# Paper Summary: On the size of kakeya sets in finite fields

Original work by Zeev Dvir Summarized by Rachit Garg - CS14B050 IIT Madras

May 15, 2018

#### Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Using the Polynomial Method	1
3	Remarks	2

### 1 Introduction

A Kakeya set is a subset of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a finite field of q elements, that contains a line in every direction.

This problem follows from the study of the Kakeya needle problem (1917). The problem is as follows: What is the least area in the plane required to continuously rotate a needle of unit length and zero thickness around completely (i.e. by  $360^{\circ}$ )? Besicovitch in 1919 showed that there exists Kakeya sets  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of arbitrarily small area. This paper studies the version in the setting of finite fields. It answers a question from Wolff who in 1999 stated the conjecture that the size of every Kakeya set is at least  $C_n \cdot q^n$ , where  $C_n$  depends only on n. The lower bound showed by Wolff was of the form  $C_n \cdot q^{(n+2)/2}$ . This bound was further improved both for general n and for specific small values of n (e.g for n=3,4). For general n, the previous best lower bound was  $C_n \cdot q^{4n/7}$ . Dvir proved the conjecture by using the polynmial method. We briefly summarize and comment on his proof.

## 2 Using the Polynomial Method

We first define the appropriate terms and lemmas that will be useful in the proof.

**Definition 2.1.**  $((\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya Set). A set  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  is a  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya Set if there exists a set  $L \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  of size at least  $\delta \cdot q^n$  such that for every  $x \in L$  there is a line in direction x that intersects K in at least  $\gamma \cdot q$  points.

We mention the schwartz zippel lemma that bounds the number of roots of a n variate degree d polynomial.

**Lemma 2.2.** (Schwartz-Zippel) Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a non zero polynomial with  $deg(f) \leq d$ . Then

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{F}^n | f(x) = 0\}| \le d \cdot q^{n-1}$$

We now state the theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  be a  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya Set. Then

$$|K| \ge \binom{d+n-1}{n-1}$$

where

$$d = \lfloor q \cdot \min \left\{ \delta, \gamma \right\} \rfloor - 2$$

Suppose that the theorem is false then the number of monomials of degree d is larger than the size K. Therefore, there exists a homogenous n variate degree d polynomial g such that g is not the zero polynomial and  $\forall x \in K, g(x) = 0$ . Note that this is a consequence of solving a system of linear equations. We now show that g has too many zeros and hence must be identically zero.

Since K is a  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya set, there exists a set  $L \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  of size at least  $\delta \cdot q^n$  such that for every  $y \in L$  there exists a line with direction y that intersects K in at least  $\gamma \cdot q$  points. We now claim that g is zero on L as well. The main idea behind this claim is that if a degree d univariate polynomial has (d+1) zeros then it must be identically zero on the entire space.

Since size of L is  $\delta \cdot q^n$ , we have that a  $\delta$  fraction of points are roots. But as  $\delta > d/q$  we have a contradiction from the schwartz zippel lemma.

The paper then presented a similar extension to show that  $|K| \geq {q+n-1 \choose n}$ . This gives an answer to the question answered by Wolff.

### 3 Remarks

We observe that the polynomial method used mainly works along the lines of two observations:-

• A polynomial of degree d has at most d roots. (Schwartz zippel lemma is a generalization of this).

• Given a set S such that  $|S| \leq d$ , there exists a non zero univariate polynomial of degree at most d that has set S as its roots. (Used to show existence of a g that has the kakeya set as its roots).