

< Chapter 3 >

3.1. 1) Trigram Probability: $P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \approx \prod_{k=1}^n P(w_k | w_{k-2}, w_{k-1})$
 $= \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{C(w_{k-2}, w_{k-1}, w_k)}{C(w_{k-2}, w_{k-1})} \approx \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{C(w_{k-2}, w_{k-1}, w_k)}{C(w_{k-2}, w_{k-1})}$

2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle s \rangle \langle s \rangle \text{ I am Sam } \langle /s \rangle \\ \langle s \rangle \langle s \rangle \text{ Sam I am } \langle /s \rangle \\ \langle s \rangle \langle s \rangle \text{ I do not like green eggs and ham } \langle /s \rangle \end{array} \right\}$

$P(\langle s \rangle \langle s \rangle \text{ I am Sam } \langle /s \rangle) = P(I | \langle s \rangle \langle s \rangle) \cdot P(\text{am} | \langle s \rangle I) \cdot P(\text{Sam} | I \text{ am}) \cdot P(\langle /s \rangle | \text{am Sam})$
 $= \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1$
 $= \frac{1}{6}$

3.2 1) $P(\langle s \rangle \text{ I want chinese food } \langle /s \rangle) = P(I | \langle s \rangle) P(\text{want} | I) P(\text{chinese} | \text{want}) P(\text{food} | \text{chinese}) P(\langle /s \rangle | \text{food})$
 $= \frac{0.25 \times 0.33 \times 0.0065 \times 0.52 \times 0.68}{1} = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$

2) Laplace Smoothing

$P(I | \langle s \rangle) P(\text{want} | I) P(\text{chinese} | \text{want}) P(\text{food} | \text{chinese}) P(\langle /s \rangle | \text{food})$
 $= \frac{C(\langle s \rangle I) + 1}{\sum_w (C(\langle s \rangle w) + 1)} \cdot \frac{C(\text{want} | \text{chinese}) + 1}{\sum_w (C(\text{want} w) + 1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{C(\text{food} \langle /s \rangle) + 1}{\sum_w (C(\text{food} w) + 1)}$
 $= \frac{C(\langle s \rangle I) + 1}{C(\langle s \rangle) + |W|} \cdot \frac{C(\text{want} | \text{chinese}) + 1}{C(\text{want}) + |W|} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{C(\text{food} \langle /s \rangle) + 1}{C(\text{food}) + |W|} = \frac{0.19 \times 0.21 \times 0.0029 \times 0.052 \times 0.40}{1} = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$

3.3 The unsmoothed probability is higher

→ because as the zero-probability turns to non-zero during smoothing, a mass number of previously-nonzero-probability moves to previously-zero-probability.

3.4. $P(\text{Sam} | \text{am}) = \frac{C(\text{am Sam}) + 1}{\sum_w (C(\text{am} w) + 1)} = \frac{C(\text{am Sam}) + 1}{C(\text{am}) + |W|} = \frac{3}{3 + 11} = 0.214$

$W = \{I, \text{am}, \text{Sam}, \text{do}, \text{not}, \text{like}, \text{green}, \text{eggs}, \text{and}, \langle s \rangle, \langle /s \rangle\} \Rightarrow |W| = 11$

3.5 The p of four possible sentences over {a,b} are

① $P(\langle s \rangle a a) = P(a | \langle s \rangle) P(a | a) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$
 ② $P(\langle s \rangle a b) = P(a | \langle s \rangle) P(b | a) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$
 ③ $P(\langle s \rangle b b) = P(b | \langle s \rangle) P(b | b) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$
 ④ $P(\langle s \rangle b a) = P(b | \langle s \rangle) P(a | b) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$

→ Therefore, the sum of probabilities of the possible sentences over {a,b} is 1.0

The sum of p of all possible 3 word sentences over {a,b} is,

$P(\langle s \rangle a a a) + P(\langle s \rangle a a b) + \dots + P(\langle s \rangle b a a) + P(\langle s \rangle b a b)$
 $= P(\langle s \rangle a a) (P(a | a) + P(b | a)) + P(\langle s \rangle a b) (P(a | b) + P(b | b)) + P(\langle s \rangle b b) (P(a | b) + P(b | b)) + P(\langle s \rangle b a) (P(a | a) + P(b | a)) = 1.0$

⇒ The sum of probabilities for 2-word sentences and 3-word sentences > 1.0

∴ the bigram model doesn't assign a single probability distribution

< Confusing Point >

$P(a|a), P(b|a), P(a|b), P(b|b)$ Counting

$\Rightarrow P(a|a) = \frac{C(a,a)}{\sum_w C(a,w)}$

→ Since there's no termination pseudoword ($\langle /s \rangle$), the count becomes 2, instead of 4

$$3.6 \quad p(w_3 | w_1, w_2) = \frac{C(w_1, w_2, w_3) + 1}{\sum_w (C(w_1, w_2, w) + 1)} = \frac{C(w_1, w_2, w_3) + 1}{C(w_1, w_2) + V}$$

3.8, 3.10 : github