Let  $g = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ . Predict  $\hat{y}^* = g(\vec{x}^*)$ . What if g found the closest  $\vec{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}$  to  $\vec{x}^*$  and returned  $\hat{y}^* = y_i$ ? This closest  $\vec{x}_i$  is called its neighbor. By closest, we need a notion of a difference between two observations:

$$d(\vec{x}_i \vec{x}_k) = \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_k\|^2 = (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_k)^T (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_k) = \sum_{i=1}^p (x_{ij} - x_{kj})^2$$

This is called L1 distance or Euclidean norm squared distance. Here,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are difficult to define.

What if g located the closest  $\vec{x_i}$ ?. Then  $\hat{y} = \text{Mode}[y_1, \dots, y_k]$  where each  $y_i$  represents the nearest  $x_i$ 's. This is called the K nearest neighbors, or KNN algorithm. The weakness of this algorithm is when p is large, where there are too many dimensions and so not all  $x_j$  terms are equally predictive. In this algorithm, k and d must be chosen.

So far, we have only been concerned with  $y = \{0, 1\}$ . This is called "binary classification." Tif  $y = \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ , where the response level are nominal (no order), there is called "classification" or "multi-level classification."

What if  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $y \in R \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ? This is called "regression." The threshold, perception and SVM cannot do regression without some adaptations.

Null Model: doesn't care about  $\vec{x}_i$ s. Therefore  $g(\vec{x}) = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i y_i$ . Linear Regression Model: Consider  $\mathcal{H} = \{ \vec{w} \cdot \vec{x} : \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \}$  where  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_p \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \dots & w_p \end{bmatrix}$ . Then we get

$$H = \left\{ w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_p x_p : w_0 \in \mathbb{R}, w_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \dots, w_p \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Here  $\vec{w}$  is the linear coefficients. The dimension of this parameter space is p+1. Imagine this for for p=1 care.

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ w_0 + w_1 x_1 : w_0 \in \mathbb{R}, w_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Then the candidate in  $\mathcal{H}$  that most closely resembles f is

$$h^*(\vec{x}) = w_0^* + w_1^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

What about the errors?

$$y = h^*(\vec{x}) + \varepsilon = h^*(\vec{x}) + \underbrace{(t(\vec{z}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}))}_{\text{misspecification of linear model}} (f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})) + \underbrace{(f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the noise or error. Note that  $h^*$  is inaccessible since we have to make an imperfect fit with finite data. Therefore

$$y = g(\vec{x}) + e = g(\vec{x}) + \underbrace{(t(\vec{z}) - f(\vec{x})) + (f(\vec{x}) - h^*(\vec{x}))}_{\varepsilon} + \underbrace{(h^*(\vec{x}) - g(\vec{x}))}_{\varepsilon}$$

where  $e - \varepsilon$  is the estimation error. We call e the residuals.

As  $n \to \infty$ ,  $g(\vec{x}) \to h^*(\vec{x})$  and  $e - \varepsilon \to 0$ . But  $y \neq g(\vec{x})$  since the other two errors are still present.

For the linear model for p = 1, we need a loss function to fit  $\vec{w}$ . Recall the sum of squared error formula. Let's do some manipulations to it.

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (w_0 + w_1 x_i))^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 + w_0^2 + w_1^2 x_i^2 - 2y_i w_0 - 2y_i w_1 x_i + 2w_0 w_1 x_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 + n w_0^2 + w_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - 2n \bar{y} w_0 - 2w_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i + 2w_0 w_1 n \bar{x}$$

Choose  $w_0$  and  $w_1$  to minimize the above.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_0} SSE = 2nw_0 - 2n\bar{y} + 2w_1 n\bar{x} = 0 \to \hat{w}_0 = \bar{y} - w_1 \bar{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} SSE = 2w_2 \sum_i x_i^2 - 2\sum_i y_i x_i + 2w_0 n\bar{x} = 0$$

$$= w_1 \sum_i x_i^2 - \sum_i y_i x_i + (\bar{y} - w_1 \bar{x}) n\bar{x} = 0$$

$$= w_1 \sum_i x_i^2 - \sum_i y_i x_i + n\bar{x}\bar{y} - w_1 n\bar{x}^2 = 0$$

$$w_1(\sum_i x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2) = \sum_i y_i x_i - n\bar{x}\bar{y}$$

$$\hat{w}_1 = \frac{\sum_i y_i x_i - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum_i x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2} = \frac{(n-1)S_{xy}}{(n-1)S_x^2} = r\frac{S_y}{S_x}$$

$$\hat{w}_0 = \bar{y} - r\frac{S_y}{S_x} \bar{x} = \beta_0$$