

Answers Exam Functional Programming – Nov. 4th 2019

1. Types (5× 2=10 points)

(a) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

`'a' : 'b' : [] : []`

NO

(b) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

`('a' : 'b' : []) : []`

YES, `[String]` or `[[Char]]`

(c) What is the most general type of the following function `f`?

`f = (\x -> \y -> \z -> [x y, x (x z)])`

`f :: (a -> a) -> a -> a -> [a]`

(d) What is the most general type of the following function `g`?

`g = .not`

`g :: (Bool -> a) -> Bool -> a`

(d) What is the most general type of the following function `h`?

`h = not.`

`h :: (a -> Bool) -> a -> Bool`

2. Programming in Haskell (10 points)

A *well formed string of parentheses* is defined by the following recursive rules:

- The empty string is well formed.
- If s is a well formed string, then (s) is a well formed string.
- If s and t are well formed strings, then their concatenation st is a well formed string.

For example, `"((()))"` and `"()()()"` are well formed strings, while `"(()"`, `"()()"` and `"()("` are not. Write a Haskell function `isWFS :: String -> Bool` such that `isWFS str` returns `True` if the string `str` is well formed and `False` otherwise.

```
isWFS :: String -> Bool
isWFS str = parse 0 0 str
  where
    parse lpar rpar []      = lpar == rpar
    parse lpar rpar '(' : xs = parse (lpar+1) rpar xs
    parse lpar rpar ')' : xs = (rpar < lpar) && parse lpar (rpar+1) xs
    parse _ _ _             = False
```

3. Higher order functions (3+3+4=10 points)

- Without using recursion or a list comprehension, write a function `selectiveMap` which takes three arguments. Also, give the type of the function `selectiveMap`. The first argument of the function is a predicate `p`, the second some function `f`, and the third a list `xs`. The function `selectiveMap` returns a list that is just like `xs`, but in which every element `x` that satisfies `p` is replaced by `f` applied to `x`.

For example, the call `selectiveMap even (*2) [1,2,3,4,5,6]` should return `[1,4,3,8,5,12]`.

```
selectiveMap :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> [a] -> [a]
selectiveMap p f xs = map select xs
  where select x = if p x then f x else x
```

- Without using recursion or a list comprehension, write a function `thresholdPairs` which takes two arguments. The first is an `Integer` `n`, and the second is a list `xs` of `Integer` pairs. The output should be the list of pairs `(a,b)`, in the same order as in the list `xs`, for which the sum of `a` and `b` is greater than `n`.

For example, the function call `thresholdPairs 3 [(1,2), (2,2), (3,5), (0,3), (0,4)]` should return `[(2,2), (3,5), (0,4)]`.

```
thresholdPairs :: Integer -> [(Integer,Integer)] -> [(Integer,Integer)]
thresholdPairs n pairs = filter (\(x,y) -> (x+y) > n) pairs
```

- Implement the standard function `map` using the standard function `foldr`.

```
map f xs = foldr (\e ys -> f e:ys) [] xs
```

4. List comprehensions (3+3+4=10 points)

- Use a list comprehension to implement the function `partition` which takes two arguments. The first is some element `x`, and the second a list `xs`. The function should return a pair of lists of which the first is the list of all elements of `xs` that are less than or equal to `x`, while the second is the list of all elements of `xs` that are greater than `x`. Also, give the most general type of the function `partition`.

```
partition :: Ord a => a -> [a] -> ([a],[a])
partition x xs = ([y | y <- xs, y <= x], [y | y <- xs, y > x])
```

- Use an efficient list comprehension to implement the function `tripletSum` (including its type) that takes a positive `Integer` `n`, and returns the lexicographically ordered list of all triples `(a,b,c)` such that `n` equals `a+b+c` and `1 <= a <= b <= c`. For example, `tripletSum 6` should return `[(1,1,4), (1,2,3), (2,2,2)]`.

```
tripletSum :: Integer -> [(Integer,Integer,Integer)]
tripletSum n = [(a,b,n-a-b) | a <- [1..n `div` 3], b <- [a..(n-a) `div` 2]]
```

- The function `adjacentTriples` takes a list `xs` and outputs the list of all triples of adjacent elements in the list `xs`. Give its type and an implementation using a list comprehension. For example, `adjacentTriples "curry"` should return `[('c','u','r'),('u','r','r'),('r','r','y')]`.

```
adjacentTriples :: [a] -> [(a, a, a)]
adjacentTriples xs = [(a,b,c) | (a,(b,c)) <-
                               zip xs (zip (drop 1 xs) (drop 2 xs))]
```

5. infinite lists (3+3+4=10 points)

- Give a recursive implementation of the function `iterate` (including its type) that takes two arguments. The first is a function `f` and the second some value `x`. The call `iterate f x` returns an infinite list of repeated applications of `f` to `x`. So, `iterate f x = [x, f x, f(f x), f(f(f x)), f(f(f(f x))), ...]`.

