Answers Exam Functional Programming – December 1, 2020

- 1. **Types** $(5 \times 2 = 10 \text{ points})$
 - (a) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

```
[not, (&& True)]
```

```
[Bool->Bool]
```

(b) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

```
[[(*)],(+)]
```

```
NO
```

(c) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

```
(42 -) \cdot (42::Int))
```

```
Int -> Int
```

(d) What is the most general type of the following function g?

```
g f = (:).f
```

```
g :: (a -> b) -> a -> [b] -> [b]
```

(e) What is the most general type of the following function £?

```
f = \langle (x, y) z \rightarrow (x (x y), x z)
```

```
f :: (a->a,a) -> a -> (a,a)
```

2. **Programming in Haskell** (10 points)

Implement a function longestPalsub: Eq a => [a] -> [a] such that the call longestPalSub xs returns the longest subsequence of xs which is a palindrome. Here, a subsequence consists of a consecutive run of elements from xs. The time complexity of your solution should not exceed $O(n^3)$, where n is the length of xs. You are not allowed to use the indexing operator (!!). For example, longestPalsub "Be careful to step on no pets he said." should return " step on no pets ", while longestPalsub [3,1,4,1,5,9,2,6,5] should return [1,4,1].

```
revsubs [] = []
revsubs (x:xs) = prefixes xs [x] ++ revsubs xs
where
    prefixes [] acc = [acc]
    prefixes (x:xs) acc = acc:prefixes xs (x:acc)

isPalindrome xs = xs == reverse xs

longest xss = lng xss [] 0
where
    lng [] ys _ = ys
    lng (xs:xss) ys ln
    | length xs > ln = lng xss xs (length xs)
    | otherwise = lng xss ys ln

longestPalsub xs = longest (filter isPalindrome (revsubs xs))
```

- 3. Higher order functions ($5 \times 2 = 10$ points)
 - Write a function splitWhen (including its type) which takes a predicate p and a list xs and returns a tuple (x, ys, zs) such that p x is True, xs=ys++[x]++zs, and p y is False for all y in ys. You may assume that p x is True for at least one element of xs. For example, splitWhen even [1, 3, 4, 5, 2, 1] should return (4, [1, 3], [5, 2, 1]).

• Give an implementation (and its type) of the standard Haskell function curry.

```
curry :: ((a, b) -> c) -> a -> b -> c
curry f = (\a b -> f (a,b))
```

• Implement a funtion map2 (including its type) which takes a function f and a list of lists xss and outputs the list of lists that is obtained by applying f to the elements of the lists in xss. For example map2 (*2) [[], [1, 2], [5, 6]] should return [[], [2, 4], [10, 12]].

```
map2 :: (a -> b) -> [[a]] -> [[b]]
map2 f xss = map (map f) xss
```

• The function count is recursively defined as:

Given an implementation of count (including its type) that does not use recursion nor a list comprehension.

```
count :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Int
count p = length.filter p
```

• Implement the function reverse using foldr.

```
reverse = foldr (\x ys -> ys ++ [x]) []
```

- 4. **List comprehensions** (2+2+3+3=10 points)
 - Implement the function isSorted :: [Int] -> Bool such that isSorted xs is True if and only if the list xs is ascending (i.e. each element is less or equal to its successor). Make use of a list comprehension together with the function and. For example, isSorted [1,2,3,3,4] should return True while isSorted [2,1] should return False.

```
isSorted xs = and [x \le y \mid (x, y) \le zip xs (tail xs)]
```

• Use a list comprehension to implement the function locations :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> [Int] which takes an item x and a list xs ands returns a list of indexes at which x is found in xs. Note that the first element of a list has index 0. For example, locations 1 [3,1,4,1,5,9,2,6,5,1] should return [1,3,9]. You are not allowed to use the indexing operator (!!).

```
locations a xs = [idx \mid (x,idx) \leftarrow zip idx [0..], x==a]
```

