
SECURITY OPERATIONS CENTRE (SOC) WORKFLOW WITH **EXAMPLES AND** SIMULATIONS

WORKFLOW



BREAKDOWN OF SECURITY OPERATIONS CENTER (SOC) WORKFLOW

Workflow Stages:

- 1. L1 Analyst (Tier 1)
- 2. L2 Analyst (Tier 2)
- 3. L3 Analyst (Tier 3)
- 4. SOC Manager
- 1. L1 Analyst (Tier 1)

Responsibilities:

- Initial alert triage and validation
- · Basic analysis and correlation
- · Escalation to L2 if needed

Workflow:

1. Alert Reception:

SIEM generates an alert.

2. Initial Alert Review:

- o Check alert details (e.g., source IP, destination, activity).
- o Validate alert against known baselines and thresholds.

3. Correlation with Historical Data:

o Review historical logs and past activity for the source.

4. Determine Validity:

o Validate if the alert is a false positive or a genuine incident.

5. Documentation:

- o Record findings in the incident management system.
- o Include alert details and initial analysis.

6. Escalation:

- o If the incident is confirmed or needs deeper analysis, escalate to L2.
- o Provide all pertinent information for L2 analysis.

2. L2 Analyst (Tier 2)

Responsibilities:

- In-depth analysis and investigation
- Threat intelligence correlation
- Initial containment and response actions
- Escalation to L3 if needed

Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

Receive all data from L1.

2. Detailed Analysis:

- Review logs, network traffic, and endpoint security data.
- o Identify patterns and correlate with known threat intelligence.

3. Contextual Analysis:

- Analyse recent activities related to the affected systems.
- Look for signs of compromise and related alerts.

4. Threat Intelligence:

- o Correlate findings with threat intelligence databases.
- o Identify known indicators of compromise (IOCs).

5. Additional Checks:

 Verify endpoint security logs for signs of unauthorised access or abnormal processes.

6. Documentation:

- o Record detailed findings in the incident management system.
- o Include logs, patterns, and threat intelligence correlations.

7. Initial Response:

- o Implement containment actions (e.g., isolate endpoints).
- o Inform IT and stakeholders for immediate attention.

8. Escalation:

- If further analysis is required, escalate to L3.
- o Provide all collected data and findings for L3 analysis.

3. L3 Analyst (Tier 3)

Responsibilities:

- Advanced threat analysis and digital forensics
- Malware and exploit analysis
- Root cause analysis and comprehensive response planning
- Strategic recommendations for future prevention

Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

Receive all data and findings from L2.

2. Advanced Threat Analysis:

- Perform digital forensics on memory dumps, disk images, and network traffic.
- Conduct malware and exploit analysis.

3. Exploit and Root Cause Analysis:

- o Analyse how the attack was executed and identify the root cause.
- Determine the full scope and impact of the attack.

4. Comprehensive Documentation:

- o Record in-depth findings in the incident management system.
- Include forensics, malware analysis, and root cause details.

5. Strategic Recommendations:

- Provide recommendations for immediate remediation and long-term prevention.
- Suggest improvements to security posture and incident response processes.

6. Coordination with SOC Manager:

- o Communicate findings and recommendations.
- Assist in coordinating the overall response strategy.

7. Post-Incident Review:

- Conduct a review with stakeholders to discuss findings and lessons learned.
- Implement changes based on recommendations.

4. SOC Manager

Responsibilities:

- Oversight and coordination of the SOC team
- Incident management and response coordination
- Communication with stakeholders and leadership
- Continuous improvement of SOC processes

Workflow:

1. Incident Oversight:

Monitor ongoing incidents and ensure proper escalation and handling.

2. Coordination and Communication:

- Facilitate communication between SOC team members and stakeholders.
- o Ensure that incident responses are aligned with organisational policies.

3. Resource Allocation:

- Allocate resources and support for incident response activities.
- Ensure that the SOC team has the necessary tools and training.

