

Generated Target Users

Sarah Mitchell

Age: 28

Occupation: Marketing Manager

Background: Time-poor professional with a gym membership she struggles to use effectively. Her goal is to lose 15 pounds for her wedding and build consistent healthy habits. She is overwhelmed by contradictory fitness information online and needs a clear, automated plan.

David Carter

Age: 42

Occupation: Software Engineer

Background: Newly health-conscious after a recent doctor's visit warned of pre-diabetes. His goal is to lose weight and improve his biometric markers. He is tech-savvy but finds gym environments intimidating and needs a structured, data-driven plan he can follow from home.

James Rodriguez

Age: 35

Occupation: High School Teacher

Background: A former athlete who has fallen out of shape since starting a family. His goal is to regain muscle mass and energy to keep up with his young children. He has limited time to plan workouts and meals and needs an efficient, all-in-one solution that his wife can also follow.

Elena Petrova

Age: 23

Occupation: Fitness Instructor

Background: An active individual who is highly knowledgeable about fitness but seeks optimization for her own training. Her goal is to break through a performance plateau and prepare for a fitness competition. She wants deep biometric analysis and the ability to easily share her rigorous progress with her coach and online community.

Robert Johnson

Age: 68

Occupation: Retired Accountant

Background: Recently retired and focused on maintaining his health and independence. His goals are to improve mobility, manage arthritis, and maintain a healthy weight. He needs a simple, guided plan with low-impact exercises and clear nutritional guidance, and he wants his daughter to be able to check on his progress.

Discussion Output

David: Okay, let's break this down. So, it's an app. I feed it my data—height, weight, age, maybe my schedule—and it spits out a workout and meal plan. Right? The big sell is "automatic." But how automatic is it? Does it just give me a generic plan on day one and that's it, or does it actually adapt?

Chloe: That's my question too, David. "Adapt" is the key word for me. If I have a week where I'm traveling for work and my kids are sick, my plan needs to change. I can't just fail and get discouraged. And you mentioned sharing progress with family—my sister is my accountability partner. Does it just show her my weight, or can she see my completed workouts, maybe even cheer me on?

Marcus: Yeah, and for me, the sharing is huge. If I'm going to post my transformation on TikTok or whatever, I'd want cool graphics from the app, like a time-lapse of my strength gains or body measurements. But first, I need to know it's not going to give me some crazy plan that gets me hurt. How does it know what a "beginner" really is?

Linda: Safety is my primary concern, Marcus. My daughter worries about me. If this app is going to suggest exercises, they must be safe for someone my age with, let's be honest, a creaky knee. And "sharing data with family" for me means my daughter can just get a notification that says "Mom completed her daily walk" without me having to call her every time. That would give her peace of mind.

Jake: All valid points. As a PT, the biometrical data is what interests me most. Is it just static inputs, or does it incorporate dynamic data? For my half-marathon training, I'd need it to sync with my Garmin to track my running metrics, my heart rate variability, my sleep. The plan shouldn't just be based on my goal; it must be based on my daily recovery. If I have a poor sleep score, it should automatically adjust my scheduled interval run to a light jog. That's true adaptation.

David: Right, so we're talking API integrations. Wearables, maybe even smart scales. That makes sense. For the meal plan, how detailed does it get? I don't have time to cook elaborate meals. Does it factor in my available time? Can it generate a shopping list and just tell me what to buy?

Chloe: And for me, it has to be family-friendly meals. I'm not making two dinners. If it gives me a recipe for steamed fish and quinoa, my kids will revolt. The nutrition plan needs to be practical for real life, not just for a single person with no responsibilities.

Marcus: I'm on a tight budget, too. So if it's creating a meal plan, it needs to be cheap. And for the workouts, I don't have a full gym membership. I mostly have access to my college's basic gym. The app needs to know what equipment I have available.

Linda: I don't use any fancy equipment. My "gym" is my living room and the local park. So the exercises need to use minimal equipment, maybe just resistance bands or body weight. And the nutritional guidance must consider common medications and dietary needs for older adults. It can't just tell me to eat more protein without considering my kidneys.

Jake: Exactly. The algorithm's intelligence is everything. It can't be a simple decision tree. It needs to be a sophisticated system that weights all these inputs: biometrics, goals, available equipment, time constraints, health conditions, and even personal preferences. Then, the sharing features—it sounds like we can create private groups or pair up. So I could share my running data with my coach, Chloe with her sister, Linda with her daughter. The data shared should be customizable. I might not want to share my weight, but I'd share my completed workout duration and route map.