For example, take 10 `iterate (*2) 1` yields `[1,2,4,8,16,32,64,128,256,512]`.

```
iterate :: (a -> a) -> a -> [a]
iterate f x = x : iterate f (f x)
```

- Give a definition of the infinite list `tribonacci` which is the ordered list of all tribonacci numbers. Recall that the tribonacci numbers are defined as: $T(n) = n$ for $n < 3$ and $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + T(n-3)$ for $n \geq 3$. So, take 10 `tribonacci` should return `[0,1,2,3,6,11,20,37,68,125]`. Your implementation must make (useful) use of the function `zipWith`, so `map T [0..]` is not accepted as a valid answer.

```
tribonacci = 0:1:2:zip3 tribonacci (drop 1 tribonacci) (drop 2 tribonacci)
  where zip3 xs ys zs = zipWith (+) xs (zipWith (+) ys zs)
```

- Give a definition of the infinite list `palindromes` which is a list of lists of palindromic bit strings. The n th list contains all lexicographically sorted palindromes of length n (starting with $n = 0$). For example, take 4 palindromes produces `[[""], ["0", "1"], ["00", "11"], ["000", "010", "101", "111"]]`.

```
palindromes = [[]]:["0", "1"]:
  [[a:(xs++[a]) | a<-['0','1'], xs <- xss] | xss <- palindromes]
```

6. (15 points) The type `Polynomial` is an Abstract Data Type (ADT) for real valued polynomials. Implement a module `Polynomial` such that the implementation of the type `Polynomial` is hidden to the user. The following operations need to be implemented:

- `makePolynomial coeffs` converts the coefficients in the list `coeffs` into a `Polynomial`. For example, `makePolynomial [2.0, 0.0, 0.5]` should produce the `Polynomial` representation of $2x^2 + 0.5$.
- `eval pol x` returns the evaluation of the polynomial `pol` at `x`. For example, $2x^2 + 0.5$ at $x = 1.0$ can be computed using `eval (makePolynomial [2.0, 0.0, 0.5]) 1.0`.
- `add lhs rhs` returns the polynomial that is the addition of `lhs` and `rhs`. For example, $(2x^2 + 0.5) + (x - 1)$ can be constructed using `add (makePolynomial [2.0, 0.0, 0.5]) (makePolynomial [1.0, -1.0])`.
- `scale a pol` multiplies the polynomial `pol` by the scalar `a`. For example, $5(2x^2 + 0.5)$ can be constructed using `scale 5.0 (makePolynomial [2.0, 0.0, 0.5])`.

```
module Polynomial (Polynomial, makePolynomial, eval, add, scale) where

data Polynomial = P [Double]

makePolynomial :: [Double] -> Polynomial
makePolynomial coeff = P (reverse coeff)

eval :: Polynomial -> Double -> Double
eval (P coeff) x = sum(zipWith (*) coeff [x^e | e <- [0..]])

add :: Polynomial -> Polynomial -> Polynomial
add (P lhs) (P rhs) = P(psum lhs rhs)
  where
    psum (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x+y):psum xs ys
    psum xs ys = xs++ys

scale :: Double -> Polynomial -> Polynomial
scale s (P coeff) = P [s*c | c <- coeff]
```

7. **Proof of equality** (10 points) Consider the following Haskell function.

```
f 0 = 0
f 1 = 1
f n = 5*(f (n-1)) - 6*(f (n-2))
```

Prove that $f\ n = 3^n - 2^n$ for all non-negative integers n .

```
The property is easily proved using natural induction on n.
Base cases (n=0, and n=1):
  f 0 = 0 = 1 - 1 = 3^0 - 2^0
  f 1 = 1 = 3 - 2 = 3^1 - 2^1
Induction step: Assume that the property holds for n.
  f (n+1)
  = {definition f}
```

```

5*(f n) - 6*(f (n-1))
= {use hypothesis twice}
5*(3^n-2^n) - 6*(3^(n-1)-2^(n-1))
= {arithmetic: note that 6=3*2}
5*(3^n-2^n) - (2*3^n-3*2^n)
= {arithmetic}
3*3^n - 2*2^n
= {arithmetic}
3^(n+1) - 2^(n+1)      QED.

```

8. Proof on trees (15 points) Given is the data type `Tree` and the functions `inorder`, and `flatten`:

```
data Tree a = Empty | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
```

```

inorder :: Tree a -> [a]
inorder Empty = []
inorder (Node x l r) = inorder l ++ [x] ++ inorder r

```

```

flatten :: Tree a -> [a] -> [a]
flatten Empty ys = ys
flatten (Node x l r) ys = flatten l (x:flatten r ys)

```

Prove for all finite trees `t`: `inorder t = flatten t []`

[Note: If you need one or more lemmas to complete the proof, then prove these lemmas separately.]

The property follows immediately from the following lemma (with `ys=[]`):

```
flatten t ys = inorder t ++ ys
```

We prove this property using structural induction on Trees.

Base case (`t=Empty`):

```

flatten Empty ys
= {def. Flatten}
  ys
= {def. ++}
  []++ys
= {def. inorder}
  inorder Empty ++ ys

```

Inductive step (`t=Node x l r`): assume that the property holds for `l` and `r`.

```

flatten (Node x l r) ys
= {def. flatten}
  flatten l (x:flatten r ys)
= {ind. hypothesis for r}
  flatten l (x:(inorder r ++ ys))
= {def. ++}
  flatten l ((x:inorder r) ++ ys)
= {ind. hypothesis for l}
  inorder l ++ ((x:inorder r) ++ ys)
= {lemma: for any xs we have x:xs = [x]++xs}
  inorder l ++ ([x] ++ inorder r) ++ ys
= {lemma (twice): associativity of ++}
  (inorder l ++ [x] ++ inorder r) ++ ys
= {def. inorder}
  inorder (Node x l r) ++ ys

```

The first lemma is proved without induction: `[x]++xs=(x:[])++xs=x:([]++sx)=x:xs`

The 2nd lemma is a standard one: `xs++(ys++zs)=(xs++ys)++zs` with the consequence that parentheses can be played arbitrarily. This lemma is proved by induction.

Base: `[]++(ys++zs)=ys++zs=([]++ys)++zs`

Inductive case: `(x:xs)++(ys++zs)=x:(xs++(ys++zs))`
`=x:((xs++ys)++zs)=(x:(xs++ys))++zs=((x:xs)++ys)++zs`

QED.