERS.org • " TRUE

1 == Goodbye Cruel World == I have decided to kill myself. My Dad died two weeks ago, and I wish to join him. I just wanted to say goodbye. FALSE

0 " ==Kobe Tai== A proposed deletion template has been added to the article Kobe Tai, suggesting that it be deleted according to the proposed deletion process. All contributions are appreciated, but this article may not satisfy Wikipedia's criteria for inclusion, and the deletion notice should explain why (see also ""What Wikipedia is not"" and Wikipedia's deletion policy). You may prevent the proposed deletion by removing the notice, but please explain why you disagree with the proposed deletion in your edit summary or on its talk page. Also, please consider improving the article to address the issues raised. Even though removing the deletion notice will prevent deletion through the proposed deletion process, the article may still be deleted if it matches any of the speedy deletion criteria or it can be sent to Articles for Deletion, where it may be deleted if consensus to delete is reached. If you agree with the deletion of the article, and you are the only person who has made substantial edits to the page, please add to the top of Kobe Tai. '''''' \* " TRUE

1 Yeah thanks to however did that because now the stupid fish guy can get off on stupid information Wrestlinglover420 TRUE

1 Pss Rex, be sure to DOCUMENT all the things you've discovered on the John Kerry page etc. It's awesome that you and I INDEPENDENTLY observed (and can corrorborate) virtually the exactsame pattern by these liberals. Demonizing conservatives; lionizing liberals. It's repeated ad infinitum, ad nauseum. The more proof we have, the easier it will be to persuade all but their fellow brain-dead truth haters to give a red cent to Wikipedia. And, until WHOLESALE changes are made from the top down, that's exactly what's about to happen. It's almost like this is the liberal's religion. Too bad they're gonna have to find a church other than Wikipedia to practice their faith, huh? I've heard rumors that my actions are already sending users Hippocrite, Fred Bauder, WoohooKitty, Kizzle, FVW, Derex and especially the pimply faced 15 year old RedWolf to become so verklempt they don't know whether to schedule an appointement with their psychiatrist...or their gynecologist. Big Daddy- PHASE II Dry up the funding (on the road) FALSE

1 Your ignorant comments Before acting as a functional illiterate, you should have read the pertinent prior discussion already took place in the talk page on the removed content which has no place in a biography. By the way, how is your boyfriend Bertil Videt doing? I read sensational stuff on his talk page which he keeps hiding. Did you get to meet with his other boyfriends yet? FALSE

1 . I'm afraid to say that if anyone agreed with your interpretation on what denotes a comment or remark by one to be an insult, well I'd have to say that you're all stark raving, bloody mad! TRUE

0 === Age of Modern Humans === The article says the age of modern humans is 200 thousands years which is unsourced material obviously becausee no one knows. However the source provided says 130,000 years. So how old are humans? 200 thousand years old, 130 years old, or the millions of other numbers that science has claimed? TRUE

1 It wasn't a personal attack. If your grasp of english is so poor you shouldn't be attempting to censor people. FALSE

0 :::\*Generic fair use rationales are, by definition, impossible. TRUE

1 " :That isnt going to work, and you dont seem stupid enough to think that it will. '''''' - " TRUE

1 ::: Get off your high horse, or block me. TRUE

1 You're very unreasonable and bored, sick person! If you have no reason to delete an article without knowing or seeing the full content. Hold your horses and then decide. If you have an e-mail address I'd like to debate this with you. -Wikipedia Supervisor! FALSE

0 " ::The problem is not only with the sections concerning ""Controversy about media coverage"", the major problem is that many major points about the Greek debt crisis are missing in the lead and the article, even though it consists of >100 pages. This is addressed in ::\* section #4 - "">100 pages, but still main points missing?"" ::\* section #5 - "" Why did Greece need fiscal austerity in the midst of its crisis? "" ::\* section #6 - "" POV / LEAD debate "" ::Two weeks ago, I proposed in this section #4 to have the main points at least in summary style in the lead (as important ones are not even in the article) ::Just let's only take the first point listed in #4, being joining the Euro without sufficient financial convergence and competitiveness in the summary list of causes for the Greek debt crisis. It is the major single and early root cause for the Greek debt crisis. Without this root cause Greece could technically not have had this debt crisis because it could always have printed itself out of every debt volume as they did before with the drachma. But this cause is missing in the 100 WP pages and in the WP lead. The current lead only lists normal problems like ""structural weaknesses"" and ""recessions"" (even though it is clear that Greece faced those normal problems for decades and always solved them with high drachma inflation if needed) - so without naming the root cause there is no cause for the Greek debt crisis. ::What happened after I proposed to have the main points in the article (at least in the lead as a summary) and also invited everybody to add/change/delete from my proposed the main point list? There were strong opponents working in a coordinated action, threatening to fight any significant change, saying one can not summarize a Greek debt crisis, saying ""Greek interests [need to have] a prominence"") when describing the debt crisis in WP, saying they will not let other editors summarize it, and so on. So we have almost 100 new pages in the talk section, and main points about the lemma not in the article (like it was during the last 5 years) :: " TRUE