David: So to summarize, the core value is hyper-personalization and automation to remove all the planning work. But its success hinges on the depth of its initial questionnaire and its ability to continuously learn and adapt from our tracked data and feedback. It's not just a plan generator; it's an automated, adaptive fitness coach.

Chloe: And a social accountability partner.

Marcus: And a content creator for my socials.

Linda: And a safety net for my family.

Jake: And a data-driven training partner. If it can actually do all that effectively, it solves a problem for all of us. The real question is, how smart is the algorithm, and how seamless is the user experience? The idea is solid; the execution will be everything.

💬 Persona Dialogue

David Miller: Alright team, we've got our dream app outlined. Now we need to break this down into actual development sprints. We need to be smart about this. The absolute core, the non-negotiable foundation, is the user profile and the algorithm's brain. Without a robust way to input our biometrics, goals, and constraints, nothing else works. I think Sprint 1 has to be all about building that foundation.

Jake Peterson: I concur, David. The integrity of the entire system hinges on the data model and the initial algorithm logic. We don't need the full adaptive intelligence yet, but we need a solid, rules-based engine that can take a user's stats and spit out a basic, static plan. This is our Minimum Viable Product core. We should also build the basic UI framework to hold it all together.

Chloe Williams: That makes sense. But even in that first sprint, we can't forget about constraints. My biggest need is flexibility. The algorithm has to consider available time and equipment from the very beginning. If my first generated plan requires a full gym and 2-hour sessions, I'm out immediately.

Marcus Johnson: And for us beginners, the exercises it picks from that basic algorithm have to be safe and standard. Maybe it starts with a curated library of, like, 20 fundamental exercises? We can add more later. But I agree, get the core engine running first.

Linda Garcia: I'd like to add that the initial user onboarding must include a comprehensive health questionnaire. Safety isn't a "nice-to-have"; it's a requirement from day one. The algorithm must be designed to avoid suggesting dangerous exercises for users with conditions they disclose.

David Miller: Perfect. So Sprint 1 is our "Core Engine" sprint. Now, what's next? We have this plan, but how do we consume it? I need it on my phone. Marcus needs to see how to do the moves.

Marcus Johnson: Yeah! Sprint 2 has to be the "Plan Delivery" sprint. We need to build out the actual workout and meal plan views. Most importantly, we need to integrate those video demonstrations Jake and I mentioned. A plan is useless if I do the exercises wrong and hurt myself.

Chloe Williams: And the meal plan side! We need the generated grocery lists and the recipe cards. For it to be sustainable for my family, I need that functionality early on.

Jake Peterson: This is the right order. We build the brain (Sprint 1), then we build the primary interfaces for the user to interact with the brain's output (Sprint 2). The dependency is clear; you can't build the plan views without the plan existing.

Linda Garcia: This is also when we should introduce the basic activity logging. The ability for me to check off that I completed a workout is fundamental to tracking progress.

David Miller: Okay, so we have a working app that gives a plan and lets you follow it. Now we make it ours. Sprint 3 should be "Personalization & Integration." This is where we tackle

the dynamic scheduling I need. The app needs to connect to my calendar to find windows and auto-schedule my workouts.

Jake Peterson: This is also the sprint for initial device integration. Pulling in basic health data from Apple Health/Google Fit, like weight input or step count, will make the data model richer for the next phase. This is a prerequisite for true adaptation.

Chloe Williams: And preferences! This is where we add all those filters Marcus and I need: "dorm-friendly" meals, "family-size" recipes, "no-equipment" workouts. The algorithm from Sprint 1 gets much smarter here.

Marcus Johnson: So Sprint 3 makes the app actually fit into our real lives instead of us having to fit our lives to the app. Love it.

Linda Garcia: This leads perfectly into what I think should be Sprint 4: "Community & Sharing." My daughter's peace of mind depends on this. We need to build the secure sharing system where I can grant my daughter view-only access to my activity feed.

Marcus Johnson: And my motivation depends on it too! I want to share my badges and milestones to Instagram and Twitter. We need to build that social features framework: friends, followers, a news feed of achievements.

Chloe Williams: Absolutely. This is the accountability layer. My sister needs to be able to see my logs and maybe even leave a comment of encouragement. This feature is what creates stickiness.

Jake Peterson: The technical dependency here is that we need the logging from Sprint 2 to be solid before we can share it. The order is correct.

David Miller: Finally, we make it truly intelligent. Sprint 5: "Adaptive Intelligence." This is the crown jewel. Jake, this is for you. The algorithm now uses all the data—how I performed, how sore I am, my sleep score from my Garmin—to dynamically adjust the plan. If I crush a workout, it gets harder. If I'm exhausted, it recommends a de-load.

