Unlocking Early Potential: The Role of Parental Engagement and Neuroplasticity in Language Development for Low-SES Children

Rahiem Jerome Brooks

Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

PSYC395: Independent Research

Dr. Margaret Ann Sheridan

April 21, 2025

Abstract

Children from low socioeconomic backgrounds consistently trail their wealthier peers in academic achievement, with the most notable differences appearing in language development and literacy. Research into early neuroscience-based interventions that incorporate neuroplasticity principles shows potential for reducing the impact of low-SES backgrounds on children's language development and scholastic achievements. This review combines studies about how language-rich environments and parent-child engagement activate neural pathways essential for developing language skills. The review identifies how parents with low reading skills face difficulties in literacy-building tasks, reducing their children's language experience. The article evaluates the theory that cognitive development interventions based on neuroscience principles can help close educational gaps before formal education starts for children. Studies show disadvantaged children experience notable language improvements when educational programs focus on phonological training, vocabulary growth, and brain stimulation methods. The educational programs activate language acquisition brain regions, which counteract the harmful effects of early developmental language exposure deficits. According to the research review, neuroscience-based interventions can potentially decrease educational disparities and enhance equitable literacy achievements. Scientific study results indicate that the field of neuroscience should be integrated into early educational practices to help children facing economic hardship develop cognitively better when their parents struggle with reading and writing skills.

Unlocking Early Potential: The Role of Parental Engagement and Neuroplasticity in Language Development for Low-SES Children

Language and literacy skills development during early childhood forms the basis of a child's educational path. Snow (2010) states that these skills establish the groundwork for cognitive and social development during later life stages. Studies show early vocabulary and phonological awareness proficiency determine future academic achievement, according to the National Research Council & Institute of Medicine (2000). Students who begin their schooling with proficient language abilities tend to perform better in reading and writing exercises, contributing to greater academic success (Perry, 2009). Low-SES children usually start their education with language disadvantages because they have had less access to language-rich settings before entering school. The gap between language exposure levels leads to delayed language development and literacy abilities (Hart & Risley, 1995). The educational differences that low-SES children experience early in life create persistent academic gaps in reading and writing skills between them and their wealthier classmates (Duncan & Magnuson, 2011).

Research indicates that SES-related academic achievement differences have significant long-term educational impacts on children (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002). The development of critical language abilities in children from low-SES families suffers because they have limited access to language interaction opportunities and reduced parental participation in reading and literacy activities (Snow, 2010). A deficit in early language exposure leads to children entering school unprepared, which manifests as challenges in vocabulary development and reading comprehension, along with phonemic awareness deficits essential for early academic achievement (Reardon, 2011). The educational obstacles children in low-SES families face become more severe due to their parents' limited literacy, which restricts their capacity to support

children's cognitive development through early learning activities (National Research Council & Institute of Medicine, 2000).

The latest developments in neuroscience research reveal essential information about brain development during early childhood that helps design specific interventions to overcome educational disparities. The brain's capacity for neuroplasticity to develop new neural pathways indicates promising opportunities for early interventions that can modify cognitive routes that enable language and literacy abilities (Hensch, 2005). The early childhood period's high neural plasticity demonstrates the potential for cognitive development interventions through parent-child interaction and language-rich environments in disadvantaged children (Kuhl, 2011) while helping to bridge academic gaps. This study examines how neuroscience-based interventions can help bridge the educational achievement gap between low-SES children and their higher-SES peers through early language development, determining future academic success.

Importance of Addressing the Academic Gap in Early Education, Particularly for Low-SES Children

The academic performance gap between low-socioeconomic status (SES) children and wealthier students has been extensively proven through research (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002). Children from low-SES backgrounds usually receive less language exposure before school entry, which results in delayed language and early literacy development (Hart & Risley, 1995). Children from low-SES backgrounds experience enduring academic struggles, specifically with reading and writing skills, compared to students from higher-SES families (Duncan & Magnuson, 2011). Insufficient early language exposure and support hamper cognitive development, creating challenges for children to catch up academically when they start school (Snow, 2010). Children from low-income families face worsened academic disadvantages

because they lack access to educational resources, and their parents show reduced involvement in their education due to lower literacy levels (Reardon, 2011). Effective intervention at the initial stages of disparity helps reduce poverty's lasting impacts and promotes educational equality for every child (Neuman & Celano, 2001). New research indicates that neuroscience-based early interventions tailored for socioeconomically disadvantaged youth can help decrease academic disparities and enhance literacy skills before kindergarten (Kuhl, 2011).