4. Post-Incident Review:

- Lead post-incident reviews and debriefs.
- Ensure that lessons learned are documented and improvements are implemented.

5. Process Improvement:

- o Continuously evaluate and improve SOC processes and workflows.
- Stay updated on the latest threats and best practices in cybersecurity.

EXAMPLES AND SIMULATIONS

Scenario 1: Lateral Movement Detection and Analysis

Alert: Suspicious Lateral Movement Detected

- Source: SIEM
- **Description:** Multiple failed and successful login attempts detected on various systems within a short timeframe, indicating potential lateral movement within the network.
- Severity: CriticalTriggered Rules:
 - o Rule 1: 5 failed login attempts on multiple systems within 10 minutes
 - o Rule 2: Successful logins on systems previously not accessed by the user

L1 Analysis

L1 Analyst Workflow:

- 1. Receive Alert:
 - o The SIEM has generated an alert for potential lateral movement.
- 2. Initial Analysis:
 - o Check Details:
 - User: dalot
 - Affected systems: SystemA, SystemB, SystemC
 - Source IP: 192.168.1.10
 - Timestamps: Various within the past 10 minutes
 - Number of failed attempts: 5
 - Successful logins: SystemB, SystemC
- 3. Correlate with Historical Data:
 - Review Past Login Activity:
 - Regular login systems: SystemA
 - New systems accessed: SystemB, SystemC
- 4. Determine Validity:
 - Unusual login attempts across multiple systems suggest potential lateral movement.
- 5. Document Findings:
 - Alert Details:

Alert ID: 2001 User: dalot

Source IP: 192.168.1.10

Affected Systems: SystemA, SystemB, SystemC

Failed Attempts: 5

Successful Logins: SystemB, SystemC

Status: Escalated to L2

6. Escalation:

o Escalate to L2 analyst with all pertinent information.

Why L1 Can't Solve the Problem:

- **Skill Limitation:** L1 analysts generally have limited skills and tools. They are primarily responsible for monitoring and initial triage.
- **Scope of Work:** L1 is focused on identifying and validating alerts. The complexity of correlating multiple systems' activities and understanding potential lateral movement tactics typically exceeds their role.

L2 Analysis

L2 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L1 regarding potential lateral movement.

2. In-depth Analysis:

- Review Logs:
 - Failed Login Attempts:

Aug 06 12:00:00 - 192.168.1.10 - Failed login for user dalot on SystemA

Aug 06 12:01:00 - 192.168.1.10 - Failed login for user dalot on SystemB

• • •

Aug 06 12:05:00 - 192.168.1.10 - Failed login for user dalot on SystemC

Successful Logins:

Aug 06 12:10:00 - 192.168.1.10 - Successful login for user dalot on SystemB

Aug 06 12:12:00 - 192.168.1.10 - Successful login for user dalot on SystemC

3. Contextual Analysis:

- Check Recent Activity:
 - Review any recent alerts or activities related to SystemB and SystemC.

4. Threat Intelligence:

- Search for any known indicators related to the source IP or accessed systems.
- Findings: Source IP associated with a known APT (Advanced Persistent Threat) group.

5. Additional Checks:

Endpoint Security Logs:

- Verify if the endpoints (SystemB, SystemC) show any signs of compromise or unusual activity.
- Findings: Abnormal processes running on SystemB, suspicious PowerShell commands executed on SystemC.

6. Document Findings:

Detailed Report:

Alert ID: 2001 User: dalot

Source IP: 192.168.1.10 (Associated with APT group) Affected Systems: SystemA, SystemB, SystemC

Failed Attempts: 5

Successful Logins: SystemB, SystemC

Endpoint Analysis: Abnormal processes on SystemB, suspicious

PowerShell on SystemC Status: Escalated to L3

7. Coordinate Response:

- Isolate affected systems (SystemB, SystemC) to prevent further lateral movement.
- Inform IT and relevant stakeholders for immediate attention.