Jake Peterson: Precisely. This is where we implement advanced periodization and recovery metrics. It transitions the app from a static planner to a true digital coach. This has a dependency on all previous sprints; it needs the integration data, the logging data, and the core algorithm to build upon.

Chloe Williams: And it should apply to nutrition too! If I skip lunch, it should recalculate my dinner macros to keep me on track for the day.

Marcus Johnson: So we start with the brain, then the body, then make it fit our life, then connect it to our people, and finally we make it learn and adapt. That's a solid plan.

Linda Garcia: I believe we've covered everything. This sequence addresses all of our needs in a logical and buildable way.



Generated Sprints

Sprint 1: Core Engine & User Foundation

Duration: 3 weeks

Goals: Develop the foundational user profile and plan generation algorithm, Implement the basic application framework and UI

Tasks: Create user onboarding flow with biometrics and health questionnaire, Build algorithm for generating basic static workout and meal plans based on goals/constraints, Develop core application architecture and navigation

Sprint 2: Plan Delivery & Basic Tracking

Duration: 3 weeks

Goals: Build interfaces for users to view and interact with their generated plans, Implement basic activity logging

Tasks: Develop workout plan view with integrated exercise video demonstrations, Build meal plan view with recipes and generated grocery lists, Create activity logging functionality to mark workouts and meals as completed

Sprint 3: Personalization & Initial Integration

Duration: 2 weeks

Goals: Enhance the plan generation with user life constraints and external data, Deepen personalization options

Tasks: Develop calendar integration for automatic workout scheduling, Implement basic health app integration (Apple Health/Google Fit) for data reading, Add advanced user preferences for dietary needs, equipment, and meal types

Sprint 4: Community & Sharing Features

Duration: 2 weeks

Goals: Implement social features for accountability and motivation, Allow users to share progress securely

Tasks: Build a friends/followers system, Create a secure sharing system for activity data with configurable permissions, Develop social media sharing integration for milestones and badges, Implement a news feed for seeing friends' activities

Sprint 5: Adaptive Intelligence Engine

Duration: 3 weeks

Goals: Implement advanced algorithm features for dynamic plan adjustment based on user feedback and recovery data

Tasks: Develop performance tracking and adaptive workout difficulty scaling, Create recovery assessment using integrated sleep and activity data, Implement dynamic nutritional adjustments based on logged intake and goals

Sprint 1: User Foundation & Onboarding

Duration: 2 weeks

Goals: Establish user data model, create safe onboarding flow, build basic app landing page

Tasks: Develop user registration/login, design comprehensive health & goal questionnaire, create database schema for user profiles, build basic UI landing page

Stakeholder Analysis (#0 - Analyze)

Motivation: In order to understand the big picture, it is important to first understand who the system can affect and how. Try to also think past the obvious, direct stakeholders such as your end-users.

What to Do:

Identify stakeholders.

Who does the system affect and how? Stakeholders are not simply users, developers and customers.

How are the various stakeholders linked together?

Can these different stakeholders influence the development of the system? How?

Remember that a user is often an organization and the end-user is an individual. Similarly, AI systems can treat people as objects for data collection.

Practical Example: Autonomous cars don't just affect their passengers. Anyone nearby is affected; some even change the way they drive. If at one point half of the traffic consists of self-driving cars, what are the societal impacts of such systems? E.g., how are the people who can't afford one affected? Regulations arising from such systems also affect everyone.

Justification: This sprint involves establishing user data models and profiles, requiring identification of all stakeholders (users, healthcare providers, family members sharing progress) and their relationships to ensure ethical data handling and system impact consideration from the outset.

Sprint 2: Static Workout Generator

Duration: 3 weeks

Goals: Deliver a rules-based workout plan tailored to user profile, ensure exercise safety and education

Tasks: Build exercise database with metadata, develop algorithm to generate weekly plans, integrate video demonstration library, implement basic workout viewing screen

Sprint 2: Static Workout Generator

Duration: 3 weeks

Goals: Deliver a rules-based workout plan tailored to user profile, ensure exercise safety and education

Tasks: Build exercise database with metadata, develop algorithm to generate weekly plans, integrate video demonstration library, implement basic workout viewing screen

Data Quality (#8 - Data)

Motivation: As AI are trained using data, the data used directly affects how the system operates. Both the nature and the quality and integrity of the data used has to align with goals of the system.

What to Do:

Ask yourself:

What are good or poor quality data in the context of your system?

How do you evaluate the quality and integrity of your own data? Are there alternative ways?

