Rosette Tutorial

By Abdul Rafae Noor

What is Rosette

- Rosette is a solver-aided programming language with constructs for
 - Program Synthesis
 - Program Verification (with user-defined equivalence constraints)
 - Optimization
 - Angelic Execution

- Comes pre-packaged with multiple solvers such operating on various domains
 - E.g. Theory Of BitVectors, Integers

- Extends the <u>Racket</u> Functional programming language
 - LISP, Scheme like syntax



```
; Naming a typedef for specific bitvector length type
(define int128? (bitvector 128))
(define int32? (bitvector 32))
(define int8? (bitvector 8))
(define int4? (bitvector 4))
(define (int4 i)
 (by i int4?))
(define (int8 i)
 (bv i int8?))
(define (int32 i)
 (bv i int32?))
(define (int128 i)
 (bv i int128?))
(println (int128 10))
```

```
;; Rosette provides various bitvector operations on bitvectors
(println (bvadd (int32 1) (int32 3)))
;; Output: (bv #x00000004 32)
(println (bvmul (int32 2) (int32 3)))
;; Output: (bv #x00000006 32)
```

```
;; Most bitvector operations are
;; have take either two operands or
;; one. We can fold the binary operations
;; on lists of arbritrary lengths
(define bv_list (list (int32 0) (int32 1) (int32 2) (int32 3) (int32 4)))
;; Apply folds an operation
;; on a list of values
(define (simple-add-reduce ls)
 (apply byadd ls)
(println (simple-add-reduce bv_list))
;; Output: (bv #x0000000a 32)
(println (bitvector->integer (simple-add-reduce bv_list)))
;; Output: 10
```

```
(define value
  (by #x11112222 (bitvector 32)))
(println value)
;; Output: (bv #x11112222 32)
;; Extracting the bitvector starting from
;; 8 bits from the right up till 23 bits
;; from the right yielding a
;; bitvector of length (23-8) + 1 = 16 bits
(define slice (extract 23 8 value))
(println slice)
;; Output: (bv #x1122 16)
```

- Many more operations such as SEXT, ZEXT, OR, AND, etc.

```
;; Rosette provides a new define-symbolic keyword
;; for defining symbolic values. These values can
;; be integers or bitvectors (with differennt underlying
:: theories).
(define-symbolic _x (bitvector 32))
(println _x)
;; Output: x
```

```
;; Rosette hides away the complexity of
;; using symbolic/concrete variant of bitvector
;; operations by exposing the same name for
;; bitvector operations for both.
(define v
 (by 1 (bitvector 32)))
(println (bvadd _x y))
;; Output: (bvadd (bv #x00000001 32) x)
```

```
;; Let's say we want to define a query to return
;; to lowest common multiple of two bitvectors, but using
;; falling back on symbolic evaluation to solve it.
(define-symbolic _lcm (bitvector 32))
(define (symbolic-lcm-first a b)
  (define zero (by 0 (bityector 32)))
  (assume (bvsgt _lcm zero))
  (solve (begin
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm a))
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm b))
                    ))
```

```
;; Let's say we want to define a guery to return
;; to lowest common multiple of two bitvectors, but using
;; falling back on symbolic evaluation to solve it.
(define-symbolic _lcm (bitvector 32))
(define (symbolic-lcm-first a b)
  (define zero (bv 0 (bitvector 32)))
  (assume (bvsgt _lcm zero))
 (solve (begin
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm a))
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm b))
                    ))
```

```
(define res
  (symbolic-lcm-first (bv 5 (bitvector 32)) (bv 7 (bitvector 32)))
(println res)
;; Output: (model
;; [_lcm (bv #x302f6941 32)])
(assert (sat? res) "Unsatisfiable query")
```

```
;; assign lcm_val the concrete value
;; for _lcm according to the 'model'
;; generated.
(define lcm_val (evaluate _lcm res))
(println lcm_val)
;; Output: (bv #x302f6941 32)
;; Converting to integer
(println (bitvector->integer lcm_val))
;; Output: 808413505
```

- 808413505 is not the LCM for 7 and 5!
 - What went wrong?



- 808413505 is not the LCM for 7 and 5!
 - What went wrong?

```
;; Let's say we want to define a guery to return
;; to lowest common multiple of two bitvectors, but using
;; falling back on symbolic evaluation to solve it.
(define-symbolic lcm (bitvector 32))
(define (symbolic-lcm-first a b)
  (define zero (by 0 (bityector 32)))
  (assume (bysgt lcm zero))
  (solve (begin
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm a))
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm b))
                    ))
```

```
;; The constraints were under specified. There
;; are multiple values which the remainder returns
;; zero. We want the smallest possible value in
;; that set.
```



```
;; We use another symbolic construct
;; to include the constraint that we
;; want to minimize the specific
;; multiple value.
```

```
(define (symbolic-lcm a b)
                                                   Minimize LCM difference
 (define zero (by 0 (bitvector 32)))
                                                   from input values
 (assume (bvsgt _lcm2 zero)) ;; Assume Positive
 (define result
                                                    Smallest Multiple Value
    (optimize
     #:minimize (list (bvsub _lcm2 a) (bvsub _lcm2 b))
     #:guarantee (begin
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm2 a)))
            (assert (equal? zero (bvsrem _lcm2 b)))
 result
```

```
(println lcm_7_5)
;; Output: (model
;; [_lcm2 (bv #x00000023 32)])
(assert (sat? res) "Unsatisfiable query")
(define final-res (evaluate _lcm2 lcm_7_5))
(println (bitvector->integer final-res))
;; Output: 35!
```

Success!

