Multimethods as dynamic C++ overloading.

Or:

How to stop worrying about Multimethod declarations and instead let the caller decide.

Julian Smith jsmith@undo-software.com

Multimethod syntax

Stroustrup D&E and 2007

```
bool intersect( virtual Shape&, virtual Shape&); // open method bool intersect( virtual Rectangle&, virtual Circle&); // overrider
```

WG21/N1529 2003

```
bool overlap( virtual shape& a, virtual shape& b);
bool overlap( static square& a, static triangle& b) {...}
bool overlap( static triangle& a, static square& b) {...}
```

Jean-Louis Leroy 2015 (this conference)

Problems with existing proposals

- Is declaration of the 'base' implementation special?
 - Why?
 - Needed by implementation to decide when to generate dynamic dispatch code.
- Callers need to have seen multimethod declaration first.
 - Could cause different behaviour in different compilation units.
- Difficult to call a specific implementation function without virtual dispatch.
 - Might be useful inside an implementation.

Issues with implementations

- Don't complicate the linker.
- Cope with loading/unloading of dynamic libraries.
- What the minimum we can put into the language, that will allow the rest to be implemented in library code?

Cmm – Adds Multimethods to C++

- Written in parallel with WG21/N1529
- Shows that multimethods can be implemented:
 - Without special linker support.
 - Mostly in a library, with specific language additions.
- Gives direct access to pointer to multimethod function that would be called for a particular set of parameters.
 - E.g. avoid lookup in tight loop.
- Constant-time dispatch if classes are assigned unique small integers.
- Easy to extend to shared pointers as well as references.
- Caller dispatch.

Implementation - Cmm

Other:

- Worlds worst C++ parser.
- Optionally supports Stroustrup alternative declaration syntax (see D&E):
 - main: (argc: int, argv: []->char) int ...
- Optionally supports 'autoblocks' python-style block-structure-from-indentation for C++.

Caller dispatch

- Multimethod dispatch algorithms for C++:
 - Are like compile-time overloading.
 - Except that they use dynamic types instead of static types.
- So... instead of declaring something is a multimethod...
- ... can we let the *caller* decide whether to use static type or dynamic type?

Caller dispatch – Cmm syntax

```
Base& x = . . .;
Base& y = . . .;
bool a = foo( x, y); // resolve using static types.
bool b = foo( virtual x, virtual y); resolve using dynamic types.
```

- Very similar to conventional C++ overloading.
 - No special declarations required.
 - Base-implementation is not special.
 - Easy to call specific implementations directly.
- Differences:
 - Uses dynamic types, not static types.
 - Gives access to all functions in executable, not just the ones visible to this compilation unit.
 - Throws exception if no match or ambiguous match.

Caller dispatch - implementation

- Implementation is easy as long as we have:
 - A compiler that knows about new calling syntax.
 - E.g. compile to a call to special dispatch function.
 - A library with runtime access to:
 - Prototypes of all functions in the programme.
 - Inheritance information.
 - Will C++ introspection gives us these things?

Multimethods and caller dispatch - summary

- Generalisation of C++ overloading, not virtual functions.
 - Little mention of classes.
- No need for member functions.
 - what have they ever done for us?
 - (apart from destructors.)
- Programme differently:
 - Use plain structs for data.
 - Use free functions for access and manipulation of this data.
 - Use caller-dispatch as required to make behaviour depend on dynamic types.
- Simpler lookup rules.
- Almost like a new language...

New language?

C++ Without Classes.