8. Escalation:

o Escalate to L3 with all collected data and findings.

Why L2 Can't Solve the Problem:

- **Tool Limitation:** L2 analysts have more tools and expertise than L1, but advanced digital forensics and malware analysis often require specialised tools and skills.
- Advanced Threat Analysis: L2 analysts might identify abnormal activities and potential compromise, but deep-dive investigations like malware reverse engineering or root cause analysis typically need L3 expertise.
- Coordinated Response: L2 can isolate systems and coordinate initial responses, but strategic decisions and comprehensive remediation plans are often developed by L3.

L3 Analysis

L3 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L2 regarding potential lateral movement.

2. Advanced Threat Analysis:

- Digital Forensics:
 - Collect and analyse memory dumps, disk images, and network traffic from affected systems.

 Findings: Evidence of a credential dumping tool used on SystemB, suspicious outbound connections on SystemC.

3. Malware Analysis:

- Static and Dynamic Analysis:
 - Analyse any malicious binaries found on the affected systems.
 - Findings: Custom malware linked to the APT group, capable of credential theft and lateral movement.

4. Root Cause Analysis:

- o Determine how the attackers initially gained access and moved laterally.
- Findings: Initial access gained via a spear-phishing email targeting dalot, exploiting a known vulnerability.

5. Strategic Recommendations:

- o Implement advanced endpoint detection and response (EDR) solutions.
- o Conduct regular phishing awareness training.
- o Patch vulnerabilities promptly.
- o Enhance network segmentation to limit lateral movement.

6. Document Findings:

Comprehensive Report:

Alert ID: 2001 User: dalot

Source IP: 192.168.1.10 (Associated with APT group) Affected Systems: SystemA, SystemB, SystemC

Failed Attempts: 5

Successful Logins: SystemB, SystemC

Endpoint Analysis: Abnormal processes on SystemB, suspicious

PowerShell on SystemC

Malware Analysis: Custom malware linked to APT group

Root Cause: Spear-phishing email, known vulnerability exploited

Recommendations: Implement EDR, phishing training, patching, network

segmentation

Status: Closed with action items

7. Post-Incident Review:

- Conduct a post-incident review with all stakeholders to discuss findings and recommendations.
- Implement changes based on the strategic recommendations to prevent similar incidents in the future.

- Advanced Skills: L3 analysts possess advanced skills in digital forensics, malware analysis, and incident response planning.
- **Specialised Tools:** They have access to specialised tools for deep-dive analysis and threat hunting.

•	Comprehensive Analysis: They can perform root cause analysis, determine the full scope of an attack, and provide strategic recommendations to prevent future incidents.

Scenario 2: Data Exfiltration via Steganography

Alert: Unusual Data Transfer Detected

- Source: SIEM
- Description: Large data transfers to an external server detected, with suspicious patterns indicating possible steganographic techniques.
- Severity: Critical
- Triggered Rules:
 - o Rule 1: Large outbound data transfer exceeding 1GB within a short timeframe
 - o Rule 2: Unusual traffic patterns to an unrecognised external IP

L1 Analysis

L1 Analyst Workflow:

- 1. Receive Alert:
 - o The SIEM has generated an alert for unusual data transfer activity.
- 2. Initial Analysis:
 - o Check Details:

Source IP: 192.168.2.50

Destination IP: 203.0.113.10

Data transferred: 1.2GB

Timestamps: Various within the past 15 minutes

- 3. Correlate with Historical Data:
 - Review Past Data Transfer Activity:
 - Regular data transfers: Typically less than 100MB, recognised external IPs
 - Current alert: Unrecognised external IP, unusually large data transfer
- 4. Determine Validity:
 - o Large data transfer to an unrecognised external IP is suspicious.
- 5. Document Findings:
 - Alert Details:

Alert ID: 3001

Source IP: 192.168.2.50 Destination IP: 203.0.113.10 Data Transferred: 1.2GB

Status: Escalated to L2

6. Escalation:

o Escalate to L2 analyst with all pertinent information.