Factors Contributing to the Academic Gap

Low SES and its Impact on Early Language Development

The socioeconomic status (SES) of children impacts their foundational language skills and cognitive skills which affects their preparedness for school. Research indicates that early childhood language exposure to rich activities is significantly lower for children from low-SES backgrounds compared to their peers from higher-SES backgrounds. Early childhood language development suffers from a lack of language-rich interactions which limits essential abilities like vocabulary growth and phonological awareness development (Kuhl, 2011). The development of early language abilities is a key predictor for later academic achievement. Students who begin their schooling journey with enhanced language skills perform better in reading and writing activities (National Research Council & Institute of Medicine, 2000).

Children from low-SES backgrounds receive less early language exposure than those from higher-SES backgrounds, which leads to school readiness disparities. Research indicates that children from wealthier families encounter more spoken words during their early development, which leads to better cognitive and language growth outcomes (Hart & Risley, 1995). Children from low-SES backgrounds tend to start school with less developed vocabularies

and poor phonemic awareness, which creates obstacles to achieving early literacy success (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002). These children's cognitive difficulties continue throughout their educational journey, resulting in long-lasting academic hardship (Duncan & Magnuson, 2011).

Children from low socioeconomic status families experience reduced language exposure and restricted access to educational resources such as books and early childhood education programs that support intellectual growth (Reardon, 2011). The existing academic disadvantages these children face become more severe due to these constraints. Programs that boost language exposure through book access and parent-child interaction techniques are necessary to close the academic achievement gap (Snow, 2010). According to recent research, neuroscience-based interventions that target early brain development and language learning prove vital for reducing SES-related negative impacts on literacy and language progression (Kuhl, 2011).

Comparison of Early Language Exposure Studies

Research consistently confirms that early language exposure plays a critical role in shaping cognitive outcomes, yet the methods and focus of these studies vary considerably. Hart and Risley (1995), in one of the earliest foundational studies, quantified the "word gap" between children from high- and low-SES backgrounds by analyzing the volume of adult words spoken to children during early development. Their work highlighted stark disparities in exposure but relied heavily on observational data from a small sample. In contrast, more recent studies, such as Miller et al. (2023), adopt an experimental design to examine how structured parent-child interactions mediate literacy outcomes in low-income, racially diverse populations. While both studies affirm the importance of verbal interactions, Miller et al. provide a more direct examination of intervention efficacy and emphasize the cognitive stimulation quality of

conversations, not just their frequency. This evolution in research points to a growing emphasis on interactional depth and measurable developmental outcomes beyond simple word counts.

Low Reading Level Parents

Parental literacy levels greatly influence children's initial language development and literacy skills. Parents' involvement in reading activities and language-rich conversations is fundamental for establishing children's literacy skills because they act as their children's initial educators. Parents who struggle with literacy face difficulties engaging in essential activities that affect their children's emergent language abilities (Snow, 2010).

Research indicates that children with parents who struggle with reading face limited verbal interactions such as diminished book reading sessions and vocabulary learning opportunities because their parents cannot talk about books with them (National Research Council & Institute of Medicine, 2000). Without verbal interaction children cannot develop vital language skills including vocabulary and phonemic awareness which are necessary for achieving early literacy success. Students from low-literate parent backgrounds enter school facing language disadvantages that impede their ability to perform reading and writing tasks on par with their classmates (Duncan & Magnuson, 2011).

Children whose parents have low literacy levels often experience ongoing academic literacy difficulties because they receive insufficient language exposure at home. Breaking this cycle requires interventions supporting children's literacy development while enhancing parental literacy skills. Literacy programs that give parents resources for joint reading activities and book discussions can enhance literacy skills for both parents and their children. Programs that foster cognitively engaging interactions between parents and children positively affect language

learning in the formative year and literacy skills among children from low-SES backgrounds (Miller et al., 2023).

Current Neuroscience Advancements

How Neuroscience Can Inform Early Literacy Interventions

The latest breakthroughs in neuroscience have significantly enhanced our knowledge about early brain growth and its essential role in creating successful educational programs for children facing economic disadvantages. Scientific studies demonstrate that the brain's initial plasticity and capacity to form and restructure neural pathways when exposed to environmental inputs play a vital role during early childhood development. During this crucial developmental stage, language acquisition and cognitive development occur because the brain exhibits heightened receptivity to new skill learning, such as language and literacy (National Research Council & Institute of Medicine, 2000).

Research demonstrates that the development of a child's brain during early stages affects their ability to process and learn language. Educators who understand the neurobiological foundations of language acquisition can create more precise and impactful learning interventions that use the brain's natural adaptability. Research in neuroscience reveals that language-rich settings during early development help grow important brain regions like the auditory cortex and prefrontal cortex, which support essential language abilities such as vocabulary learning and reading and writing skills (Kuhl, 2011). Children from low-SES environments frequently miss out on needed linguistic stimuli that activate important brain areas, resulting in delayed language acquisition and school preparedness (Snow, 2010).