1 | decline=Nobody on Wikipedia wants your moronic edits! Take a hike! TRUE

0 Welcome! Hello, , and welcome to Wikipedia! Thank you for your contributions. I hope you like the place and decide to stay. Here are a few good links for newcomers: \*The five pillars of Wikipedia \*How to edit a page \*Help pages \*Tutorial \*How to write a great article \*Manual of Style I hope you enjoy editing here and being a Wikipedian! Please sign your name on talk pages using four tildes (~~~~); this will automatically produce your name and the date. If you need help, check out Wikipedia:Questions, ask me on my talk page, or place {{helpme}} on your talk page and someone will show up shortly to answer your questions. Again, welcome!  By the way, I noticed you have created the article Dr. Manfred Gerstenfeld. Some of the sentences there seem copied directly from Dr Gerstenfeld’s homepage; this is not desirable, because it creates the impression that the article was copied from the homepage, which might be be a copyright violation. Perhaps you should try to rewrite the article a bit to avoid that. Also, some kind of indication about why Dr Gerstenfeld is notable would be nice to have (cf. WP:BIO and WP:PROFTEST for ideas on how to do that). — TRUE

1 == i hate your guts == i hope you feel good about that FALSE

1 :: oh i bet you are little boy. now go up stairs, your mummy made your lunch TRUE

1 PS: you're all middle-aged losers at home in your parents basements living off 50 bucks a week FALSE

1 Samuell, if you do not want to be the one dead, better proceed as requested. Either that or we'll keep beating! FALSE

1 == i dare you == Block me. I will do it again, i dare you to reply to my discussions rather owning articles and issuing warnings. TRUE

1 WELL SAID Loremaster you do not own the article, you tyrannical anti-knowledge hater. TRUE

1 I didn't say that I myself don't agree with what the reference says, or I myself know better than what the reference says, so I am going to correct it or remove it based on my own original research. Do not distort my words. I said Myanmar has nothing to do with the topic. You have problems with understanding. TRUE

1 ::So you think you know better than the admin! Are you excusing all of the above? Are you ignoring all of his breaks on the mediation - do you not remember your reaction when I changed BOMBER to Volunteer, you seem very quite of this, do you not think that is total hypocritical? TRUE

1 == October 2013 == You want ME for understanding? I'll give you understanding, you annoying editor! FALSE

0 , 6 January 2014 (UTC) ::::Ok, so Anon IP from Tempe, Arizona aka 174.19.166.126 aka 174.19.169.92, who apparently only edits the Ted Cruz article and no other, now that I have conclusively answered your question, please provide me reasons that the Ted Cruz article should be edited just like Jennifer Granholm article. It was your suggestion I assume you have some thoughts on this topic, right? 22:38 TRUE

1 You're a real glutton for punishment. ;-) TRUE

0 "I'm the latest yet, but congratulations on your re-adminship. That's the third time I've voted for you, don't make me do it again! -P 30 June 2005 17:17 (UTC) " TRUE

0 " :Erm, thank you. " TRUE

1 : LOTHAT VON TROTHA WAS POISONED, THAT'S WHAT CONTAMINATION IS! YOU GET TYPHOID FEVER ONLY THROUGH POISONED FOOD OR DRINK! FALSE

1 == Robbie Hummel == Way to speedy delete my Robbie Hummel article! It's now a real article and you can't do anything about it. I can't believe you would do this to me. You must hate black people. TRUE

0 :Merge and redirect as per , also for Base 32 into Base32 (I just edited Base32, and needed Base64 in UTF-1). FALSE

