Operator Variational Inference

Raghav Singhal & Saad Lahlou

March 26, 2018

1 Define Objective

Note, for $\phi(z) = [\phi_1(z_1) \dots \phi_n(z_n)]$, we define the stein operator, $\mathcal{A}_{p(z|x)}$, as follows

$$\mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z|x)}\phi(z)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{-i})} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i}|z_{-i})} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i} \phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

$$(2)$$

Now, for a fixed conditional, z_i , we ask the following question,

Proposition 1. Does $\mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_i)}[f(z_i)] = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{-i})}\mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_i|z_{-i})}[f(z_i)]$ for all f continuos and bounded, here f is a function of only z_i .

Proof of Proposition 1. Now, note that for any density p(x,y) = p(x|y)p(y) and set $A_x \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_{Y} \int_{X} \mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}(x) p(x|y) p(y) dx dy = \int_{Y} \int_{X} \mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}(x) p(x,y) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{A_{x}} \int_{Y} p(x,y) dy dx \text{ (check this step)}$$

$$= \int_{A_{x}} (\int_{Y} p(x,y) dy) dx$$

$$= \int_{X} \mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}(x) p(x) dx$$

Now, for all $f(x) = \mathbb{I}_{A_x}(x)$ where $A_x \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, we just showed that,

$$\mathbb{E}_{p(y)}\mathbb{E}_{p(x|y)}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}_{p(x)}[f(X)]$$
(3)

As $\forall f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ (Borel measurable & Integrable), there exists an increasing sequence of simple functions, s.t $f_n \to f$ and $f_n \leq f$, Proposition 1 holds for all $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ Borel measurable and Integrable by the dominated convergence theorem.

If Proposition 1 holds, then equation 2 can be simplified to

$$\mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z|x)}\phi(z)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{-i})} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i}|z_{-i})} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i} \phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

$$(5)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_i)} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_i|z_{-i},x)}^i \phi_i(z_i)]$$

$$(6)$$

Now, suppose $\phi(z) = [\phi_1(z_1) \dots \phi_n(z_n)]$, where $\phi_i(z_i) \in \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{H} is a scalar-valued Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS).

Questions to tackle now

- 1. Whick Kernel should we select? So we can detect non-convergence.
- 2. Is taking the supremum over $\phi(z) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}$ different from taking supremum over individual \mathcal{H} , so does the following hold

$$\sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}\phi(Z)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\phi_i \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}^i \phi_i(Z_i)]$$

1.1 Attempt for item 2

Note for a univariate distribution, the optimal ϕ^* is given by $\phi^*(y) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[\mathcal{A}_p k(x, y)]$. Now, note that

$$\sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z|x)}\phi(z)] = \sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\phi_{i} \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

Now, all we have to show is that the reverse inequality holds true,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\phi_{i} \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i} \phi_{i}(z_{i})] \leq \sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i} \phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

This is probably True. Show Rigorously!

1.2 Final Objective

Assuming the above holds, we take

$$\sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z|x)}\phi(z)] = \sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_i)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_i|z_{-i},x)}^i \phi_i(z_i)]$$
(7)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\phi_i \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_i)} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_i|z_{-i},x)}^i \phi_i(z_i)]$$
 (8)

Now, note the optimal test function is $\frac{\phi_i^*()}{||\phi_i^*||_{\mathcal{H}}}$, where $\phi_i^*()$ is given by

$$\phi_i^*(y) = \mathbb{E}_{z_i^* \sim q_\lambda(z_i^*)} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_i^*|z_{-i}^*, x)}^i k(z_i^*, y)]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{z_i^* \sim q_\lambda(z_i^*)} [k(z_i^*, y) \nabla_{z_i^*} \log p(z_i^*|z_{i-1}^*, x) + \nabla_{z_i^*} k(z_i^*, y)]$

which implies that

$$\sup_{\phi \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z)}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z|x)}\phi(z)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{\phi_{i} \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}(z_{i})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\mathbb{E}_{z_{i}^{*} \sim q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}^{*}|z_{-i}^{*},x)}^{i}k(z_{i}^{*},z_{i})]]$$

Now, for one conditional the final objective is as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)}^{i}\phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})] = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})}[\phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})\nabla_{z_{i}}\log p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x) + \nabla_{z_{i}}\phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})]$$
(9)

2 Take Unbiased Gradient

Now, we write the gradient of the Langevin-Stein Operator with respect to the variational parameters,

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)} \phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})] = \nabla_{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} [\phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i}) \nabla_{z_{i}} \log p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x) + \nabla_{z_{i}} \phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})]$$

$$= \nabla_{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} [\phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i}) \nabla_{z_{i}} \log p(z_{i}|z_{-i},x)] + \nabla_{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} [\nabla_{z_{i}} \phi_{i}^{*}(z_{i})]$$

$$:= \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}$$

Now, note that integrals of the form above can be evaluated as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda} &= \nabla_{\lambda} \int_{z_{i} \in \Omega_{i}} \int_{z_{i}^{*} \in \Omega_{i}} q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*}) f(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}) dz_{i} dz_{i}^{*} \\ &= \int_{z_{i} \in \Omega_{i}} \int_{z_{i}^{*} \in \Omega_{i}} \nabla_{\lambda} \left(q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*}) \right) f(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}) dz_{i} dz_{i}^{*} \\ &= \int_{z_{i} \in \Omega_{i}} \int_{z_{i}^{*} \in \Omega_{i}} q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) \nabla_{\lambda} q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*}) f(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}) dz_{i} dz_{i}^{*} + \int_{z_{i} \in \Omega_{i}} \int_{z_{i}^{*} \in \Omega_{i}} q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*}) \nabla_{\lambda} q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) f(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}) dz_{i} dz_{i}^{*} \\ &= \int_{z_{i} \in \Omega_{i}} \int_{z_{i}^{*} \in \Omega_{i}} q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*}) f(z_{i}, z_{i}^{*}) \nabla_{\lambda} (\log q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) + \log q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*})) dz_{i} dz_{i}^{*} \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda} = \nabla_{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*})} [\mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}^{*}|z_{-i}^{*},x)}^{i} \nabla_{z_{i}} k(z_{i}^{*}, z_{i})]$$
(10)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i})} \mathbb{E}_{q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*})} [\nabla_{\lambda} (\log q_{\lambda}(z_{i}) + \log q_{\lambda}(z_{i}^{*})) \mathcal{A}_{p(z_{i}^{*}|z_{-i}^{*},x)}^{i} \nabla_{z_{i}} k(z_{i}^{*},z_{i})]$$
(11)

And we can similarly evaluate \mathcal{L}_{λ} .