Why L1 Can't Solve the Problem:

- **Skill Limitation:** L1 analysts lack the expertise to identify sophisticated data exfiltration techniques such as steganography.
- **Tool Limitation:** They typically do not have access to the specialised tools required for advanced data analysis.

L2 Analysis

L2 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L1 regarding unusual data transfer.

2. In-depth Analysis:

- Review Logs:
 - Data Transfer Details:

Aug 06 12:00:00 - 192.168.2.50 - 203.0.113.10 - 1.2GB transferred

3. Contextual Analysis:

- Check Recent Activity:
 - Review any recent alerts or activities related to 192.168.2.50.

4. Endpoint Security Logs:

- Verify if the endpoint (192.168.2.50) shows any signs of compromise or unusual activity.
- o Findings: Multiple large file accesses, network anomalies.

5. **Document Findings:**

Detailed Report:

Alert ID: 3001

Source IP: 192.168.2.50 Destination IP: 203.0.113.10 Data Transferred: 1.2GB

Endpoint Analysis: Multiple large file accesses, network anomalies

Status: Escalated to L3

6. Coordinate Response:

- Isolate the affected endpoint (192.168.2.50) to prevent further data exfiltration.
- o Inform IT and relevant stakeholders for immediate attention.

7. Escalation:

Escalate to L3 with all collected data and findings.

Why L2 Can't Solve the Problem:

- Advanced Threat Analysis: L2 analysts might identify abnormal activities and potential compromise, but advanced techniques like steganography require L3 expertise.
- **Specialised Tools:** L2 analysts may lack access to tools for deep-dive steganographic analysis.

• Comprehensive Response: L2 can isolate systems and coordinate initial responses, but strategic decisions and comprehensive remediation plans are often developed by L3.

L3 Analysis

L3 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L2 regarding unusual data transfer.

2. Advanced Threat Analysis:

- Digital Forensics:
 - Collect and analyse memory dumps, disk images, and network traffic from the affected endpoint.
 - Findings: Evidence of steganographic techniques used to hide data within images and videos.

3. Steganography Analysis:

- Static and Dynamic Analysis:
 - Analyse files transferred for hidden data using steganographic tools.
 - Findings: Sensitive data hidden within seemingly benign files.

4. Root Cause Analysis:

- Determine how the attackers managed to use steganography for data exfiltration.
- Findings: Insider threat using legitimate access to hide data and transfer
 it

5. Strategic Recommendations:

- o Implement data loss prevention (DLP) solutions.
- o Enhance monitoring for steganographic techniques.
- Conduct insider threat awareness training.
- Review and tighten access controls to sensitive data.

6. Document Findings:

Comprehensive Report:

Alert ID: 3001

Source IP: 192.168.2.50 Destination IP: 203.0.113.10 Data Transferred: 1.2GB

Endpoint Analysis: Evidence of steganography

Steganography Analysis: Sensitive data hidden within files

Root Cause: Insider threat

Recommendations: Implement DLP, steganography monitoring, insider

threat training, access controls review

Status: Closed with action items

7. Post-Incident Review:

- Conduct a post-incident review with all stakeholders to discuss findings and recommendations.
- o Implement changes based on the strategic recommendations to prevent similar incidents in the future.

- Advanced Skills: L3 analysts possess advanced skills in digital forensics, malware analysis, and incident response planning.
- **Specialised Tools:** They have access to specialised tools for deep-dive analysis and threat hunting.
- **Comprehensive Analysis:** They can perform root cause analysis, determine the full scope of an attack, and provide strategic recommendations to prevent future incidents.