1 " You're a dumb American, right? No degree? Knows nothing of engineering? Thinks mathematics is ""universal""? Played monopoly in high school instead of learning? How am I doing so far?" FALSE

1 :::::::::::You read it; your note addressed something else. (Incidentally, your reasoning above is unsound; whether or not my Rushdie comparison holds up, it does not fail to hold up because his literary career is not a failure). But I hope you understand, I am not trying to get any decision reversed (and never was). Rather, I am yelling at you for enforcing a stupid policy. - TRUE

1 Sandifer, I'm going BUY my way back into wikipedia. For all your puffery, I'll bet you've never forked over a penny to the cause. And even you have, I'll make your contribution seem like pennies. TRUE

1 == Hello, Nawlins == Why do you like to deflower prepubescent girls? Don’t you know that’s a felony? FALSE

1 Vinny Burgoo = suspected sock puppet Are you ever going to withdraw this accusation? It's currently the second hit with a Google search with 'Vinny Burgoo' (my one and only online identity for nearly ten years) and it's wholly bogus. Someone posted something in support of something very stupid I had done at Wiktionary (I called a serial Wiki tyrant a 'c\*\*t' after he had unambiguously broken Wiki's rules, then I compounded this by threatening him in what I thought at the time to be a transparently jocular manner, but wasn't) and this 'supporter' was assumed to be me using another identity and another IP trying to get around a temporary block. I still use Wikipedia a lot but have no interest whatsoever in editing it ever again, so by all means say that I was blocked for disruptive editing (guilty: I got fed up with the lot of you) or whatever else I was accused of before this puppeteer nonsense was settled on (the crime kept changing) but I'm not happy with what you currently show. Take it down or else. A genuine threat this time? We'll see. TRUE

0 Other than that you could see how the side bar looks intergrated into the top welcome section on the right and make it just one section. Providing you make it the same length and shrink the other pics down a little it should fit in the top? TRUE

1 I reckon you should die FALSE

0 This is British form and does not correspond to French nobiliary rules, which, in any case, are defunct, given that French noble titles were rendered obsolete more than a century ago. I think that, technically, she is merely Raine Spencer, having retrieved her previous surname upon her divorce from Chambrun. (And during the French marriage, she was not Countess of Chambrun, she was Countess Jean-Francois de Chambrun, and, as per French usage, would be referred to as Mme de Chambrun, with the title used only by servants and so-called inferiors.) FALSE

1 Hey jerk we may do a deal: please let in peace the articles of Carl Grissom and Bob the goon. Also unlock the Chase Meridian articles and accept that Jack Napier are in Batman Forever. In change I let of vandalize the user articles. FALSE

1 wikipedia.org for my fans i leave for one second and Wikipedia has blocked my published content for racist organizations who spam and/or advertize in the search engines under wikipedia.org name. would you like me to should you the links or the world the links? I am sick of the racism on wikipedia.org. stop blocking my publishing that is in fact not spam and not advertizing anything. It is my life, a real american, in america. TRUE

1 Once again the TYRANNY of Liberal opinions rules over all, just like the Nazis or Facists. TRUE

1 ok so its the currupt admin: desucka,crooked bullet,and krappydude. made a mental note TRUE

1 PAGE GO DIE YOU STUPID ARSEWHOLE AUTOMATED FILTER FALSE

0 " :::The article as it stands is of almost no use to the readership it's aimed at, that's the problem. I can't imagine why any medical professional would choose to use Wikipedia, but even if they do, they have easy access to better source material. The general reader doesn't have that easy access, so it would make sense to aim to the article at them. " TRUE

1 ::Dai antagonized me with he comment of my 'first' page move. Then Snowded suggested I was a either a drunk or just plain stupid. They should be attacking me on those public talkpages & through their 'edi summaries'. I used to be a happy bloke, but Dai & Snowy continue to poke & provoke me, via stalking, harrassment & contant ABF. They treat me like dirt, on thos public pages. TRUE

0 " == How rumours get started == Here is how rumours get started. Ramsquire is caught again starting a rumour. \*RPJ: There is no chain of custody on the rifle. \*Ramsquire: ""Yes there is."" \*RPJ: Where? \*Ramsquire: ""Its not in the article."" and ""I'm not going to do any research for you."" \*RPJ: Ramsquire, please, just admit you made the whole story up about a there being ""chain of custody"" on the rifle. " TRUE