• Given is the following function fun.

```
fun p n = concat (map f (filter p [1..n])) where f x = map (y \rightarrow (x,y)) [1..x]
```

Give an equivalent implementation using a list comprehension. You are not allowed to use concat, filter or map. Also, give the type of the function fun.

```
fun :: (Int -> Bool) -> Int -> [(Int,Int)]
fun p n = [(x,y) | x <- [1..n], p x, y<-[1..x]]</pre>
```

• Matrices can be represented in Haskell as lists of lists. For example, [[1,2,3],[4,5,6]] represents the 2 × 3 matrix of which the first row is [1,2,3] and the second row is [4,5,6]. Write a function transpose that takes a matrix (i.e. a lists of lists) and returns the transposed matrix. So, transpose[[1,2,3],[4,5,6]] should return [[1,4],[2,5],[3,6]]. Your solution must make use of list comprehensions combined with recursion. You may assume that the input matrix is rectangular (i.e. each row has the same length). You are not allowed to use the indexing operator (!!).

```
transpose ([]:xss) = []
transpose xss = [ x | (x:xs) <- xss]:transpose [ xs | (x:xs) <- xss]</pre>
```

- 5. **infinite lists** (3+3+4=10 points)
 - Assuming the availability of the infinite list primes::[Integer] of prime numbers, use it to define the infinite list composites::[Integer] which is the list of all positive integers which are not prime.

• Using zip or zipWith, give a definition of the infinite list fs which is the list of numbers which are defined as:

$$F(0) = 0$$
, $F(1) = 1$, $F(n) = 2F(n-1) + F(n-2)$ for $n \ge 2$

So, the expression take 10 fs equals [0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 29, 70, 169, 408, 985]. Your implementation should be such that take n fs has an O(n) time complexity.

```
fs = zipWith (\x y -> 2*x + y) (0:fs) (0:1:fs)
```

• Implement the ordered infinite list ds23 of all positive integers that can be expressed as $2^i \cdot 3^j$ (where i and j are non-negative integers). For example, take 15 ds23 equals [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 24, 27, 32, 36, 48].

- 6. (15 points) The type Fifo a is an Abstract Data Type (ADT) for FIFO queues containing elements of type a. Recall that a Fifo queue is a container that works according the First-In-First-Out principle. Implement a module Fifo which exports the abstract data type but hides the concrete implementation. You may choose yourself a suitable data representation for Fifo queues. The following operations on queues need to be implemented:
 - empty: returns an empty queue.
 - is Empty: returns True for an empty queue, otherwise False.
 - insert: inserts an element in a Fifo queue.
 - retrieve: returns the 'oldest' element from a non-empty Fifo queue.
 - delete: returns the fifo that is obtained by removing the 'oldest' element from the queue.
 - size: returns the number of elements of the Fifo queue.

```
module Fifo(Fifo,empty,isEmpty,insert,retrieve,delete,size) where
data Fifo a = F [a]
empty = F []
```

```
isEmpty (F qs) = qs == []
insert x (F qs) = F (qs ++ [x])
retrieve (F (x:qs)) = x
delete (F (x:qs)) = F qs
size (F qs) = length qs
```

7. **Proof of equality** (10 points) Consider the following Haskell functions.

```
f xs ys zs = g xs (ys ++ zs)

g [] ys = []
g (x:xs) ys = ys ++ g xs ys
```