Scenario 3: Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) Detection via DNS Tunneling

Alert: Unusual DNS Queries Detected

- Source: SIEM
- **Description:** A high volume of unusual DNS queries detected, indicating potential DNS tunneling activity.
- Severity: CriticalTriggered Rules:
 - o Rule 1: Excessive DNS queries from a single endpoint
 - o Rule 2: DNS queries to domains with abnormal patterns

L1 Analysis

L1 Analyst Workflow:

- 1. Receive Alert:
 - SIEM generates an alert for unusual DNS query activity.
- 2. Initial Analysis:
 - Check Details:
 - Source IP: 192.168.3.45
 - Destination Domain: example-malicious-domain.com
 - Number of DNS queries: 500+ within the past hour
- 3. Correlate with Historical Data:
 - Review Past DNS Activity:
 - Regular DNS query volume: Typically less than 50 per hour
 - Current alert: Excessive DNS queries to a suspicious domain
- 4. Determine Validity:
 - o High volume of DNS queries to an abnormal domain is suspicious.
- 5. Document Findings:
 - Alert Details:

Alert ID: 4001

Source IP: 192.168.3.45

Destination Domain: example-malicious-domain.com

DNS Queries: 500+ Status: Escalated to L2

6. Escalation:

o Escalate to L2 analyst with all pertinent information.

Why L1 Can't Solve the Problem:

- **Skill Limitation:** L1 analysts typically lack the expertise to recognise sophisticated techniques like DNS tunneling.
- **Tool Limitation:** L1 analysts may not have access to specialised tools required for deeper DNS analysis.

L2 Analysis

L2 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L1 regarding unusual DNS query activity.

2. In-depth Analysis:

- Review Logs:
 - DNS Query Details:

Aug 06 12:00:00 - 192.168.3.45 - example-malicious-domain.com - 500+ queries

3. Contextual Analysis:

- Check Recent Activity:
 - Review any recent alerts or activities related to 192.168.3.45.

4. Threat Intelligence:

- o Search for any known indicators related to the suspicious domain.
- Findings: The domain is linked to a known APT group using DNS tunneling for C2 (Command and Control) communication.

5. Additional Checks:

- Endpoint Security Logs:
 - Verify if the endpoint (192.168.3.45) shows any signs of compromise or unusual activity.
 - Findings: Suspicious outbound connections and abnormal process activity.

6. Document Findings:

Detailed Report:

Alert ID: 4001

Source IP: 192.168.3.45

Destination Domain: example-malicious-domain.com

DNS Queries: 500+

Endpoint Analysis: Suspicious outbound connections, abnormal process

activity

Status: Escalated to L3

7. Coordinate Response:

- Isolate the affected endpoint (192.168.3.45) to prevent further DNS tunneling activity.
- o Inform IT and relevant stakeholders for immediate attention.

8. Escalation:

Escalate to L3 with all collected data and findings.

Why L2 Can't Solve the Problem:

- Advanced Threat Analysis: L2 analysts might identify abnormal activities and potential compromise, but advanced techniques like DNS tunneling require L3 expertise.
- **Specialised Tools:** L2 analysts may lack access to tools for deep-dive DNS and network traffic analysis.
- Comprehensive Response: L2 can isolate systems and coordinate initial responses, but strategic decisions and comprehensive remediation plans are often developed by L3.

L3 Analysis

L3 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L2 regarding unusual DNS query activity.

2. Advanced Threat Analysis:

- Digital Forensics:
 - Collect and analyse network traffic captures from the affected endpoint.
 - Findings: Evidence of DNS tunneling for C2 communication.

3. Malware Analysis:

- Static and Dynamic Analysis:
 - Analyse any malicious binaries found on the affected endpoint.
 - Findings: Custom malware linked to the APT group, utilizing DNS tunneling for stealthy C2 communication.

4. Root Cause Analysis:

- Determine how the attackers initially gained access and established DNS tunneling.
- Findings: Initial access gained via a spear-phishing email exploiting a zero-day vulnerability.

5. Strategic Recommendations:

- o Implement advanced network monitoring and DNS logging solutions.
- Conduct regular phishing awareness training.
- o Patch vulnerabilities promptly.
- o Enhance network segmentation to limit the spread of malicious activities.