0 :::This discussion was dead from more than half of month when I archived it. I really want to see Heta, Stigma and Sho in article, but I cannot add them again effectively, because of threat of edit war triggering mentioned above by me, which is manifested by reverts made by other editors after readding these letters by me. TRUE

0 ::::::::Oh seriously, you're definitely a challenging one. As I said, it's a legal matter. TRUE

1 One thing I hate is people who talk about other people behind their backs because they are too gutless to confront them in person. You go bad mouthing people like me and Slim Virgin and others off behind our backs. Really honorable behaviour. You are a weak person. TRUE

0 \*Please refrain from adding nonsense to Wikipedia, as you did to WWE RAW. It is considered vandalism. If you would like to experiment, use the sandbox. TRUE

1 == ... == WHY DO YOU ACT SO HOSTILE WHEN YOU GET INSULTED?!?! LEARN TO FRIGGIN FIND SOURCES BEFORE YOU DELETE THOSE PRICING GAME ARTICLES, GD FALSE

0 :::If you two weren't ganging up on me I'd get to report you first and get you banned. FALSE

1 if this is really world you enter my yard, I will use my hunter rifle blow out you head. but we are in wiki, so I will flag you as vandals. TRUE

0 == Your break == Hey Mr V. I hope you have a safe and restful break. But don't be gone for too long! ) Best wishes, TRUE

1 My edits are fine. You people are on the losing side. You have no shame. TRUE

1 == Dont go on making a FOOL of yourself , Paula! The whole school is laughing already! == Too bad that you cannot quit popping that stuff! Drugs are gonna get you in trouble one day! (much more then the stuff you do with half the guys in our class , at the movies! Jonathan told his mom, when she asked what the spots on his pants were!) Stop lying, stop accusing people of sockpuppetry who seem continents apart, stop hiding exactly those tracks about you that you accuse others of. You get yourself into a shambles, credibility wise. Anyhow, what business of yours is it what people without remotest relation to you do on wikipedia? You seem drunk, on drugs and having your period ??? FALSE

0 The place where it is now it's the correct place. It's chronologically and historically correct as it is now. Otherwise you have to move also your data as well TRUE

1 Before I accuse you of cringeworthy acts with donkeys, what does sprotected mean? FALSE

0 " ::Thanks for the reply – my biggest issue at the moment is whether to include ""sales figures"" for earlier years... as far as I know, there were no published end of year sales figures before 1994, and the sales published at the time for 1994 to 1996 have since been discredited and revised, so are basically worthless. The figures currently quoted in the articles up to 1996 are usually ""estimates"" that have been taken from various charts message boards, calculated by enthusiasts from officially published yearly sales figures per artist (i.e. sales could be made up of one or more singles or albums, and estimating what percentage of sales were assigned to each record). As these are completely unofficial and unverifiable, I am thinking to remove them altogether or at least add a note that all figures are unofficial and estimated. In any case I don't think most people are interested in how many records the 37th best selling album of 1987 sold that year – it makes more sense to me to concentrate efforts into keeping List of best-selling singles in the United Kingdom up to date. " TRUE

0 If you do have Welsh friends there ask them how my Welsh is? I cannot tell you if I'm a native speaker or not - I could be, I'm a cosmopolitan. Personally, my favorite version was . TRUE

1 :Spot, grow up! The article is being improved with the new structure. Please stop your nonsense. TRUE

1 SINCE WHEN IS >>>>SOURCED<<<< EDITING VANDALISM??? READ THE CITED SOURCES! WHERE pray tell me DOES IT SAY THAT IRAN EVER (I SAY EVER) HAD A DEMOCRATICAL ELECTION OF ANY SORT OR SHAPE in HISTORY?? QUIT CONVERTING WIKIPEDIA INTO A TRASH BIN with YOUR SILLY AND INFANTILE PRANKS! KISSING EACH OTHER'S REAR ENDS DOESN\*T MAKE POV less POV FALSE

1 == Eww, I can s m e l l something horrible round here! == Ewwww is that you? i can s m e l l you from here, man! peee-yewww!go take a bath or something, fleabag! FALSE

1 " == Hi == How dare you? try to delete my words. Are you Libra, Gemini or some haters else? The picture on the ""front page"" was so show-off. " TRUE

