

Probability Aggregation

1 Basic Concept and Definition

Be an event A associated with a certain probability $P(A)$ to occurs.

1.1 Conditional Probability

The conditional probability of A given B (i.e.: knowing that B occurred) is given by

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

1.2 Bayes Theorem

Bayes Theorem can be derive from the conditional probability

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(B | A) P(A)}{P(B)}$$

1.3 Joint Probability

The joint probability distribution for A, B, \dots is a probability distribution that gives the probability that each of A, B, \dots falls in any particular range of values specified for that variable.

$$P(A, B) = P(A | B)P(B) = P(B | A)P(A)$$

The sequential simulation algorithm is based on this equation:

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

1.4 Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence

KL divergence is a non-symmetric measure of the information lost when P_G is used to approximate P :

$$D_{KL}(P \| P_G) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(A) \log \frac{P(A)}{P_G(A)} dA = \sum_A P(A) \log \frac{P(A)}{P_G(A)}$$

It can be view as the expectation of the logarithmic difference between the probabilities.

2 Aggregation

Aggregation targets to combine difference knowledge D_i related to a single event A :

$$P(A | D_1, \dots, D_n) = P_G(P(A | D_1), \dots, P(A | D_n)) \\ = P_G(P_1, \dots, P_n)$$

3 Properties

- **Dictatorship.** If the method has a probability P_i which overtake the others :

$$P_G(P_1, \dots, P_i, \dots, P_n)(A) = P_i(A)$$

- **Convexity.** If the method verify:

$$P_G \in [\min\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}, \max\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}]$$

- **Unanimity.** If the method verify:

$$\forall P_i = p \Rightarrow P_G = p$$

Convex method always preserve unanimity.

- **Independence Preservation.** If the method verify:

$$P_G(P_1, \dots, P_n)(A \cap B) = P_G(P_1, \dots, P_n)(A) P_G(P_1, \dots, P_n)(B)$$

- **Marginalization.** If the method verify:

$$P_G\{M_k(P_1), \dots, M_k(P_n)\} = M_k\{P_G(P_1, \dots, P_n)\}$$

where,

$$M_k\{P(A)\} = P(A_k)$$

- **External Bayesianity** If the method verify:

$$P_G(P_1^L, \dots, P_n^L)(A) = P_G^L(P_1, \dots, P_n)(A)$$

where,

$$P_i^L(A) = \frac{L(A)P_i(A)}{\sum_A L(A)P_i(A)}$$

- **Certainty Effect** (or 0/1 forcing property). If the method verify:

$$\exists P_i | P_i(A) = 0 \text{ or } P_i(A) = 1 \Rightarrow P_G(A) = 0 \text{ or } P_G(A) = 1$$

4 Aggregation Methods

Combining the definition of the joint probability $P(A, D_1, \dots, D_n)$ and $P(D_1, \dots, D_n)$ we arrived to:

$$P(A | D_1, \dots, D_n) = \frac{P(A, D_1, \dots, D_n)}{P(D_1, \dots, D_n)} \\ = \frac{P(A) \prod_{i=1}^n P(D_i | A, D_1, \dots, D_{i-1})}{P(D_1, \dots, D_n)}$$

4.1 Conditional independence

Two event are say conditionally independent if

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_n | A) = \prod_i P(X_i | A)$$

Assuming independence of D_i conditionally to A suppress the dependence of the author D_1, \dots, D_{i-1} :

$$P(A | D_1, \dots, D_n) = \frac{P(A) \prod_{i=1}^n P(D_i | A)}{P(D_1, \dots, D_n)}$$

4.2 Full independence

If D_i are assumed to be independent, we get:

$$P(D_1, \dots, D_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(D_i)$$

Which bring to the aggregation method:

$$P(A | D_1, \dots, D_n) = P(A) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{P(D_i | A)}{P(D_i)}$$

4.3 Additive method

• (Generalized) Linear Pooling.

$$P_G(A) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i P_i(A), \quad \sum_i w_i = 1$$

Properties: sub-optimal, do not preserve independence, 0/1 forcing nor Bayesianity. convex method (preserve unanimity) and marginalization.

P_G result in a multi-modal distribution, each P_i represent a different population. This is equivalent to first sample a population P_i with weight W_i and then sample inside this distribution the event A . No agreement between different source is stress.

• Beta-Transform Linear Pooling

$$P_G(A) = H_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n w_i P_i(A) \right)$$

Again, $\sum_i w_i = 1$, and $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ is the cumulative density function of a beta distribution:

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = B(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} \int_0^x t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} dt$

The special case $\beta = \alpha = 1$ simplify to the linear pooling.

Properties: loose marginalization, non-convex aggr. prob., can be show to be better than LP (why ?)

4.4 Multiplicative method

• (Generalized) Log-linear Pooling.

$$P_G(A) \propto P_0(A)^{1-S_w} \prod_{i=1}^n P_i(A)^{w_i}$$

where w_i is the weight associated to each P_i and $S_w = \sum_i w_i$. Properties: verify Bayesianity, preserve unanimity and 0/1 forcing but do not preserve independence nor marginalization.

If $w_i = 1 \forall i \neq 0$, this corresponds to the *conjunction of probabilities*.

This is equivalent to a Bayesian notation of conditional propability:

$$\begin{aligned} P(A | D_1, \dots, D_n) &\propto P_0(A) P(D_1, \dots, D_n | A) \\ &\propto P_0(A)^{1-S_w} \prod_{i=1}^n P(A | D_i)^{w_{A, D_1, \dots, D_n}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the decomposition is exact if there is one weight w per combinaison (A, D_i, \dots, D_n) . Log-linear pooling make the assumption that the weight is constant.

The sum of weight S_w plays an important role with regards to the prior influence: If $S_w = 1$, P_0 is filtered out; if $S_w > 1$, P_G will be closer to P_i than P_0

• Generalized Logarithmic Pooling

$$P_G(A) \propto H(A) \prod_{i=1}^n P(A | D_i)^{w_i}$$

GLP allows P_G to depend upon A where again $\sum_i w_i = 1$, and $H(A)$ is the an arbitrary bounded function playing the role of likelihood on the elements of A .

- Tau model
- Bordley formula
- Nu-model
- ...