# Risk Assessment Questionnaire For Mtech (SE) Projects

<b>Project</b>	Name :	_				
1. System	n Size & Fu	ınctionalit	<u>Y</u>			
(1) Total e	ffort and durat	ion for syster	ns developi	nent		
Possible S	core					Score for this project
			Proje	ect Duration		
		0 - 12 months	13 - 24 month	> 24 months	Unknown	
Total effort	100 - 3000 man- hours	2	3	3	8	
for.	3000 - 15000 man-hours	4	3	3	9	
system dev	15000 - 30000 man-hours	7	7	7	9	
	> 30000 man-	10	8	10	10	
	hours Unknown	10	10	10	10	
	•					<u></u>
	r of sub-projec	ets within the	project	,	1	
	one or one wo				l 2	
	wo Two				3	
	r of user depar	rtments invol	ved with the	e project		
	one or one				1	
	Two 2 > Two 3					
>	Two			-	3	
(4) Numbe	r of user perso	onnel (both er	nd-users, an	d		
	ff) required to					
	20				1	
	) - 50				2	
	50				3	
U	nknown			2	2	
	r of different g sed by the syst		locations th	at will be		
	ne			(	)	
	wo - three			1	1	
	Three			2	2	
U	nknown			2	2	
(6) Numbe	r of existing s	vstems that th	e new syste	em must interfa	ice with	
	one	y Sterris that th	ie new syste		)	
O	ne				1	
T,	wo			2	2	
	Two				3	
U	nknown			2	2	
(7) Technic	cal complexity	of system				
	raightforward			(	)	
	verage				1	
C	omplex			2	2	
	ery complex				4	
U	nknown			3	3	

## 2. User organisation and Systems requirements

	<b>Possible Score</b>	Score for this project
(1) The system may be best described as:		
Totally new system	2	
Replacement of an existing computerised sys	stem 1	
Enhancement of an existing computerised sy		
(2) How well understood are the User requirements by the development team	y	
Well understood, only minor uncertainties	1	
Mostly understood, but one or two uncertain	ties 2	
Major areas of uncertainty	4	
(3) Real-time performance of the system is		
Not critical	0	
Critical due to throughput	1	
Critical due to response time	3	
Critical due to availability	3	
Unknown	2	
(4) Does the User organisation and/or procedures hav	e to	
change to meet the requirements of the new system	1?	
No	0	
Minimal changes	1	
Major changes	2	
Unknown	1	
(5) What degree of flexibility and judgement can be e	xercised by the	
Development team in modifying the user requirem	ents	
Can negotiate changes of >10%		
of the requirements	0	
Can negotiate changes of 0 - 10%		
of the requirements	1	
User requirements cannot be changed by		
the network integrator	3	
Unknown, degree of flexibility not yet determ		
(6) What degree of flexibility and judgement can be	exercised by the	
User in modifying the user requirements		
User has considerable flexibility in modifyin	g	
requirements	4	
User has flexibility in modifying		
requirements, but will use formal change cor	ntrol	
procedures and/or will accept incremental		
enhancement of system functionality	2	
User requirements cannot be changed by	_	
the User after initial agreement in req. analy	rsis 1	
Unknown, degree of flexibility not yet determ		

	<b>Possible Score</b>	Score for this project
(7) Is this project dependent upon the output or		
products of another project?		
No	0	
Yes, but the project which this project is		
dependent on is of low or normal risk	1	
Yes, and the project which this project is dependent on is high risk	3	
Yes, and the project which this project is	3	
dependent on is of unknown risk	2	
(8) What is the general attitude of the user?		
Poor, anti-IT solution	2	
Fair, some reluctance to use IT solution	1	
Good, is eager to adopt & use system	0	
Unknown	1	
(9) How committed is the user executive managemen the project?	t to	
Reluctant to commit	2	
Restrained level of commitment	1	
Extremely enthusiastic	0	
Unknown	1	
(10) How great is the user involvement in the project No involvement other than formal		
project/contract management	2	
Part-time user representatives involved in		
project design reviews, etc	1	
Full-time user representative involved in		
requirements specification, design team	0	
Unknown, commitment not yet made	2	

# 3. Technology & external dependencies

	<b>Possible Score</b>	Score for this project
(1) Is Hardware required that is new to the develope	er?	1 0
None	0	
Processor	1	
Terminals/PC's	2	
Networking Hardware	3	
Hardware not yet identified	2	
(2) Is special non-standard hardware required		
None	0	
Processor	1	
Terminals/PC's	2	
Networking Hardware	2	
Hardware not yet identified	1	

#### Possible Score Score for this project

(3) What portion of the Software component to be used		
in the system is to be developed as new	0	
No new software to be developed	0	
0-25% of the software to be developed as new	1 2	
25-50% of the software to be developed as new 50-75% of the software to be developed as new	3	
>75% of the software to be developed as new	4	
Unknown	4	
Chritown	7	
(4) If a significant portion of the Software component to be used in the system consists of existing software products, what familiarity does the developer have with the products?		
Developer has no experience of these products Developer has some experience, or has used	3	
similar products	1	
Developer has thorough experience with product	0	
Unknown, not yet identified	2	
(6) Novelty of proposed development methods & system First time the proposed development methods have been used by development team First time the development methods have been used by 50% of the development team	4 2	
None of the above	0	
None of the above	U	
(8) How good is the Hardware vendor/methodology vendor/tools vendor support?		
Unknown/Poor	3	
Adequate	1	
Good	0	
(9) How knowledgeable is the development team in the similar application domains		
Limited	3	
Understands concepts, but no experience	1	
Have been involved in similar previous projects	0	



### 4. Project management & Characteristics

	le Score	Score for this project
(1) Project schedule		
Major milestones and operational dates are		
Flexible; may be established and adjusted by		
the project team	1	
Firm; established internally, but thereafter are		
considered "fixed" by the client	2	
Fixed; established by the client and not		
easily negotiable	4	
Unknown, not yet discussed or agreed	4	
(2) Project manager availability, experience & training		
Project manager not yet identified	5	
Project manager identified and his experience		
level can be best described as:		
Successful recent experience in		
managing a project similar in size		
or application,	1	
Successful recent experience in		
managing part of a project similar		
in size or application, or a		
dissimilar project,	2	
No recent experience, but has had		
formal training,	4	
No recent experience or training	7	
(3) Approved project planning, tracking and reporting technic are documented and committed to this project	ques	
Yes	0	
No	4	
110	•	
(4) Key project skill and manning-level requirements can be		
met by:		
Team members within the developers organisation		
who will be working full-time on the project 1		
Team members within the developers organisation		
who will be working part-time on the project 3	_	
No team members have been formally identified	5	
(5) A plan for chief architect or centralised technical control		
is documented and committed for this project		
Yes	0	
No	3	



#### **Quantitative assessment**

### **Summary sheet**

Section	Maximum score	Minimum score	Total Score for this project
1. System size and functionality	28	5	
2. User organisation & system requirements	27	2	
3. Technology & external dependencies	22	0	
4. Project management & characteristics	23	3	
Total			

### **Implications**

If score of project is < 30 then project is of **low** risk then project is of **nedium** risk