1 UKDoctor responds Shut Up, David Ruben - can't you see JdWolff referring to others as TIGERS IN CAGES !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! David Ruben is not any better and should go take a flying leap into the deep end of the Pacific Ocean if he wants to. . . WE WHITE DOCTORS ARE TOTALLY ASHAMED OF THE GENERAL MEDICAL COUNCIL -and we certainly have the right to say our piece in any way fit. FALSE

1 "== Your ignorant comments == In response to your Please do not remove content from Wikipedia. It is considered vandalism. If you would like to experiment, please use the sandbox. Thank you. — @ Your record indicates that you were banned as a vandal several times and asked for a defense several times. Also, your record indicates that Bertil videt asked you to revert some legitimate changes without reason and you did it because he asked you, vandalazing good content that did not suit him or you. You should talk. Also please read your own talk page regarding many other warnings given to you by other users. Also be a man (at least try) and deal with your own talk page rather than begging others to hold your hand. Before acting as a functional illiterate, you should have read the pertinent prior discussion already took place in the talk page on the removed content which has no place in a biography. By the way, how is your boyfriend Bertil Videt doing? I read sensational stuff on his talk page which he keeps hiding. Did you get to meet with his other boyfriends yet? " FALSE

1 " ::::Based on ChrisO's behavior that's a load of bull, he's just pretexting to attack me. Further, he NEVER gave me a ""warning"" about being blocked, the only ""warning"" I had was this and I RESPONDED to the abusive jerk by placing a question of his interpretation of the rule which he flatly refused to respond to. " TRUE

0 REDIRECT Talk:57th Directors Guild of America Awards TRUE

1 ::::Ouch! That sounded like a threat and since I didn't actually attack you but instead criticised your behaviour, I see you are again out of line. / TRUE

1 " ""he grew up in Russia, he was training with Russians, he talks Russian, even Russian President came to see his fights, thats why he repeatedly has identified himself as Russian in interviews"" And that doesn't make him Russian? You really are very stupid, as the banderlogs are, of course. your whole ideology is based on stupidity and ignorance, after all. " TRUE

1 == ... == WHY DO YOU ACT SO HOSTILE WHEN YOU GET INSULTED?!?! LEARN TO FRIGGIN FIND SOURCES BEFORE YOU DELETE THOSE PRICING GAME ARTICLES, GD FALSE

0 " :Time to call in the ""Three Revert Rule"", as I see both have editted it again? I have left a message for both PeeJay2k3 and Oragina2 to not change the table again, until a consensus is come to here on the talk page. If not, we might need to move down the Resolving Disputes road. " TRUE

1 , and to suggest that is flabbergastingly arrogant TRUE

0 " :Look, you are clearly trolling now and I am becoming more than a little fed up of you wasting the time of those of us who are here to write a good encyclopaedia. I am of course prepared to accept your argument that Alan Whicker's position is 'absolutely, unequivocally, and unquestionably definitive': but only if you are prepared to accept that my next-door-neighbour Mr Osborne's position that Manchester is the second city is also 'absolutely, unequivocally, and unquestionably definitive', since there's just as much reason to take his word on the matter as Mr Whicker's. ⁿɡ͡b \ " TRUE

1 == Respect is earned by respect == That user IS a troll and a stalker. They are not respected and are close to being banned. Did you bother to read the inflammatory garbage that they write on wikipedia? Or are you just part of a troll posse? TRUE

0 ==No Personal Attacks== Stop trying to cover up the truth about Wikipedia. I asked the user a question about whether or not the allegations in that article were true. I didn't write that article. P.S. I actually didnt need to even ask if they were true- its obvious that they were. TRUE

1 : you are a notorious troll and vandal too Hrafn. TRUE

0 I just want to point something out (and I'm in no way a supporter of the strange old git), but he is referred to as Dear Leader, and his father was referred to as Great Leader. FALSE

0 harmony between people of this village, or maybe vice versa ... .. / Blerim Shabani. / TRUE

1 ===hahahahahahaha=== Your fake information u have filled wikipedia wont be tolerated , stop spread propaganda in wikipedia , all information is fake as the fake state of fyrom. The truth shall prevail FALSE

0 " :I can sympathize with your frustration. I know many comic book professionals and I know a lot of things I would love to include in articles but I can't. I am not a linked source that other people can double-check. Your conversation with Heck is useful in that you can let it guide you as you look for sources that you can link as references, but in Wikipedia, a personal conversation is not an appropriate source for citation. " TRUE