6. Document Findings:

Comprehensive Report:

Alert ID: 4001

Source IP: 192.168.3.45

Destination Domain: example-malicious-domain.com

DNS Queries: 500+

Endpoint Analysis: Suspicious outbound connections, abnormal process

activity

DNS Analysis: Evidence of DNS tunneling for C2 communication

Malware Analysis: Custom malware linked to APT group

Root Cause: Spear-phishing email, zero-day vulnerability exploited

Recommendations: Implement advanced network monitoring, phishing

training, patching, network segmentation

Status: Closed with action items

7. Post-Incident Review:

- Conduct a post-incident review with all stakeholders to discuss findings and recommendations.
- o Implement changes based on the strategic recommendations to prevent similar incidents in the future.

- Advanced Skills: L3 analysts possess advanced skills in digital forensics, malware analysis, and incident response planning.
- **Specialised Tools:** They have access to specialised tools for deep-dive analysis and threat hunting.
- **Comprehensive Analysis:** They can perform root cause analysis, determine the full scope of an attack, and provide strategic recommendations to prevent future incidents.

Scenario 4: Zero-Day Exploit Detection and Response

Alert: Suspicious Exploit Activity Detected

- Source: SIEM
- **Description:** Suspicious exploit activity detected on a critical server, indicating a potential zero-day attack.
- Severity: CriticalTriggered Rules:
 - o Rule 1: Unusual exploit activity patterns
 - o Rule 2: Access to critical files and system configurations

L1 Analysis

L1 Analyst Workflow:

- 1. Receive Alert:
 - o SIEM generates an alert for suspicious exploit activity on a critical server.
- 2. Initial Analysis:
 - Check Details:
 - Source IP: 192.168.4.75
 - Affected Server: CriticalServer1
 - Exploit Activity: Attempt to access sensitive configuration files
- 3. Correlate with Historical Data:
 - Review Past Activity:
 - Regular access patterns: Legitimate user access only
 - Current alert: Unusual exploit activity
- 4. Determine Validity:
 - o Exploit activity on a critical server is highly suspicious.
- 5. Document Findings:
 - Alert Details:

Alert ID: 5001

Source IP: 192.168.4.75

Affected Server: CriticalServer1

Exploit Activity: Access to sensitive configuration files

Status: Escalated to L2

6. Escalation:

o Escalate to L2 analyst with all pertinent information.

Why L1 Can't Solve the Problem:

- **Skill Limitation:** L1 analysts typically lack the expertise to identify and respond to zero-day exploits.
- **Tool Limitation:** L1 analysts may not have access to specialised tools required for deeper exploit analysis.

L2 Analysis

L2 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L1 regarding suspicious exploit activity.

2. In-depth Analysis:

- Review Logs:
 - Exploit Activity Details:

Aug 06 12:00:00 - 192.168.4.75 - CriticalServer1 - Attempt to access sensitive configuration files

3. Contextual Analysis:

- Check Recent Activity:
 - Review any recent alerts or activities related to CriticalServer1.

4. Threat Intelligence:

- o Search for any known indicators related to the suspicious activity.
- Findings: The activity matches patterns of a new zero-day exploit recently disclosed.

5. Additional Checks:

- Endpoint Security Logs:
 - Verify if the affected server shows any signs of compromise or unusual activity.
 - Findings: Abnormal processes running and unauthorised changes to system configurations.

6. Document Findings:

Detailed Report:

Alert ID: 5001

Source IP: 192.168.4.75

Affected Server: CriticalServer1

Exploit Activity: Access to sensitive configuration files

Endpoint Analysis: Abnormal processes, unauthorised configuration

changes

Status: Escalated to L3

7. Coordinate Response:

- Isolate the affected server (CriticalServer1) to prevent further exploit activity.
- Inform IT and relevant stakeholders for immediate attention.

8. Escalation:

Escalate to L3 with all collected data and findings.

