

## MAST90105: Lab and Workshop Problems for Week 11

The Lab and Workshop this week covers problems arising from Modules 7 and 8.

## 1 Lab

1. How good are confidence intervals? If we repeat the experiment a large number of times we expect 95% of the confidence intervals for contain the parameter values. We can check this using simulations. Enter the following commands:

```
x = t.test(rnorm(10))
x
names(x)
x$conf.int
```

You should use the help function or your tutor to understand what each command does. Note that `rnorm` simulates values from  $N(0, 1)$  so we know the true mean is zero. Then automate the process

```
f=function(t){x=t.test(rnorm(t));as.vector(x$conf.int)};
f(10);
f(20);
t <- as.matrix(rep(10,100));
C <- t(apply(t,1,f)); #this is a trick so we don't have to program
  matplot(C,type="l");#a matrix plot
abline(0,0)#includes a line at 0
```

Each column of the matrix  $C$  is the lower and upper bounds of a 95% confidence interval. From your plot determine how many of these intervals contain the true mean zero. Is it close to 95%? You can check as follows:

```
num = (C[, 1] < 0) & (C[, 2] > 0)
sum(num)/nrow(C)
```

2. In class, we discussed the Newspan outcomes from March 20 and April 3 2017. The March 20 poll reported that 675 of 1824 voters would vote first for the Government if an election were held then, and on April 3 it was 615 out of 1708 voters.
  - a. Starting with a uniform distribution over  $(0,1)$ , find the posterior distribution for the population proportion after the March 20 .
  - b. Use this posterior as a prior distribution for the April 3 Newspan and find the resulting posterior distribution.

- c. Plot this density with the posterior density obtained in lectures from a uniform prior.
- d. Find a 95% posterior probability interval from your posterior distribution and compare this to the one from lectures.
- e. Construct a Beta distribution as a prior for the data that arrived on April 3 based on your Bayes estimates from the previous poll so that there is 99% probability that the true proportion is less than (a) 50% (b) 40%. Compute the posterior in each case.

## 2 Workshop

3. Let  $X \sim \text{binomial}(1, p)$  and let  $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$  be a random sample of size 10. Consider a test of  $H_0 : p = 0.5$  against  $H_1 : p = 0.25$ . Let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i$ . Define the critical region as  $C = \{y : y < 3.5\}$ .
  - a. Find the value of  $\alpha$  the probability of a Type I error. Do not use a normal approximation. (Hint: Use pbinom).
  - b. Find the value of  $\beta$ , the probability of a Type II error. Do not use a normal approximation.
  - c. Simulate 200 observations on  $Y$  when  $p = 0.5$ . Find the proportion of cases when  $H_0$  was rejected. Is this close to  $\alpha$ ?
  - d. Simulate 200 observations on  $Y$  when  $p = 0.25$ . Find the proportion of cases when  $H_0$  was not rejected. Is this close to  $\beta$ ?
4. A ball is drawn from one of two bowls. Bowl A contains 100 red balls and 200 white balls; Bowl B contains 200 red balls and 100 white balls. Let  $p$  denote the probability of drawing a red ball from the bowl. Then  $p$  is unknown as we don't know which bowl is being used. To test the simple null hypothesis  $H_0 : p = 1/3$  against the simple alternative that  $p = 2/3$ , three balls are drawn at random with replacement from the selected bowl. Let  $X$  be the number of red balls drawn. Let the critical region be  $C = \{x : x = 2, 3\}$ . Using R, what are the probabilities  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively of Type I and Type II errors?
5. Let  $Y \sim \text{binomial}(100, p)$ . To test  $H_0 : p = 0.08$  against  $H_1 : p < 0.08$ , we reject  $H_0$  and accept  $H_1$  if and only if  $Y \leq 6$ . Using R,
  - a. Determine the significance level  $\alpha$  of the test.
  - b. Find the probability of a Type II error if in fact  $p = 0.04$ .
6. Let  $p$  be the probability a tennis player's first serve is good. The player takes lessons to increase  $p$ . After the lessons he wishes to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : p = 0.4$  against the alternative  $H_1 : p > 0.4$ . Let  $y$  be the number out of  $n = 25$  serves that are good, and let the critical region be defined by  $C = \{y : y \geq 13\}$ .

- a. Define the power function to be  $K(p) = P(Y \geq 13; p)$ . Graph this function for  $0 < p < 1$ .
  - b. Find the value of  $\alpha = K(0.40)$
  - c. Find the value of  $\beta$  when  $p = 0.6$ , ( $\beta = 1 - K(0.6)$ )
7. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{10}$  be a random sample of size  $n = 10$  from a distribution with p.d.f.  $f(x; \theta) = \exp(-(x - \theta))$ ,  $\theta \leq x < \infty$ .
- a. Show that  $Y_1 = \min(X_i)$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$ .
  - b. Find the p.d.f. of  $Y_1$  and show that  $E(Y_1) = \theta + 1/10$  so that  $Y_1 - 1/10$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .
  - c. Compute  $P(\theta \leq Y_1 \leq \theta + c)$  and use this to construct a 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ .
8. A random variable  $X$  is said to have a Pareto distribution with parameters,  $x_0$  and  $\beta$ , if its cdf is

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{x_0}{x}\right)^\beta & x > x_0 \\ 0 & x \leq x_0 \end{cases}$$

- a. What is the pdf of  $X$ ?
  - b. Suppose  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are a random sample from the uniform distribution on  $(0, X)$  where  $X$  is the unknown parameter. Suppose that  $X$  has a Pareto prior distribution with parameters  $x_0, \beta$ . Calculate the posterior distribution of  $X$ . (Hint: Consider carefully the values of the posterior pdf which are strictly positive, noting that both the joint distribution of the sample and the prior distribution pdf's have to be positive.)
  - c. Find a  $100(1 - \alpha)$  % posterior probability interval for  $X$ .
9. If a newborn baby has a birth weight that is less than 2500 grams we say the baby has a low birth weight. The proportion of babies with birth weight is an indicator of nutrition for the mothers. In the USA approximately 7% of babies have a low birth weight. Let  $p$  be the proportion of babies born in the Sudan with low birth weight. Test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : p = 0.07$  against the alternative  $H_1 : p > 0.07$ . If  $y = 23$  babies out of a random sample of  $n = 209$  babies had low birth weight, , using a suitable approximation, what is your conclusion at the significance levels
- a.  $\alpha = 0.05$ ?
  - b.  $\alpha = 0.01$ ?
  - c. Find the p-value of this test. (Recall the p-value is the probability of the observed value or something more extreme when the null hypothesis is true).

*Helpful R output*

```
qnorm(c(0.95, 0.99))  
  
## [1] 1.644854 2.326348  
  
pnorm(2.269)  
  
## [1] 0.9883658
```

10. Let  $p_m$  and  $p_f$  be the respective proportions of male and female white crowned sparrows that return to their hatching site. Give the endpoints for a 95% confidence interval for  $p_m - p_f$ , given that 124 out of 894 males and 70 out of 700 females returned. (*The Condor*, 1992 pp.117-133.). Does this agree with the conclusion of the test of  $H_0 : p_m = p_f$  against  $H_1 : p_m \neq p_f$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ?