

1. Since the main vector has n elements, each of which is another vector with m integers, you would need to combine the complexities in a meaningful way. Since we know that m is always the floor of $n/2$, we can represent m in terms of n . Thus, a proper notation could be $O(n)$. The equation could look something like $n + n/2$, where only the n would matter.
2. This time, we cannot express m in terms of n . The primary way with which we could represent the operation is with an equation like $n + m$, where it is unknown which value would be larger. In a case like this, every value still needs to be iterated through, so time still increases proportionately to the size of the vector and would still have an $O(n)$ notation.
3. In this case, only the first sub vector is iterated through completely. Because of this, m is now a constant, and n dictates how much longer the operation continues after the initial time needed to iterate through the first subvector. The equation would look like $n + m$, where m is a constant, and the notation would be $O(n)$.