1 ==Reversion== Given that some jerk vandalized the characters section by changing the names to various Nintendo characters, I have reverted to a much older version. TRUE

1 " well first, ""accidental suicide"" made me laugh. There are accidents and you die and then there are suicides and you die. Second the next sentences hurt my head. You ASSUME checkers? I don't. Some writer is ""theorizing""? Well this guy believed that George Hodel was the killer of the Black Dahlia. He has been humiliated for being wrong up and down the internets. So why not put down MY theory? Theone in which Martians killed her? Oh, right, because it is not relevant " TRUE

0 ==Cell (film)== Why is it such a horrible thing for me to create a page for the film? I've seen pages for other movies that are currently in production. H-E doulbe hocky sticks, I've seen pages for movies that aren't even in production yet. Can I get some answers, and don't just tell me to read some other WP:BOLOGNA. TRUE

1 So, in other words, you are professionally on the dole. You must live in your parents basement and leech off of them, like a 11-year old. Maybe if you had a bit of motivation, you could look for a real job, and not play your fantasy as a Wiki boy. I'm sure you couls start a career as a video game player. FALSE

1 What a joker you are. European parliament has no power to do anything. It is non binding because it is not serious and silly reports like that are not meant to be serious. what is more important is that we ruled your ancestors for centuries and trying to put negative images of turks in the turkey page is not going to change that. This is not a place for you to get your 'revenge'. Go and edit the golden dawn wikipedia because your ideas will only be welcome there. TRUE

1 " == Ban of ""Bryansee"" from Wikipediocracy. == Hey, you are Zoloft. The one who banned me from Wikipediocracy with a threat that I die. ""Well"" means dead. ""Recover"" means ""die"". You are wanting me to die by a medication increase or meet my maker. Check this out: " FALSE

1 MODERATORS ARE SOME OF THE MOST INGORANT AND SELF SERVING JERKS YOU WILL FIND ON THE NET FALSE

0 " :So I will start with a criticism of the quote from Ollier and Pain, with whom I have more general issues than the ""postorogenic part"". Phrase by phrase that I disagree with: :# Only much later was it realized that the two processes [deformation and the creation of topography] were mostly not closely related, either in origin or in time. Very wrong. Deformation causes topography, and the generation of topography is synchronous with deformation. I will email you a copy of Dahlen and Suppe (1988), which shows that this is the case - send me a message so I have your address and can attach a PDF. They tackle the large-scale deformation of sedimentary rocks via folding and thrusting during orogenesis. :# ...fold-belt mountainous areas...: ""fold-belt"" isn't used professionally (AFAIK) to refer to a collisional mountain-building event. A minor thing though. :# Only in the very youngest, late Cenozoic mountains is there any evident causal relation between rock structure and surface landscape. and the following sentence: If I were British, I would call this ""utter twaddle"". As I mentioned above, there is no way for many of the exposed structures to get to the surface without large amounts of rock uplift and erosion. And as a matter of fact, the trajectory of different units of rock through an orogen is in part determined by patterns of surface erosion. To keep it simple and send you one paper, you'll find this in and at the end of the paper by Dahlen and Suppe (1988). : " TRUE

1 ::::::What are you deaf can't you hear ? TRUE

1 WAS HERE. HE POWNS NOOBS ALL DAY! FALSE

1 :::And as fully expected, yet another abusive admin gets away with abusing their tools. TRUE

1 :Grow up, you immature little brat. This edit warring seems to be the only thing you do around here. TRUE

0 " Please do not vandalize pages, as you did with this edit to American Eagle Outfitters. If you continue to do so, you will be blocked from editing. " TRUE

0 " \* The ""bold move"" was at 05:48, 3 December 2013‎ by . Someone listed this move back as uncontroversial, and I have changed it into discussed, at Talk:Run Devil Run (Girls' Generation song)#Move? (2). " TRUE

0 ==THEN WHY IS Attacking my edits by removing my talk page comments== THAT IS SHOWING CONTEMPT FOR OTHER EDITORS AND IS VERY UNCIVIL AS WELL AS YOU UNEVEN AND UNFAIR LABELING ME... FALSE

