

# Effects of CHILDREN's programs

Laura Huber, Laura Jepsen, Jonathan Kirschner, Rafael Schütz, Yannick Zurl

27th February 2020

# Contents

## List of Tables

## List of Figures

## 1 Outline

Descriptive statistics

dynamics of

(- number of organizations)

(- number of beneficiaries)

- selected ordinal outcomes, stacked

- real total subsidy

- real median subsidy per institution

- real median subsidy per beneficiary

(- which variables have largest variance; also relevant for variable selection)

Regressions

Questions:

effect of

- healthy meals (DGE criterion) on healthy characteristics

- real meals subsidy on number of meals

- real trips subsidy on number of trips

- real meals subsidy on self-worth and day-to-day skills

- real trips subsidy on self-worth and day-to-day skills

Methods:

- simple, metric

- standardized, metric

- cumulative logit

- with control variables
- (- without outliers)
- (- imputed data)

Diff in Diff

Outlook for CHILDREN/variable selection

- double selection
- partition analysis
- (- correlation matrix)
- (- factor analysis)
- general tips

## 2 Introduction

CHILDREN's aims for data analysis

CHILDREN supports organizations working with children and youth across Germany (in German: Einrichtungen der offenen Kinder- und Jugendarbeit) across Germany. We call them organizations in the following. They apply to CHILDREN for yearly grants. If approved, they are supposed to use them for specific purposes defined by CHILDREN. CHILDREN provided us with data from two of its flagship programs: Mittagstisch (we refer to this as Meals program) and Entdeckerfonds (Trips program). The organizations use money from the Meals program to finance meals, from breakfast to dinner, that they sell at concessionary prices to the children and youth that visit them. In the following, we call these children and youth who ultimately profit from CHILDREN's grants beneficiaries. The organizations also use money from the Trips program to make trips to nearby places usually unknown to the beneficiaries. Unless otherwise specified, we consider all variables to be metric, even if they are ordinal.

## NULL

Figure 1: Yearly dynamics of total grants in Meals program

This graph shows the development of the sum of all grants in the Meals program. We have deflated the values to 2015 euros using the price index related to food and non-alcoholic beverages (in German: Nahrungsmittel und alkoholfreie Getränke) provided by the Federal Statistical Office of Germany (Statistisches Bundesamt).

### 3 Summary Statistics

At the beginning of the time series in 2011, they supported in  $x$  institutions. In 2018, this number had increased to  $y$ . In this section, we give an overview of the dynamics of CHILDREN's two flagship programs. We focus on the number of estimated ultimate beneficiaries, median total subsidy, median subsidy per institution, and median subsidy per beneficiary. We also look at selected outcomes, i.e. those related to health as well as self-worth and day-to-day skills. We have converted all nominal monetary variables into 2015 euros, using price indices from the Federal Statistical Office of Germany (Statistisches Bundesamt). We deflate (requested) grants as well as organizations' total expenses for the Meals program with the price index related to food and non-alcoholic beverages (in German: Nahrungsmittel und alkoholfreie Getränke) and (requested) grants towards the Trips program with the price index for leisure, entertainment, and culture (in German: Freizeit, Unterhaltung und Kultur). These are only available after logging in on DESTATIS. The organizations also gave information about their total yearly budget. We inflate this with the general price index.

Examples

Equation

$$\ln y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_k \ln k_{it-1} + \beta_n \ln n_{it} + \beta_m \ln m_{it} + \beta_t D_t + \beta_i D_i + \epsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

List

- The firm is not incorporated in the U.S. (FIC is not equal to USA.)

- The company is from the financial or utilities sector. This is the case when the SIC code lies between 4900 and 4999 or between 6000 and 6999.
- A firm's acquisitions are larger than five percent of the value of its total assets. This is the case when AQC over AT is larger than 0.05.

Figure

## 4 The effect of the "Entdeckerfonds" on the beneficiaries of the program

How do children benefit from visiting social institutions that CHILDREN supports financially? So far this question could not be empirically validated. Hence, one of the biggest challenges was determining a possible solution for measuring causal effects of the programs on the beneficiaries. During the first meeting with CHILDREN, Wiltrud de Haan presented relevant information that CHILDREN supports all organizations with the Mittagstisch program. However, not all organizations do receive additional funding to provide the Entdeckerfonds program. This fact could be used for applying an empirical approach which determines causal effects of the Entdeckerfonds program by comparing a treatment with a control group. The aim of this analysis is to show that the trips provided by Entdeckerfonds program funding have a positive effect on selfworth and everyday expertise of the participating children.

### Empirical Approach

The baseline of the empirical approach is the determination of the treatment and control group. Using the data provided by children we specify the treatment group as all organizations that receive funding for both the Entdeckerfonds and the Mittagstisch program. On the other hand, the control group represents all organizations that do not receive funding from CHILDREN to provide the Entdeckerfonds.

**##**

**## Please cite as:**

```
## Warning: namespace 'cowplot' is not available and has been replaced
## by .GlobalEnv when processing object ''
## Error in eval('_inherit', env, NULL): object 'GeomCustomAnn' not found
```

Figure 2: Dispersion in productivity levels

Note: Time series plots of approximate deviations of the three annual dispersion measures from their trends in percent. A shows the full sample, B the non-durable manufacturing sector, and C the durable manufacturing sector. After taking the natural logarithm of the dispersion measures defined in equation 1, I have isolated their cyclical components with an HP-100 filter. The shaded bars represent recessions as defined by the NBER. The year ticks refer to January 1. The dispersion measures take as their date the middle of the year, July 2. Compare Kehrig (2015), Figure 1.

## Hlavac, Marek (2018). *stargazer: Well-Formatted Regression and Summary Statistics Tables*.

## R package version 5.2.2. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stargazer>

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	selfworth			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Constant	2.796*** (0.065)	2.870*** (0.095)	2.774*** (0.092)	2.847*** (0.100)
treatEF	0.249*** (0.074)	0.320*** (0.097)	0.253*** (0.075)	0.333*** (0.100)
ID fixed effects	No	No	Yes	Yes
Time fixed effects	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	430	430	430	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.026	0.035	0.026	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.024	0.017	0.022	
Residual Std. Error	0.642 (df = 428)	0.644 (df = 421)	0.642 (df = 427)	0.642 (df = 428)
F Statistic	11.417*** (df = 1; 428)	1.916* (df = 8; 421)	5.752*** (df = 2; 427)	1.724 (df = 1; 428)

*Note:*

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

Table 1: Regression Results