prime  $S_t = (S_t^1, -, S_t^n)_{t \ge 0}^n$  in SP on (N, fr, P)ndiff risky and

but  $B_t = ((1+r)^t)^t$  in discrebe time t = 0,1,2,prin  $e^{st}$  . Cut time  $t \ge 0$  ,  $r \ge 0$ 

European configent claim X.

"visk-neutrer" er "arbitreze Jeze" pring the

is obstanced as the value of the self Francis prestels that replicates the class X at its maturety time T.

Key ket about the no-and they pricing theory

I am EMM P\* on (M, H)

and SP

 $S_{t}^{\times} = \begin{pmatrix} (1+r)^{-t} & S_{t} & \text{in describe this} \\ -rt & \\ e & S_{t} & \text{out this} \end{pmatrix}$ 

in and MG on (N, f, Px), then the market in artistry tree.

- For an attainable claim X with motung T uts himse t ansituage- free price is sive by  $P_{+}(x) = \begin{cases} E^{*}(I+x)^{t-T}x | f_{t} \end{cases} \text{ cloud}$   $E^{*}(e^{-x(T-t)}x | f_{t}) \text{ cm}$   $t \in I=T]$ 

omplete is unsque, the market is complete is, any claims is attainable and so can always be priced.

Black - Scholes Frame work:

- -, bond with interest rate or harmy purce dynamics  $B_t = e^{\sigma r t}$ ,  $t \in [-,T]$  (i.e.,  $dB_t = \sigma r B_t dt$ ,  $B_0 = 1$ )

St = Mdt + TdWt

St which is pertubed by the marmel white rowine dWt, the Weltelity return white rows of specifying the effect of moise in the roturn.

Ito Jamela

1. . . 1 flt. r) = lnn

$$d(\ln S_{t}) = \frac{1}{S_{t}} dS_{t} - \frac{1}{2} S_{t}^{2} (dS_{t})^{-1}$$

$$= \mu dt + \nabla dW_{t} - \frac{1}{2S_{t}^{2}} \times \nabla^{2} S_{t}^{2} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2S_{t}^{2}} \times \nabla^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln S_{t} - \ln S_{0} = \left(M - \frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\right) + + \tau W_{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{t} = S_{0} e^{\left(M - \frac{\tau^{2}}{2}\right)t} + \tau W_{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow t \in [0,T]$$

- (S) Is the Black-Scholer market is arbitrage fre? If ye the con one price attainable claims.
- (R2 Is the Black Scholer market complete (4,9) posture). If answer to Q2 is also posture, one can price any claim in the market.

  Answer to Q1, Q2 are give in terms I EMMY on (P, H) what pros. means are ensided at

Let  $Z_{+} := S_{0} \exp \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} G_{5} ds + \sigma h_{4} \right\}$ ,  $t \in [-, T]$   $\left[ G_{+} \right]_{+ \in [-, T]} \text{ is an adapted process of } \left( \int_{0}^{t} J_{+} J_{+} F_{+} P \right)$   $\left[ X \text{ An } Z_{+} \right]_{+ \in [-, T]} \text{ is an adapted process of } \left( \int_{0}^{t} J_{+} J_{+} F_{+} P \right)$ 

Ex. An Ito procus  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a_s ds + \int_0^t b_s dW_s$ ,  $t \in [-,T]$ an MG  $y_1 = 0$ ,  $t \in [-,T]$ 

[e-sztzt] in an It's process it will be an MG
if the well of the dt term in the
Sto. differential in series

 $d(e^{-nt}Z_{+}) = d(exp\{ sa_{s}ds^{2}x exp\{-nk+\sigma W_{+}^{2}\} \}$   $=: X_{+}$   $=: Y_{+}$ 

 $= \lambda^{+} q \chi^{+} + \chi^{+} q \chi^{+}$ 

 $= \frac{y_{t} a_{t} x_{t} dt + x_{t} \left( \left( -x + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \right) y_{t} dt + \sigma y_{t} dw_{t} \right)}{y_{t} = f(t, \pi) = e^{-xt} + \sigma \pi}$   $y_{t} = \int_{t}^{t} \int_{t}^{t} dt + \sigma y_{t} dw_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{t} y_{t} dw_{t}^{2}$   $dy_{t} = -x y_{t} dt + \sigma y_{t} dw_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{t} y_{t} dw_{t}^{2}$ 

 $= X_t Y_t \left( q_t - r + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \right) dt + \sigma X_t Y_t dW_t$ 

As  $X_{t}Y_{t} > 0$  the way of derivation of  $a_{t} = \sigma_{t} - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}$   $f(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ 

That is there is a unique sel' to the publem, and we have the sollowing key result

Thm? I a unique Emm in the Black - Scholer marker, Under the past.  $p^*$ , the price process has the scometar BM process dynamics shellfred by  $S_t = S_0 \exp\left\{\left(\sigma_1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma \right\}$  of  $C_0,T_1$ 

Un entirelessy by  $dS_t = 91S_t dt + TS_t dW_t, tC[-7]$   $Vhn (W_t) i SBM poen in (M, f, P^*).$ 

According to previous section, the Black-Scholes marked is arbitrage free and complete and so one can price any contigent claims X in the mercet, using the valuation formule

Example: Pricing European Calls in the Black-Scholes market.

$$X = g(S_T) \text{ with } g(s) := (S-k)^{+}$$

$$1 \text{Note that } w_{m_{j}} \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}$$

$$2S_T > k\mathfrak{I} = \{ \frac{W_T}{\sqrt{T}} > -h_0\mathfrak{I} \} \text{ when } \frac{W_T}{\sqrt{T}} \sim N(-1)$$

$$1 \text{ and } h_0 := \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S_0}{k}\right) + \left(n - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T}{\sqrt{T}} = h_0 - T\sqrt{T}$$

$$1 \text{ The sum of the proof of t$$

We have

$$P_{o}(x) = e^{-\pi T} E^{*} (S_{T} - k)^{+}$$

$$= e^{-\pi T} E^{*} ((S_{T} - k) 1_{\{S_{T} > k\}})$$

$$= e^{-\pi T} \int_{-R_{o}}^{\infty} (S_{o} e^{(3\tau - \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2})T} + \tau \sqrt{\tau} \kappa_{-k}) \frac{e^{-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} d\kappa$$

$$= S_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(\varkappa-d\sqrt{T})^2/2}}{e^{-2\varkappa}} d\varkappa - k e^{-\varkappa T} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\varkappa^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} d\varkappa$$

$$-h_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\varkappa^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} d\varkappa$$

Black - Scholes Jamula,

 $-\times-$ 

How does one replicate claims in the Black-

~