1 If there was a cure for AIDs, it would probably be bought up by rich jerks and sold for double. I believe that if u have AIDs, then that is sad for you, but many people have said that the ones to blame are ..... well, I wont go into that here. many people have there own opinion of who it is. But that is just my opinion. It must suck to be a person with Aids. I would not know. TRUE

1 These people are INSANE. == TRUE

0 But then I rarely get my evil way with anything these days, must be getting old or lazy. Or perhaps both. TRUE

1 ":I have painstakingly taken the time to scan in the CD on my desk showing that ""Extreme Jaime""'s name is ""Jaime Guse"". Additionally, I continue to point out that Hiram skits are available both at DaveRyanShow.com and the Best of The Dave Ryan in the Morning Show CDs. The contents are viewable on Amazon. Additionally, I have taken some time to review your edits and history on Wikipedia. It appears you are trying to present yourself as authoritative, when you are not. You have tried multiple times to become an Administrator, but if you continue to act in such a reckless, inconsistent and immature manner, I doubt that it will ever happen. " FALSE

0 This is not an encyclopedia article, especially this bit: Armed once again with a song that possesses all the classic attributes of a successful Eurovision entry - a catchy, feel-good melody, and a key-change that builds up to a big finish - Chiara is highly likely to enter the contest as one of the favourites. This is more like a newspaper article. It should be removed. Chiara's fame is also not worthy of mention in an encyclopedia. We might as well start writing about the grocer or shopowner round the corner. TRUE

1 you should die from cancer. FALSE

1 Hard to be constructive when the other party behaves like a godking thug. FALSE

1 So, in other words, you are professionally on the dole. You must live in your parents basement and leech off of them, like a 11-year old. Maybe if you had a bit of motivation, you could look for a real job, and not play your fantasy as a Wiki boy. I'm sure you couls start a career as a video game player. FALSE

0 == Librier == Anon raised this issue in their edit summary. I agree that this term seems imprecisely added and not accurate. It is not generally or strictly associated with the Kelb tal-Fenek. TRUE

1 == ARRHGH! == Frederica is the most annoying talking head ever TRUE

1 Someone is threatning an annon and that is uncivilised wiki conduct. FALSE

1 I'll bet 80% of what she did was rubbish... FALSE

1 ==Hello== Dude your mother is totally hot. FALSE

1 I doubt this will get through your thick head (it's not an insult, it's an opinion based on your response) but the problem is not the issue itself. It's that people like you seem to enjoy (whether or not your side gets it right) to discuss, turn, twist and frankly abuse topics like this which are detrimental to the basic goals of Wikis in general and Wikipedia in particular. As John Stewart said to two hacks; You're hurting us. FALSE

1 2 words learn them SHUT UP DONT FOLLOW ME EVERYWHERE TRUE

1 " :::hey buddy, hey buddy, guess what? ""I"" dont care realy what ""your"" excuse is, and couldn't care less what Roaringflamer says, but you are obviously obsessed with redirects. If there is anybody that should be banned, its you for vandalism and disruption so there" FALSE

1 "OOOOHHHH With a big long Intellectually Terrifying and Superior name like ""(referenced to Journal of Labelled Compounds and Radiopharmaceuticals)"". How Could the quote be wrong Hey!! How dare I even question it, or possibly be right, in saying the ""supposed"" quote is wrong. What a stupid ignoramus I must be to challenge that. " FALSE

1 == YOUR THREATENING BEHAVIOUR == == YOUR CONSTANT BLOCKING AND SABOTAGE OF MY EDITS IS TANTAMOUNT TO STALIKING. ARE YOU STALKING ME? ARE YOU THREATENING ME STEVE? IS THIS WHAT YOURE ABOUT, THREATENING AND HARRASSING ME? WHY DO YOU KEEP STALKING ME THROUGH WIKIPEDIA? ARE YOU A TWISTED WACKO, DO YOU WISH ME HARM? WHY? WHY ARE YOU HARRASSING ME!!!!!!!!!!! LEAVE ME ALONE YOU RACIST WACKO!!!!!!!!! == FALSE

1 :O: I can't believe you thought that I would call you such a thing. I just wanted to give a cookie so you could get bigger and stronger. Obviously it wasn't because you're a fat pig. I'm sorry for the misunderstanding. TRUE

