Answers Exam Functional Programming – October 31, 2020

1. **Types** $(5 \times 2 = 10 \text{ points})$

(a) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

```
[not,[]]
```

```
NO
```

(b) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

```
[[not],[]]
```

```
Yes, [[not],[]] :: [[Bool->Bool]]
```

(c) Is the following expression type correct? If your answer is YES, then give the type of the expression.

```
(&&).(&&)
```

NO

(d) What is the type of the following function g?

```
g = not.not
```

```
g :: Bool -> Bool
```

(e) What is the most general type of the following function £?

```
f = \langle x - \rangle \langle y - \rangle \langle z - \rangle (x (x y), x z)
```

```
f :: (a -> a) -> a -> (a, a)
```

2. **Programming in Haskell** (10 points)

Consider the following two lists: [[1,2,3],[4,2],[]] and [[2,4],[],[2,3,1]]. If we ignore the order of elements in lists, then these lists are equal.

Implement a Haskell function compareListOfLists:: Eq a => [[a]] -> [[a]] -> Bool such that the call compareListOfLists xss yss returns True if and only if xss and yss are equal if we ignore the order of elements in lists.

```
listCompare :: Eq a \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow Bool) \Rightarrow [a] \Rightarrow Bool
listCompare cmp xs ys = compare xs ys
  where
    compare [] ys
                       = ys==[]
                     = False
    compare xs []
    compare (x:xs) ys = compare xs (rm x ys)
    rm _ [] = []
    rm x (y:ys)
      | cmp x y = ys
      | otherwise = y:rm x ys
compareLists :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> Bool
compareLists = listCompare (==)
compareListOfLists :: Eq a => [[a]] -> [[a]] -> Bool
compareListOfLists = listCompare compareLists
```

- 3. Higher order functions ($5 \times 2 = 10$ points)
 - Write a function flip such that (flip f) a b returns f b a. The implementation must make use of a lambda expression. Also, give the type of the function flip.

```
flip :: (a -> b -> c) -> (b -> a -> c)
flip f = \a -> \b -> f b a
```

• Without using recursion or a list comprehension, write a function evenLists which takes a list of lists of Integers as its argument and removes from it every list not containing an even numer. Also, give the type of this function. For example, evenLists [[1,2],[7,5,11],[1,3],[21,2,42]] should return [[1,2],[21,2,42]].

```
evenLists :: [[Integer] -> [[Integer]]
evenLists = filter (any even)
```

• Implement the funtion append such that append xs ys returns xs++ys. You must make use of the standard function foldr, and are not allowed to use the ++ operator itself.

```
append xs ys = foldr (:) ys xs
```

• Without using recursion or a list comprehension, implement the funtion pals that takes a list of lists as its argument and removes from it every list that is not a palindrome. Also, give the most general type of this function.

For example, pals ["madam", "pop", "your", "stack"] should return ["madam", "pop"].

```
pals :: Eq a => [[a]] -> [[a]]
pals = filter (\xs -> xs == reverse xs)
```

• Implement the function zip using zipWith.

```
zip = zipWith (\x -> \y -> (x,y))
```

- 4. **List comprehensions** (2+2+3+3=10 points)
 - Implement the function replicate using a list comprehension.

```
replicate n \times = [x \mid i \leftarrow [1..n]]
```

• Use a list comprehension to implement the function pairs which takes a list xs ands returns a list of all pairs that can be constructed from xs. For example, pairs [1, 2, 3] should return the following list (in this order):

```
[(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3)]
pairs xs = [(x,y) | x <- xs, y <- xs]
```

• The function pairs 2 also takes a list xs and outputs a list of pairs. A recursive implementation is given below. For example, pairs 2 [1,2,3,4] returns [(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,4),(3,4)].

Give an equivalent implementation that makes use of (a) list comprehension(s) that replaces the recursions.

```
pairs2 xs = [(x,y) | (x,i) < -zip xs [1..], y < -drop i xs]
```

• The function perms takes a list of Ints and returns a list of all possible permutations of this list. For example, perms [1..3] should return (in this order) [[1,2,3],[1,3,2],[2,1,3],[2,3,1],[3,1,2],[3,2,1]]. Implement perms using a list comprehension.