• We have a list of input data and output data

 Can we synthesize a polynomial expression on input variables to calculate output?

Row ID	а	b	С	output
1	1	1	1	5
2	2	5	6	33
3	0	0	0	0

Defining names for data points

```
(define-grammar (poly-grammar a b c)
                 [expr
                   ( choose
                     a
                     b
                     C
                     (+ (expr) (expr))
                     (- (expr) (expr))
                     (/ (expr) (expr))
                     (* (expr) (expr))
                     )]
```

- Grammar Tree can have infinite depth!
 - Synthesis may become infeasible due to infinite recursion

Solution: Limit the recursive definition to a bounded depth

```
(define sol
   (synthesize
   #:forall (list a_1 b_1 c_1 res1 a_2 b_2 c_2 res2 a_3 b_3 c_3 res3 )
   #:guarantee (begin
            (assert
                (equal? res1 (synth_grammar a_1 b_1 c_1) ))
            (assert
                (equal? res2 (synth_grammar a_2 b_2 c_2) ))
            (assert
                (equal? res3 (synth_grammar a_3 b_3 c_3) ))
```

```
;; Output:
;; '(define (synth_grammar arg1 arg2 arg3)
;; (+ (+ (+ arg2 arg2) (* arg3 arg3)) (- (- arg2 arg3) (* arg1 arg3))))
```

Success!

Given two BitVectors lo and hi, find the midpoint between them

```
; Returns the midpoint of the interval [lo, hi].
(define (bvmid lo hi) ; (lo + hi) / 2
  (bvsdiv (bvadd lo hi) (int32 2)))
```

```
(define (check-mid impl lo hi) ; Assuming that
 (assume (bysle (int32 0) lo)) ; 0 \le 1 and
 (assume (bysle lo hi))
                                ; lo ≤ hi,
 (define mi (impl lo hi))
                                ; and letting mi = impl(lo, hi) and
 (define diff
                                ; diff = (hi - mi) - (mi - lo),
   (bysub (bysub hi mi)
          (bvsub mi lo))) ; we require that
 (assert (bysle lo mi))
                                ; lo ≤ mi,
 (assert (bvsle mi hi)) ; mi ≤ hi,
 (assert (bysle (int32 0) diff)); 0 \le diff, and
 (assert (bysle diff (int32 1)))); diff \leq 1.
```

```
(define-symbolic low high int32?)
(define cex (verify (check-mid bvmid low high)))
(println cex)
```

```
(define-symbolic low high int32?)

(define cex (verify (check-mid bvmid low high)))
(println cex)

;; Output: (model
;; [low (bv #x394f0402 32)]
;; [high (bv #x529e7c00 32)])
```

Concrete Counter Example which failed verification!

```
(define-grammar (fast-int32 x y) ; Grammar of int32 expressions over two inputs:
 [expr
  (choose x y (?? int32?) ; \langle expr \rangle := x \mid y \mid \langle 32-bit integer constant \rangle
          ((bop) (expr) (expr)) ;
                                       (<bop> <expr> <expr>) |
          ((uop) (expr)))] ;
                                         (<uop> <expr>)
 [bop
  (choose byadd bysub byand
                                ; <bop> := bvadd | bvsub | bvand
          byor byxor byshl
                                            bvor
                                                     bvxor
                                                            bvshl |
          bvlshr bvashr)l
                                           bvlshr | bvashr
 [uop
  (choose byneg bynot)])
                                ; <uop> := bvneq | bvnot
       'define
       '(bvmid-fast lo hi)
       (list 'bylshr '(byadd hi lo) (by #x00000001 32)))
```

Conclusion

- Abstracts away SMT formula generation for problem description
 - E.g. description can contain loops and other racket constructs

- Equivalence description entirely expressed in Rosette.
 - Can provide formal verification
 - Can provide reference implementation and verify translation is semantically equivalent.

- Even better, tell Rosette to synthesize equivalent translation in your own DSL!
 - (DSL defined in Rosette)

- CEGIS Algorithm at the core of synthesis
 - Learns from mistakes and avoids making the same mistake again

Resources

Rosette Website:

https://docs.racket-lang.org/rosette-guide/index.html

Tutorial Code from slide:

https://github.com/RafaeNoor/Rosette-Tutorial

Program Synthesis Introduction:

https://people.csail.mit.edu/asolar/SynthesisCourse/TOC.htm

https://people.csail.mit.edu/asolar/SynthesisCourse/Lecture10.htm (CEGIS)