Current neuroscience research reveals that targeted interventions, including parent-child reading time, interactive storytelling, and language-based games, can activate brain circuits that play a role in language learning. Neuroscience-based interventions work to strengthen brain circuits necessary for language growth, which helps children from less privileged backgrounds establish a better foundation for their future literacy achievements (Kuhl, 2011). Implementing these principles allows researchers and educators to create interventions that can mitigate the impact of low-SES environments on early literacy development and promote fair educational results for every child.

Neuroplasticity and its Role in Early Language Development

A groundbreaking discovery in modern neuroscience reveals neuroplasticity as the brain's extraordinary capacity to rewire neural connections across a person's lifespan. Early childhood represents the period when neuroplasticity reaches its highest level because the brain undergoes active development during this stage. Neurodevelopment during early childhood establishes those years as a vital window for mastering language and literacy skills. Early intervention programs can stimulate brain plasticity and improve the development of neural networks that support verbal and written competencies in children living in poverty with delayed language and literacy abilities (Hensch, 2005).

Understanding how neural adaptability works is essential for assessing early intervention program effectiveness. These initiatives deliver specific brain stimulation to growing children, boosting neural pathway development and supporting language processing and literacy abilities. Interventions that use interactive reading and language-rich environments alongside brain-based learning strategies help develop children's cognitive abilities by stimulating neural

pathways that support language development. Recent studies in neuroscience show that early developmental interventions produce permanent modifications in brain structure and function, leading to improved literacy outcomes, as evidenced by research on the rejuvenation of plasticity and the critical period for learning (Patton et al., 2019).

Neuroplasticity provides hope to low-SES children who experience limited early learning opportunities. The brain's capacity to change and form new connections allows children who have encountered limited language exposure to achieve substantial literacy development milestones with adequate support during later early childhood periods (Hensch, 2005). Applying educational principles in interventions might enable us to bridge the academic gap between low-SES and higher-SES children before they begin kindergarten, leading them toward more extraordinary literacy proficiency.

New studies have shown that synaptic plasticity is a fundamental mechanism for enhancing children's educational achievements by contributing to neural adaptation needed for learning. The data shows that early intervention programs, such as reading aloud with infants and toddlers, can activate brain circuits linked to language and literacy, emphasizing the importance of these early experiences in supporting language development (Honig & Shin, 2001).

Research Gaps in Neuroscience-Informed Interventions

Neuroscience research endorses early language interventions, yet fails to convert these scientific results into community-based services that low-SES families can access. Most interventions occur in clinics or schools, which restricts their availability to families affected by systemic access barriers (Miller et al., 2023; Hatherly et al., 2025). Research from Kuhl (2011) and Hensch (2005) demonstrates the brain's adaptability to environmental input during early

development stages, but there is limited practical implementation of these neurobiological insights through parent-focused programs in home settings. Language exposure assessment tools such as LENA deliver quantifiable data but rarely combine with cognitive assessment instruments like the KBIT-2 to comprehensively evaluate intervention outcomes. The current deficiency stresses the importance of developing practical interventions based on neuroscience that empower parents as primary change agents while measuring both behavioral and cognitive outcomes.

Designing Educational Kits for Low-SES Families

Intervention Strategies Based on Neuroscience

Developing educational kits based on recent neuroscience findings can aid early literacy development among low-socioeconomic status (SES) children. These kits deliver specific evidence-based resources that promote language and literacy growth during the early developmental years. Neuroscience research indicates that young brains show heightened sensitivity to environmental input and thus benefit from targeted interventions that utilize this neural adaptability to boost learning development and language acquisition (Hensch, 2005). Interactive activity kits designed to stimulate the brain can enhance brain development in essential language acquisition areas, including phonological awareness and vocabulary abilities (Kuhl, 2011).

Educational kits should contain straightforward materials that promote parent-child engagement because this interaction is vital in early literacy development. Research demonstrates that active parenting with children's learning activities has a meaningful impact on language and literacy skill development among low socioeconomic status families (Miller et al.,

2023). Such kits often contain books with phonics activities, storytelling prompts, and word games that aim to involve children and their parents in learning activities. Research supports that parent-child interactions strengthen neural language processing circuits (National Research Council & Institute of Medicine, 2000) while children's language development improves through consistent exposure to environments rich in linguistic stimulation (Kuhl, 2011). Neuroscience research shows that when low-SES families receive proper cognitive stimulation tools and information for home-use educational kits, it can advance children's language and literacy abilities so they start kindergarten better prepared.

