

# The Four Fundamental Subspaces and Their Orthogonality Relations

## The goal of this section

The goal of this section is to understand the *four fundamental subspaces* associated with a finite dimensional matrix  $A$  over the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . We begin by reviewing matrix multiplication, then define our four subspaces and prove our main theorem. Next we introduce the dual space and adjoint operators. We define the annihilator of a subspace and give an alternative proof of the main theorem. Finally we prove the rank-nullity theorem and also prove that the rank of the transpose of a matrix is equal to the rank of the original matrix.

## Matrix Multiplication

Let us recall briefly the formula for the multiplication of a matrix by a vector. If  $Bv = w$  then  $w_k = \sum_j B_{kj}v_j$ . This can be interpreted in two different ways. Firstly as the dot product of each of the rows of  $B$  with the vector  $v$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & r_1 & - \\ - & r_2 & - \\ - & r_3 & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1.v \\ r_2.v \\ r_3.v \end{bmatrix}$$

Secondly as a linear combination of the columns.

$$\begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = v_1 \begin{bmatrix} | \\ c_1 \\ | \end{bmatrix} + v_2 \begin{bmatrix} | \\ c_2 \\ | \end{bmatrix} + v_3 \begin{bmatrix} | \\ c_3 \\ | \end{bmatrix}$$

It is worth pausing here for a moment to make sure you are clear on this. Here is an example:

### Example

Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote the rows of  $B$  by

$$r_1 = [1 \ 2 \ 3], \quad r_2 = [4 \ 5 \ 6], \quad r_3 = [7 \ 8 \ 9],$$

and the columns by

$$c_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 1. Row View: Dot Products

Each entry of  $\mathbf{w} = B\mathbf{v}$  is the dot product of a row of  $B$  with  $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$w_1 = r_1 \cdot \mathbf{v} = (1)(2) + (2)(-1) + (3)(1) = 2 - 2 + 3 = 3,$$

$$w_2 = r_2 \cdot \mathbf{v} = (4)(2) + (5)(-1) + (6)(1) = 8 - 5 + 6 = 9,$$

$$w_3 = r_3 \cdot \mathbf{v} = (7)(2) + (8)(-1) + (9)(1) = 14 - 8 + 9 = 15.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & r_1 & - \\ - & r_2 & - \\ - & r_3 & - \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ r_2 \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ r_3 \cdot \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 9 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 2. Column View: Linear Combination

The product  $B\mathbf{v}$  is a linear combination of the columns of  $B$ , weighted by the entries of  $\mathbf{v}$ :

$$B\mathbf{v} = v_1 c_1 + v_2 c_2 + v_3 c_3 = 2c_1 + (-1)c_2 + 1c_3.$$

Compute:

$$2c_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 8 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix}, \quad -1c_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -5 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1c_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Add them:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 8 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -5 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 2 + 3 \\ 8 - 5 + 6 \\ 14 - 8 + 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 9 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So,

$$\begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} | \\ c_1 \\ | \end{bmatrix} - 1 \begin{bmatrix} | \\ c_2 \\ | \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} | \\ c_3 \\ | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 9 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Conclusion

Both interpretations yield the same result:

$$B\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 9 \\ 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### The Transpose

Suppose that  $A$  is an  $m$  by  $n$  matrix. This means that  $A$  has  $m$  rows and  $n$  columns and is a map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

The *transpose* map  $A^T$  is defined by:  $A_{ij}^T = A_{ji}$ . The transpose  $A^T$  is a map from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It has  $n$  rows and  $m$  columns.

$$A = \begin{matrix} & n \\ & \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \\ m \end{matrix}$$

$$A^T = \begin{matrix} & m \\ \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} & n \end{matrix}$$

The *image* of  $A$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  consisting of vectors of the form  $Av$  where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This is also called the *column space* of  $A$  and denoted by  $\text{Col}(A)$ .

The *kernel* of  $A$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of vectors  $v$  such that  $Av = 0$ . This is also called the *null space* of  $A$  and denoted by  $\text{Nul}(A)$ .

To re-iterate:

$$\text{Col}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{Nul}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

It is important to note that these two subspaces do not lie in the same ambient space.

By similar reasoning the *image* of  $A^T$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of vectors of the form  $A^T v$  where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . This is also called the *column space* of  $A^T$  and denoted by  $\text{Col}(A^T)$ . This subspace is sometimes also called the *row space* of  $A$ .

The *kernel* of  $A^T$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  consisting of vectors  $v$  such that  $A^T v = 0$ . This is also called the *null space* of  $A^T$  and denoted by  $\text{Nul}(A^T)$ .

We have:

$$\text{Col}(A^T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{Nul}(A^T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$$

Both  $\text{Col}(A^T)$  and  $\text{Nul}(A)$  lie in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . And both  $\text{Col}(A)$  and  $\text{Nul}(A^T)$  lie in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

The main result of this section is the following:

**Theorem 1.** *The four fundamental subspaces satisfy the following orthogonal decompositions:*

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \text{Col}(A^T) \oplus \text{Nul}(A), \quad \mathbb{R}^m = \text{Col}(A) \oplus \text{Nul}(A^T)$$

where  $\oplus$  denotes an orthogonal direct sum.

*Proof.* We must show that:

$$\boxed{\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Col}(A^T)^\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\text{Nul}(A^T) = \text{Col}(A)^\perp}$$

Note that by symmetry we only really need to prove one of these identities. We will prove the one on the left.

Note firstly that the columns of  $A^T$  are simply the rows of  $A$ . So what we will actually prove is that:

$$\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Row}(A)^\perp$$

We shall show that a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x}$  is orthogonal to every vector in the row space of  $A$ .