Why L2 Can't Solve the Problem:

- Advanced Threat Analysis: L2 analysts might identify abnormal activities and potential compromise, but advanced techniques like zero-day exploit analysis require L3 expertise.
- **Specialised Tools:** L2 analysts may lack access to tools for deep-dive exploit and system analysis.
- Comprehensive Response: L2 can isolate systems and coordinate initial responses, but strategic decisions and comprehensive remediation plans are often developed by L3.

L3 Analysis

L3 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

o Incident escalated from L2 regarding suspicious exploit activity.

2. Advanced Threat Analysis:

- Digital Forensics:
 - Collect and analyse memory dumps, disk images, and network traffic from the affected server.
 - Findings: Evidence of a zero-day exploit compromising the server.

3. Exploit Analysis:

- Static and Dynamic Analysis:
 - Analyse any malicious binaries found on the affected server.
 - Findings: Custom exploit code exploiting a zero-day vulnerability in the server software.

4. Root Cause Analysis:

- Determine how the attackers managed to exploit the zero-day vulnerability.
- Findings: Unpatched software with a known vulnerability, no available patch yet.

5. Strategic Recommendations:

- o Implement compensating controls to mitigate the exploit risk.
- Enhance network monitoring and intrusion detection capabilities.
- Work with the software vendor to expedite a patch.
- o Conduct a thorough review of all critical servers for similar vulnerabilities.

6. Document Findings:

Comprehensive Report:

Alert ID: 5001

Source IP: 192.168.4.75

Affected Server: CriticalServer1

Exploit Activity: Access to sensitive configuration files

Endpoint Analysis: Abnormal processes, unauthorised configuration

changes

Exploit Analysis: Custom exploit code for zero-day vulnerability Root Cause: Unpatched software with zero-day vulnerability

Recommendations: Implement compensating controls, enhance

monitoring, work with vendor, review critical servers

Status: Closed with action items

7. Post-Incident Review:

- Conduct a post-incident review with all stakeholders to discuss findings and recommendations.
- o Implement changes based on the strategic recommendations to prevent similar incidents in the future.

- Advanced Skills: L3 analysts possess advanced skills in digital forensics, malware analysis, and incident response planning.
- **Specialised Tools:** They have access to specialised tools for deep-dive analysis and threat hunting.
- **Comprehensive Analysis:** They can perform root cause analysis, determine the full scope of an attack, and provide strategic recommendations to prevent future incidents.

Scenario 5: Ransomware Attack with Lateral Movement and Data Encryption

Alert: Ransomware Detected

- Source: SIEM
- **Description:** Ransomware detected on multiple endpoints, indicating lateral movement and data encryption.
- Severity: CriticalTriggered Rules:
 - o Rule 1: Suspicious file encryption activity
 - o Rule 2: Multiple failed login attempts followed by successful login

L1 Analysis

L1 Analyst Workflow:

- 1. Receive Alert:
 - o SIEM generates an alert for ransomware detected on multiple endpoints.
- 2. Initial Analysis:
 - Check Details:
 - Affected Endpoints: 192.168.5.20, 192.168.5.21, 192.168.5.22
 - Ransomware Activity: File encryption detected
- 3. Correlate with Historical Data:
 - Review Past Activity:
 - Regular activity: No previous ransomware activity
 - Current alert: Sudden file encryption on multiple endpoints
- 4. Determine Validity:
 - o Ransomware activity on multiple endpoints is highly suspicious.
- 5. Document Findings:
 - Alert Details:

Alert ID: 6001

Affected Endpoints: 192.168.5.20, 192.168.5.21, 192.168.5.22

Ransomware Activity: File encryption detected

Status: Escalated to L2

6. Escalation:

o Escalate to L2 analyst with all pertinent information.

Why L1 Can't Solve the Problem:

- **Skill Limitation:** L1 analysts typically lack the expertise to identify and respond to complex ransomware attacks.
- **Tool Limitation:** L1 analysts may not have access to specialised tools required for deeper ransomware analysis.