The educational kits include multimodal activities through interactive apps and videos, strengthening the learning concepts taught in the materials. Current neuroscience research demonstrates that various sensory inputs, including visual, auditory, and tactile stimuli, activate multiple brain areas, which support language development through diverse mechanisms (Snow, 2010). Interactive games that blend spoken directions with visual signals advance vocabulary learning by stimulating the brain regions responsible for auditory and visual processing. The creation of learning kits combines neuroscience research and practical knowledge about low-SES family challenges to produce materials that function efficiently without expensive technology or resources.

Technological and Multimodal Approaches

Employing digital tools and multimodal approaches in early literacy programs creates a viable path for enhancing children's academic growth from low socio-economic backgrounds. Interactive games combined with educational apps and electronic books deliver customized learning experiences that support modern neuroscience insights into children's brain

information processing. According to Miller et al., 2023, these tools can adapt to various learning styles while promoting early literacy skills, including reading comprehension, vocabulary development, and phonemic awareness.

The most current research shows that learning experiences improve through multimodal approaches that utilize visual and auditory elements and kinesthetic activities because they stimulate multiple brain areas simultaneously (Snow, 2010). An educational application that merges story narration with images and interactive elements enables children to form connections between spoken language and written text which is essential for early literacy development (National Research Council & Institute of Medicine, 2000). These digital resources can be modified for home use which helps low-SES families who often lack access to formal early childhood education programs.

Traditional paper-based resources combined with technological tools create an integrated learning experience. Children initially learn word recognition through a physical book before using an interactive app that supports these concepts with audiovisual elements. The multimodal approach supports various learning styles while delivering a complete brain-based educational experience that speeds up language growth (Hensch, 2005). Technology is a powerful tool for academic support to help students from low-SES backgrounds who lack home resources attain success.

Future Research Directions

Recognizing deficiencies within existing research is essential to improve early literacy programs, especially for children from economically disadvantaged backgrounds. Studies demonstrate that children who experience limited early exposure to rich language environments

encounter substantial academic difficulties in language and literacy development (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002). The existing circumstances require continual creation and examination of strategies to tackle educational gaps. Neuroscience findings show that early brain development retains flexibility which permits early interventions to help bridge development gaps. Neuroscience research applied to early childhood education leads to stronger evidence-based interventions which reduce academic disparities for low-income children.

Research on Effective Interventions for Low-SES Children

Future research must focus on creating precise interventions for low-SES children that integrate neuroscience-based methods. Children from low-SES backgrounds typically face delays in both language development and academic performance, according to Snow (2010), while Shonkoff and Phillips (2000) demonstrate that early language skills are a strong indicator of later educational attainment. Interventions founded on neuroscience principles may become foundational for early literacy development since children who lack educational resources need structured support. Studies reveal that early educational interventions that focus on phonological awareness alongside working memory and executive function enhance neural connections for literacy among kids from underprivileged households (Hensch, 2005; Kuhl, 2011). Combining these scientific approaches with family-centered programs that focus on parent-child engagement offers further support to reduce these educational inequalities, according to Kelley & Bueno (2022). Early literacy development research conducted by Dehaene and their team (2015) demonstrates brain structural and functional changes during reading learning. The 2015 study by Dehaene and colleagues demonstrates brain structure alterations and functional shifts during reading acquisition while stressing the importance of early intervention for literacy development.

Long-Term Effectiveness of Early Interventions

Future research must examine how early literacy interventions lead to sustained academic and cognitive outcomes over time. Most research centers on immediate progress, but tracking long-term outcomes is necessary to understand the full impact of interventions on educational performance and brain growth. Longitudinal research can reveal if early educational interventions maintain literacy and cognitive growth benefits while reducing achievement disparities lasting over time (Snow, 2010; Bradley & Corwyn, 2002). Extended research can pinpoint which early intervention elements work best and determine if some interventions maintain their positive impact on brain development and educational results (Erickson & Best, 2023; Duncan & Magnuson, 2011).

Cultural and Socioeconomic Influences on Intervention Success

Research going forward needs to investigate how cultural and socioeconomic environments affect early literacy intervention success. Studies reveal that different cultural standards and socioeconomic circumstances determine which interventions succeed while also affecting family participation in educational initiatives (Reardon, 2011; Condron et al., 2024, 2024). We need to understand these key factors to create successful and culturally sensitive interventions. Low-SES families confront extra challenges when participating in early literacy activities because they often have restricted access to books and technology and limited time due to work obligations (Hatherly et al., 2025). Programs that adjust to different cultural and economic settings will be crucial in reaching widespread achievement.