Prove that length (f xs ys zs) = length xs*length ys + length xs*length zs for all finite lists xs, ys, and zs.

```
The property is easily proved using structural induction on the list xs.
Base case (xs=[]):
  LHS = length (f [] ys zs) = length (g [] (ys++zs)) = length [] = 0
 RHS = length [] \star length ys + length [] \star length zs = 0 \star length ys + 0 \star length zs = 0
 so LHS=RHS.
Induction step: Assume that the property holds for xs, prove it for x:xs.
   LHS
 = length (f (x:xs) ys zs)
 = {definition f}
   length (g (x:xs) (ys++zs))
 = {definition g}
   length((ys++zs) ++ g xs (ys++zs))
 = {lemma below}
   length (ys++zs) + length (q xs (ys++zs))
 = {definition f}
   length (ys++zs) + length (f xs ys zs)
 = {induction hypothesis}
    length (ys++zs) + length xs*length ys + length xs*length zs
   RHS
 = length (x:xs) *length ys + length (x:xs) *length zs
  = {definition length}
    (1 + length xs) *length ys + (1 + length xs) *length zs
 = {arithmetic}
    length ys + length zs + length xs*length ys + length xs*length zs
  = {lemma below}
    length (ys++zs) + length xs*length ys + length xs*length zs
  so LHS=RHS.
We made use of the following lemma: length (xs++ys) = length xs + length ys
The proof is again by structural induction on xs.
Base: length([]++ys)=length ys = 0 + length ys = length[] + length ys
Inductive step: Assume length (xs++ys) = length xs + length ys
      length ((x:xs)++ys)
    = { definition ++ }
      length (x:(xs++ys))
    = {definition length}
```

```
1 + length(xs++ys)
= {induction hypothesis}
1 + lenght xs + length ys
= {definition length}
length(x:xs) + length ys
QED.
```

8. **Proof on trees** (15 points) Given is the data type Tree and the functions inorder, and mirror:

```
data Tree a = Empty | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
inorder :: Tree a -> [a]
inorder Empty = []
inorder (Node x l r) = inorder l ++ [x] ++ inorder r
mirror :: Tree a -> Tree a
mirror Empty = Empty
mirror (Node x l r) = Node x (mirror r) (mirror l)
```

Prove for all finite trees t: reverse(inorder(mirror t)) = inorder t

[Note: If you need one or more lemmas to complete the proof, then prove these lemmas separately. You may use without proof that ++ is an associative operator, and that xs++[]=xs.]

```
We prove this property using structural induction on Trees.
Base case (t=Empty):
  reverse(inorder(mirror Empty))
 = {definition mirror}
  reverse (inorder Empty)
 = {definition inorder}
  reverse []
 = {definition reverse}
  []
 = {definition inorder}
  inorder Empty
Inductive step (t=Node \times l \ r): assume that the property holds for l and r.
  reverse (inorder (mirror (Node x l r)))
 = {definition mirror}
  reverse(inorder(Node x (mirror r) (mirror l)))
 = {definition inorder}
  reverse(inorder(mirror r) ++ [x] ++ inorder(mirror l))
 = {lemma reverse (see below); ++ is associative}
  reverse(inorder(mirror 1)) ++ reverse(inorder(mirror r) ++ [x])
= {lemma reverse again)}
  reverse(inorder(mirror 1)) ++ reverse [x] ++ reverse(inorder(mirror r))
= {induction hypothesis twice}
  inorder l ++ reverse [x] ++ inorder r
 = {reverse (x:[]) = reverse [] ++ [x] = [] ++ [x] = [x]}
  inorder l ++ [x] ++ inorder r
 = {definition inorder}
  inorder (Node x l r)
We used the following lemma: reverse(xs++ys) = reverse ys ++ reverse xs
We prove the lemma using structural induction on \verb|xs|.
Base case (xs=[]):
  reverse ([] ++ ys)
= {definition ++}
  reverse ys
 = \{ xs = xs ++ [] \}
  reverse ys ++ []
 = {definition reverse}
  reverse ys ++ reverse []
```

```
Inductive step (case x:xs): assume that the lemma holds for xs
    reverse ((x:xs) ++ ys)
= {definition ++}
    reverse (x: (xs ++ ys))
= {definition reverse}
    reverse (xs ++ ys) ++ [x]
= {induction hypothesis}
    (reverse ys ++ reverse xs) ++ [x]
= {associativity ++}
    reverse ys ++ (reverse xs ++ [x])
= {definition ++}
    reverse ys ++ reverse(x:xs)
QED.
```