If you utilize data from external sources, how do you control their quality?

Did you align your system with relevant standards (for example ISO, IEEE) or widely adopted protocols for daily data management and governance?

How can you tell if your data sets have been hacked or otherwise compromised?

Practical Example: In 2017, Amazon scrapped its recruitment AI because of bad data. They used past recruitment data to teach the AI. As they had mostly hired men, the AI began to consider women undesirable based on the data.

Justification: The exercise database with metadata must be accurate and reliable to generate safe workout plans, as poor data quality could lead to injuries or ineffective routines, directly impacting user safety and trust.

Sprint 3: Basic Nutrition Planner

Duration: 2 weeks

Goals: Generate a simple meal plan and grocery list based on user diet and goals

Tasks: Develop recipe database with nutritional info, create algorithm for meal plan generation, implement grocery list generator, add dietary preference filters

Accessibility (#14 - Fairness)

Motivation: Technology can be discriminatin in various ways. Given the enormous impact AI systems can have, ensuring equal access to their positive impacts is ethically important.

What to Do:

Ask yourself:

Does the system consider a wide range of individual preferences and abilities? If not, why?
Is the system usable by those with special needs or disabilities, those at risk of exclusion, or those using assistive technologies?

Were people representing various groups somehow involved in the development of the system?

How is the potential user audience taken into account?

Is the team involved in building the sustem representative of your largel user audience? Is it representative of the general population?

Did you assess whether there could be (groups of) people?

Practical Example: AI tends to benefit those who are already technologically capable, resulting in increased inequality. E.g. most of the images used in machine learning have been labeled by young white men.

Justification: Dietary preferences and needs vary widely (e.g., allergies, cultural restrictions, economic constraints). The system must avoid bias and ensure inclusivity in meal recommendations and interface design.

Sprint 4: Tracking & Adaptive Logic

Duration: 3 weeks

Goals: Introduce plan tracking and basic adaptive features based on user feedback and health data

Tasks: Build workout and meal logging functionality, integrate with health APIs, develop adaptive rules engine, implement progress dashboard

Privacy and Data (#7 - Data)

Motivation: Privacy is a rising trend in the wake of various recent data misuse reveals. People are now increasingly conscious about handing out personal data. Similarly, regulations such as GDPR now affect data collection.

What to Do:

Ask yourself:

What data are used by the system?

Does the system use or collect personal data? Why? How is the personal data used?

Do you clearly inform your (end-)users about any personal data collection? E.g., ask for consent, provide an opportunity to revoke it etc.

Have you taken measures to enhance (end-user) privacy, such as encryption or anonymization?

Who makes the decisions regarding data use and collection? Do you have organizational policies for it?

Practical Example: Rather than collecting and selling data, appealing to privacy can also be profitable. Regulations are making it increasingly difficult to collect lots of personal data for profit. Privacy can be an alternate selling point in today's climate.


Justification: This sprint involves building workout/meal logging and integrating health APIs, which will collect and process sensitive biometric and health data. Privacy considerations are critical for handling this personal information ethically and legally.

Sprint 5: Social Sharing & Connectivity

Duration: 2 weeks

Goals: Enable users to share progress and connect with friends for accountability

Tasks: Develop user profiles with progress photos, create social feed, build friend connection system, implement automated activity reports for family

 Failed to decode selected ECCOLA cards JSON.

No ECCOLA cards selected or JSON was empty.

Sprint 6: Enhanced Intelligence & Polish

Duration: 2 weeks

Goals: Refine core algorithms and add advanced convenience features

Tasks: Implement calendar sync for auto-scheduling, enhance adaptive algorithm with machine learning, add advanced preference settings, perform UX polish and bug fixes

Motivation: If we cannot understand the reasons behind the actions of the AI, it is difficult to trust it.

What to Do:

Ask yourself:

Is explainability a goal for your system? How do you plan to ensure it?

How well can each decision of the system be understood? By both developers and (end-)users?

Did you try to use the simplest and most interpretable model possible for the context?

Did you make trade-offs between explainability and accuracy? What kind of? Why?

How familiar are you with your training or testing data? Can you change it when needed?

If you utilize third party components in the system, how well do you understand them?

Practical Example: When interacting with a robot, users could ideally ask the robot 'why did you do that?' and receive an understandable response. This would make it much easier for them to trust a system.

Justification: The sprint involves enhancing the adaptive algorithm with machine learning, which directly impacts how workout and nutrition recommendations are generated. Users need to understand why specific routines are suggested, especially when based on biometric data, to build trust and ensure appropriateness.