L2 Analysis

L2 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

 Incident escalated from L1 regarding ransomware detected on multiple endpoints.

2. In-depth Analysis:

- Review Logs:
 - Ransomware Activity Details:

Aug 06 12:00:00 - 192.168.5.20, 192.168.5.21, 192.168.5.22 - File encryption detected

3. Contextual Analysis:

- Check Recent Activity:
 - Review any recent alerts or activities related to the affected endpoints.

4. Threat Intelligence:

- o Search for any known indicators related to the ransomware activity.
- Findings: The activity matches patterns of a known ransomware variant with lateral movement capabilities.

5. Additional Checks:

- Endpoint Security Logs:
 - Verify if the affected endpoints show any signs of compromise or unusual activity.
 - Findings: Unauthorised login attempts, abnormal process activity, and data encryption.

6. Document Findings:

Detailed Report:

Alert ID: 6001

Affected Endpoints: 192.168.5.20, 192.168.5.21, 192.168.5.22

Ransomware Activity: File encryption detected

Endpoint Analysis: Unauthorised login attempts, abnormal process

activity

Status: Escalated to L3

7. Coordinate Response:

- o Isolate the affected endpoints to prevent further ransomware spread.
- o Inform IT and relevant stakeholders for immediate attention.

8. Escalation:

Escalate to L3 with all collected data and findings.

Why L2 Can't Solve the Problem:

• Advanced Threat Analysis: L2 analysts might identify abnormal activities and potential compromise, but advanced techniques like lateral movement and data encryption analysis require L3 expertise.

- **Specialised Tools:** L2 analysts may lack access to tools for deep-dive ransomware and network traffic analysis.
- Comprehensive Response: L2 can isolate systems and coordinate initial responses, but strategic decisions and comprehensive remediation plans are often developed by L3.

L3 Analysis

L3 Analyst Workflow:

1. Receive Escalated Incident:

 Incident escalated from L2 regarding ransomware detected on multiple endpoints.

2. Advanced Threat Analysis:

- Digital Forensics:
 - Collect and analyse memory dumps, disk images, and network traffic from the affected endpoints.
 - Findings: Evidence of ransomware with lateral movement capabilities, encrypting files and demanding ransom.

3. Ransomware Analysis:

- Static and Dynamic Analysis:
 - Analyse any malicious binaries found on the affected endpoints.
 - Findings: Custom ransomware variant using advanced evasion techniques and lateral movement.

4. Root Cause Analysis:

- Determine how the attackers managed to deploy ransomware and move laterally.
- Findings: Initial access gained via a compromised user account, followed by lateral movement and deployment of ransomware.

5. Strategic Recommendations:

- o Implement advanced endpoint detection and response (EDR) solutions.
- o Enhance network segmentation to limit lateral movement.
- Conduct regular ransomware awareness training.
- Review and tighten access controls to sensitive systems.

6. Document Findings:

Comprehensive Report:

Alert ID: 6001

Affected Endpoints: 192.168.5.20, 192.168.5.21, 192.168.5.22

Ransomware Activity: File encryption detected

Endpoint Analysis: Unauthorised login attempts, abnormal process

activity

Ransomware Analysis: Custom variant with lateral movement Root Cause: Compromised user account, lateral movement,

ransomware deployment

Recommendations: Implement EDR, network segmentation, ransomware

training, access control review

Status: Closed with action items

7. Post-Incident Review:

- Conduct a post-incident review with all stakeholders to discuss findings and recommendations.
- o Implement changes based on the strategic recommendations to prevent similar incidents in the future.

- Advanced Skills: L3 analysts possess advanced skills in digital forensics, malware analysis, and incident response planning.
- **Specialised Tools:** They have access to specialised tools for deep-dive analysis and threat hunting.
- **Comprehensive Analysis:** They can perform root cause analysis, determine the full scope of an attack, and provide strategic recommendations to prevent future incidents.