# Cheatsheet - Time Complexity of Recursive Algorithms

Fabio Lama – fabio.lama@pm.me

#### 1. About

This cheatsheet covers the time complexity of recursive algorithms, including how to apply the master theorem.

#### 2. Recurrence Relation

Consider:

- 1. **function** fact(N)
- 2. **if** (N==1)
- 3. return 1
- 4. **return**  $N \times \text{fact}(N-1)$

If we analyze this function one by one:

1. **if** (N==1)

Constant time  $C_0$  (grouped).

1. **return**  $N \times \text{fact}(N-1)$ 

Only one of the two return statements is executed (we assume the second statement, worst case).

- 1. return 1
- 2. **return**  $N \times \text{fact}(N-1)$

Constant time  $C_1$  (return),  $C_2$  (read N),  $C_3$  (multiplication), and the fact function has a time of T(N-1). If we group all the constants together, we have:

$$C_1 + C_2 + C_3 = C_4$$

So we say that the return statement has a time complexity of:

$$C_4 + T(N-1)$$

Finally, we determine that the function fact has a time complexity of:

$$T(N) = C_0 + C_4 + T(N-1)$$

Or grouped together:

$$T(N) = C_5 + T(N-1)$$

This expression is known as a recurrence relation.

## 3. Solving a Recurrence Relation

We can demonstrate the solution of a recurrence relation by expanding the calculation:

$$T(N) = C_5 + T(N-1)$$
  $T(N) = C_5 + T(C_5 + T(N-2))$   $T(N) = C_5 + T(C_5 + T(C_5 + T(N-3)))$  ...  $T(N) = k imes C_5 + T(N-k)$ 

If k is N-1, then:

$$T(N) = (N-1) imes C_5 + T(N-(N-1))$$
  $T(N) = (N-1) imes C_5 + C$ 

That's because:

$$T(N - (N - 1))$$

$$= T(N - N + 1)$$

$$= T(1)$$

$$= C$$

TODO: we need more explanation and clarification here.

We have hence solved the recurrence equation. In summary:

- Find its recurrence equation.
- Solve the recurrence equation.
- Asymptotic analysis.

#### 4. The Master Theorem

The master theorem makes the asymptotic analysis of recursive functions much easier. However, it can only be applied if the recurrence equation has this specific structure:

$$T(n) = a imes T\Bigl(rac{n}{b}\Bigr) + f(n)$$

where  $a \geq 1$  and b > 1.

For example, this is solvable:

$$T(n) = T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big) + n$$
  $= 1 imes T\Big(rac{n}{2}\Big) + n$ 

Meanwhile, this is not:

$$T(n) = 2 imes T(n) + n$$
 $= 2 imes T\Bigl(rac{n}{1}\Bigr) + n$ 

The master theorem classifies the recurrence equiation in one of three cases:

#### 4.1. Case 1

$$f(n) < n^{\log_b a}$$

where the running time is:

$$T(n) = \Thetaig(n^{\log_b a}ig)$$

### 4.2. Case 2

$$f(n) = n^{\log_b a}$$

where the running time is:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} imes \log N)$$

#### 4.3. Case 3

$$f(n) > n^{\log_b a}$$

and:

$$a imes f\Big(rac{n}{b}\Big) \leq c imes f(n)$$
 where  $c < 1$  and  $n$  large

where the running time is:

$$T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$$

#### 4.4. Example

Consider:

$$T(n) = 2T\Bigl(rac{n}{2}\Bigr) + n$$

we deterime that the master theorem can be applied here, given that  $a=2\geq 1$  and b=2>1.

To determine the case, we first calculate:

$$\log_b a = \log_2 2 = 1$$

For case 1, we have:

$$n < n^1$$

which is **false**.

For case 2, we have:

$$n = n^1$$

$$n = n$$

which is **true**. Hence, we classify the formula as case 2 and the running time is:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n imes \log N)$$

Last updated 2024-05-21 20:38:21 UTC