Let the rows of  $A$  be  $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then:

$$A\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_m \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m$$

Any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Row}(A)$  is a linear combination:

$$\mathbf{v} = c_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + c_m\mathbf{r}_m$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i (\mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}) = 0$$

So  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{v}$ , hence  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Row}(A)^\perp$ .

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Row}(A)^\perp$ , then in particular  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{r}_i$  for each row  $\mathbf{r}_i$ , so  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , meaning  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Nul}(A)$ .

Therefore:

$$\boxed{\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Row}(A)^\perp}$$

□

### Theorem (Rank–Nullity Theorem)

Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation between finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\ker T) + \dim(\text{Im } T).$$

In particular, for any  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , viewing  $A$  as a linear map  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$n = \text{nullity}(A) + \text{rank}(A).$$

### Proof

Let  $K = \ker T \subseteq V$ . Since  $V$  is finite-dimensional, so is  $K$ . Let

$$\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$$

be a basis for  $K$ , so  $k = \dim(\ker T)$ .

Extend this to a basis for all of  $V$ :

$$\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\},$$

so that  $\dim(V) = k + r$ .

We claim that the set

$$\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_r)\}$$

is a basis for  $\text{Im } T$ .

## 1. Spanning

Let  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Im } T$ . Then  $\mathbf{w} = T(\mathbf{x})$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Write

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{j=1}^r b_j \mathbf{v}_j.$$

Applying  $T$ , and using  $T(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{0}$  (since  $\mathbf{u}_i \in \ker T$ ), we get

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^r b_j T(\mathbf{v}_j).$$

Thus,  $\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_r)\}$  spans  $\text{Im } T$ .

## 2. Linear Independence

Suppose

$$\sum_{j=1}^r c_j T(\mathbf{v}_j) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Then

$$T\left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_j \mathbf{v}_j\right) = \mathbf{0},$$

so  $\sum_{j=1}^r c_j \mathbf{v}_j \in \ker T = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$ .

But the full set  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$  is linearly independent. Therefore, the only linear combination of the  $\mathbf{v}_j$ 's that lies in  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k\}$  is the trivial one. Hence,  $c_j = 0$  for all  $j$ , and the set  $\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_r)\}$  is linearly independent.

### 3. Conclusion

We have shown that  $\dim(\operatorname{Im} T) = r$ . Since  $\dim(V) = k + r$ , it follows that

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\ker T) + \dim(\operatorname{Im} T).$$

This completes the proof.

### Introduction

A fundamental fact in linear algebra is that the rank of a matrix  $A$  is equal to the rank of its transpose  $A^\top$ . In other words, the maximum number of linearly independent **columns** of  $A$  is the same as the maximum number of linearly independent **rows** of  $A$ .

This might seem surprising at first—after all, rows and columns live in different spaces! But in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ), the presence of an inner product (the dot product) creates a deep symmetry between rows and columns.

We will prove this result using two key ideas:

1. The **Rank–Nullity Theorem**,
2. The **orthogonal relationship** between the null space and the row space.

This proof works for real matrices (and complex matrices with minor adjustments), but it relies on the geometry of the dot product.

#### Step 1: What the Rank–Nullity Theorem Tells Us

Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  real matrix. We can think of  $A$  as a linear transformation that maps vectors from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

The Rank–Nullity Theorem says:

$$(\text{dimension of domain}) = (\text{dimension of null space}) + (\text{dimension of column space}).$$



In symbols:

$$n = \dim(\text{Nul}(A)) + \dim(\text{Col}(A)). \quad (1)$$

Here:

- $\text{Nul}(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$  is the set of vectors that  $A$  sends to zero,
- $\text{Col}(A)$  is the span of the columns of  $A$ , and its dimension is the **column rank** of  $A$ .

### Step 2: The Geometric Link Between Rows and Null Space

Now consider the **row space** of  $A$ , denoted  $\text{Row}(A)$ . This is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by the rows of  $A$ .

Here's the key geometric insight:

**A vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is in the null space of  $A$  if and only if it is perpendicular to every row of  $A$ .**

Why? Because the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  means that the dot product of each row of  $A$  with  $\mathbf{x}$  is zero.

In other words:

$$\text{Nul}(A) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{r} \text{ for every row } \mathbf{r} \text{ of } A\}.$$

This is precisely the definition of the **orthogonal complement** of the row space. So we have:

$$\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Row}(A)^\perp. \quad (2)$$

### Step 3: Use Dimensions of Orthogonal Complements

In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $S$  is any subspace, then:

$$\dim(S) + \dim(S^\perp) = n.$$

Apply this to  $S = \text{Row}(A)$ . Using (2), we get:

$$\dim(\text{Row}(A)) + \dim(\text{Nul}(A)) = n. \quad (3)$$

But  $\dim(\text{Row}(A))$  is exactly the **row rank** of  $A$ .

#### Step 4: Compare with Rank–Nullity

Now look back at equation (1) from Rank–Nullity:

$$n = \dim(\text{Nul}(A)) + \dim(\text{Col}(A)).$$

And equation (3) from orthogonality:

$$n = \dim(\text{Nul}(A)) + \dim(\text{Row}(A)).$$

Both right-hand sides equal  $n$ , and both contain the term  $\dim(\text{Nul}(A))$ . Therefore, the remaining terms must be equal:

$$\dim(\text{Col}(A)) = \dim(\text{Row}(A)).$$

In other words:

$$\text{column rank of } A = \text{row rank of } A.$$

Since the row rank of  $A$  is the same as the column rank of  $A^\top$ , we conclude:

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^\top).$$