0 It's those biography and political articles you should watch out for. TRUE

1 FURTHERMORE.... I HAVE JUST VISITED RAGIB'S PAGE AND STUDIED THE DISCUSSION AREA. RAGIB IS OBVIOUSLY FROM BANGLADESH AND SEEMS TO BE A SIMILARLY PAROCHIAL CHAUVINIST EDITOR OF MANY OTHER ARTICLES, EVEN ASKING FOR UN-NECESSARY DELETIONS OF ARTICLES THAT HE DOES NOT LIKE..... AND GETTING SNUBBED FOR THE EFFORT!! FALSE

1 I beg your pardon? I am from the region, and berbers are a minority. How dare you presume to know people's origins? you can live in your make-belief world, but do not post it as fact and don't delete my posts either to further veil the truth. I am contacting Wikipedia immediately concerning this largely fictitious, vicious article and discussion. FALSE

0 , as, this is my IP adress FALSE

1 Would you believe it.. This frenchie threatens to ban me because I talk badly upon Foie Gras. I already said once that this page is protected by lobbyists. That includes frog eaters. TRUE

1 == HOW DARE YOU, TOO....... == HOW DARE YOU FOR ATTACKING ME! TRUE

1 == This is for removing my post on 100% == I'm going to DDOS your toaster for this. FALSE

1 you've made your point freakin heck what do you want me to do huh? I've explained why i changed mold to mould, i've made a user name now leave me alone already.... what is your problem. FALSE

0 " == Need your help in an article == Hi Kansas bear, I need your help in an article called ""Sultanate of Rum"", vandalized by Turkish nationalist and even including dubious sources from books like lonelyplanet travel guides. The guy has a profound anti neutrality agenda, even removing the Persianate description of the state and changing a section about Sultanate's architecture, by renaming it as ""culture"", in order to move around the sources for the Persianate terms. I think it needs to be addressed by more than one person to kick out the nationalistic bias from the article. " TRUE

1 This is pure tripe stolen from their bio on their official website, which is outdated by the way. That's bad wiki practice. FALSE

0 I saw it before watching the episode. Oh well. TRUE

1 Stupid! You're the one who stops for massive and undiscussed removal on the article. Also, you say you're interest in Chinese history well then go for it and don't ever pay attention to Vietnamese history. FALSE

0 "Jackson didn't perform at the WMA because he can't sing at all anymore. That is the real reason he hasn't toured for a decade, along with his bankruptcy. Even his vocals on ""We've Had Enough"" four years ago were poor and he never had a strong voice to begin with, certainly not comparable with the real King, Elvis Presley. Jackson has had financial problems since at least 1998 due to his declining sales and popularity, as well as his inactivity and having to support all his siblings and parents. In 2002 it was revealed he was in debt to various international banks to the tune of tens of millions of dollars, and after losing those lawsuits in May 2003 he was confirmed as on the verge of bankuptcy with debts of $400 million. Invincible was a flop because it sold less than a third of his last album, ""Dangerous"", and it was thoroughly mediocre music. Almost all of Jackson's remaining fans regard it as his worst album. In 1989 Jackson made it known he had to be addressed as the King of Pop - a meaningless, self-proclaimed title. He even planned to buy Graceland so he could demolish it, which certainly says far more about Jackson's megalomania than it does about Presley. Half the songs on the Dangerous album weren't good, especially the unbelievably awful Heal the World, and it only sold 30 million copies on the strength of his previous three albums. Yeah, WJ was unique all right, but the less said about that the better. You must know some very sad 20-year-olds if they still admire the disgraced former King of Pop. Anyway, most people know him as Wacko Jacko. Justin is the real King of Pop and like Eminem he just doesn't want to risk offending WJ's fans. Justin will continue to perform, while Jackson's active career finished a decade ago. ( ) " TRUE

1 ==Appears to Be Uncontructive?== Since when do your mere feelings = evidence? Get a clue hypocrite. You are the one being unconstructive. TRUE

1 WHy are you ugly and fat? FALSE

1 ::Is that so? Than why so many people questiong your incredibly arrogant, and entirely inappropriate edit actions? Maybe you're such an arrogant person, you think YOU are the only member of the community that matters? TRUE

1 Yep, he be the mouthpiece, but his law still stands. Oh, that was friggin' bad. TRUE

1 \*\*And we have a winner for the douchiest comment award. FALSE

0 harmony between people of this village, or maybe vice versa ... .. / Blerim Shabani. / TRUE