- 5. **infinite lists** (3+3+4=10 points)
 - Assuming the availablility of the infinite list primes::Integer of prime numbers, write a function isPrime n that returns True only if n is a prime number.

```
isPrime n = n == head(reverse(takeWhile (<=n) primes))</pre>
```

• Using zip or zipWith, give a definition of the infinite list delayedFib which is list of *delayed Fibonacci* numbers which are defined as:

$$F(n) = n \text{ for } n < 3, \quad F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-3) \text{ for } n \ge 3$$

So, the expression take 10 delayedFib equals [0,1,2,2,3,5,7,10,15,22].

```
delayedFib = 0:1:2:zipWith (+) delayedFib (drop 2 delayedFib)
```

• Implement the infinite list abc which consist of all strings that can be produced with the letters 'a', 'b', and 'c'. For example, take 25 abc should return:

```
["a","b","c","aa","ba","ca","ab","bb","cb","ac","bc","cc","aaa","baa","caa",

"aba","bba","cba","aca","bca","aab","bab","cab","abb"]

abc = [ c:s | s <- "":abc, c <- "abc"]
```

- 6. (15 points) The type RLElist is an Abstract Data Type (ADT) that is used to store lists that typically contain chunks of repeated values. A typical example of that would be a list like [1,1,1,4,5,2,2,2,2,2,2,1,1,1]. This list can be stored more compactly as a list of pairs, where the first element represents a data element and the second contains the length of the chunk. This type of data storage is called RLE (Run Length Encoding). For the given example, this representation would be [(1,3),(4,1),(5,1),(2,6),(1,3)]. abc = [c:s-s;-":abc,c;-":abc,":ab
 - from List xs returns the RLElist representation of the standard list xs.
 - toList xs converts the RLElist xs into a standard list.
 - hd xs returns the head of the non empty RLElist xs.
 - tl xs return the tail of the non empty RLElist xs.
 - cons x xs returns the RLElist that is obtained by placing the element x ahead of the RLElist xs.
 - cat xs ys returns the RLElist that is obtained by concatenating the RLElists xs and ys.
 - len xs returns the length (the number of data items) in the RLElist xs.
 - rev xs returns the RLElist that is obtained by reversing the data lements in the RLElist xs.

7. **Proof of equality** (10 points) Given is the following Haskell function.

```
f [] ys = []
f (x:xs) ys = ys ++ f xs ys
```

Prove that length xs * length ys = length (f xs ys) for all finite lists xs and ys.

```
The property is easily proved using structural induction on the list xs.
Base case (xs=[]):
  length [] \star length ys = 0 \star length ys = 0 = length [] = length (f [] ys)
Induction step: Assume that the property holds for xs, prove it for x:xs.
   length (x:xs) * length ys
  = {definition length}
   (1 + length xs) * length ys
 = {arithmetic}
   length ys + length xs * length ys
  = {induction hypothesis}
   length ys + length(f xs ys)
 = {lemma below}
   length(ys ++ f xs ys)
 = {definition f (reverse direction)}
   length(f (x:xs) ys)
We made use of the following lemma: length (xs++ys) = length xs + length ys
The proof is again by structural induction on xs.
Base: length([]++ys)=length ys = 0 + length ys = length[] + length ys
Inductive step: Assume length (xs++ys) = length xs + length ys
      length ((x:xs)++ys)
   = { definition ++ }
     length (x:(xs++ys))
    = {definition length}
      1 + length(xs++ys)
    = {induction hypothesis}
      1 + lenght xs + length ys
    = {definition length}
      length(x:xs) + length ys
 OED.
```

8. **Proof on trees** (15 points) Given is the data type Tree and the functions inorder, and size:

```
data Tree a = Empty | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
inorder :: Tree a -> [a]
inorder Empty = []
inorder (Node x l r) = inorder l ++ (x:inorder r)

size :: Tree a -> Integer
size Empty = 0
size (Node x l r) = size l + 1 + size r
```

Prove for all finite trees t: size(t) = length(inorder t)
[Note: If you need one or more lemmas to complete the proof, then prove these lemmas separately.]

```
We prove this property using structural induction on Trees.
Base case (t=Empty):
  size(Empty)
= {definition size}
= {def. length}
  length []
= {definition inorder}
  length(inorder Empty)
Inductive step (t=Node \times l r): assume that the property holds for l and r.
  size (Node x l r)
= {definition size}
  size l + 1 + size r
= {induction hypothesis twice}
  length(inorder 1) + 1 + length(inorder r)
= {definition length}
  length(inorder l) ++ length(x:inorder r)
= {lemma used in problem 7}
  length(inorder l ++ (x:inorder r))
= {definition inorder}
  length(inorder (Node x l r))
```