Integrating Neuroscience and Educational Technologies

Combining neuroscience research and educational technologies offers excellent potential to improve early literacy interventions. Digital advancements, including educational apps and interactive platforms, could enable neuroscience-informed personalized learning experiences. The combination of educational technologies and findings from neuroscience about brain development and neuroplasticity may improve literacy learning methods for underprivileged children (Hensch, 2005; Kuhl, 2011; Karmakar & Das, 2024). The combination of educational tools and parental involvement boosts success rates by reinforcing learning opportunities within home settings (Nelson et al., 2024).

Summary of Future Directions

To bridge the academic gap faced by low-SES children, we must employ a comprehensive strategy combining neuroscience research with educational technology while respecting cultural differences. Interdisciplinary approaches that blend digital tools with neuroscience findings generate strong potential to develop more tailored and efficient early literacy programs. Personalized educational interventions designed to meet the distinct requirements of low-income students can tackle their challenges while enhancing their long-term success.

Continued research should investigate how combining these fields can produce optimal methods for enhancing early language development and cognitive growth while supporting literacy skills in vulnerable children. Our grasp of integrating neuroscience-based interventions with digital platforms alongside family and cultural frameworks will enable the development of scalable solutions with substantial impact. The combination of these elements has the potential to

close the achievement gap for children from low-income families while helping them build the foundational skills essential for their future educational success.

Conclusion

Achieving academic parity for low-SES children demands proactive measures integrating early literacy and language interventions and neuroscience-based research findings. Research demonstrates that low socio-economic status children face significant academic obstacles from kindergarten through second grade because they do not experience environments filled with language development opportunities according to Bradley & Corwyn (2002). Early language development skills including phonological awareness and vocabulary acquisition serve as strong predictors of later academic success according to research by Shonkoff & Phillips (2000).

Neuroscience applications within early literacy programs generate specific approaches that boost brain development while reinforcing neural connections and advancing cognitive abilities necessary for learning and reading. Research indicates that early interventions targeting phonological awareness and executive functioning skills most effectively benefit children from disadvantaged backgrounds (Hensch, 2005; Kuhl, 2011). According to research by Kelley and Bueno (2022), family-based programs that promote parent-child interactions strengthen early learning initiatives by increasing their effectiveness.

Cultural and socioeconomic interventions and educational technologies that cater to individual learning requirements can benefit the academic development of children in poverty (Hatherly et al., 2025; Karmakar & Das, 2024). Integrating neuroscience-based strategies with digital tools enables the creation of personalized educational experiences that address each child's specific requirements and eliminate disadvantages stemming from socioeconomic status.

Through early interventions that combine neuroscience-based evidence with culturally responsive practices and technological applications, children facing economic hardship can close the academic gap before reaching 2nd grade. The benefits of these interventions extend beyond immediate academic improvement because they establish the essential groundwork for students to achieve lifelong learning and success. Future research and development of these educational methods must continue to guarantee that all students receive the necessary academic resources and support despite socioeconomic disparities.

References

1. Bradley, R. H., & Corwyn, R. F. (2002). Socioeconomic status and child development. *Annual Review of Psychology, 53*, 371–399. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135233

2. Condron, D. J., Merry, J. J., Samard, T., & Johnston, E. (2024). Child poverty and academic skills at the national level: A longitudinal analysis of four waves of PISA. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 0*(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/00207152241299093

3. Dehaene, S., Cohen, L., Morais, J., et al. (2015). Illiterate to literate: Behavioural and cerebral changes induced by reading acquisition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16*(4), 234–244. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3924

4. Duncan, G. J., & Magnuson, K. A. (2011). The nature and impact of early achievement skills, attention skills, and behavior problems. In K. Magnuson & J. Waldfogel (Eds.), *Early childhood and the development of intelligence*(pp. 11–32). Routledge. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316879867_The_nature_and_impact_of_early_achievement_skills_attention_skills_and_behavior_problems

5. Erickson, E., & Best, D. L. (2023). Early childhood literacy and emergent literacy development. In *Encyclopedia of Child and Adolescent Health* (pp. 325-335). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818872-9.00096-0

6. Hart, B., & Risley, T. R. (1995). *Meaningful differences in the everyday experience of young American children*. Brookes Publishing. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1995-98021-000

7. Hatherly, K., Moodie, S. T., Daub, O., Earle, C., & Cunningham, B. J. (2025). Exploring parent–child interactions during a parent-implemented language intervention for children who are late-to-talk. *Child Language Teaching and Therapy*. https://doi.org/10.1177/02656590251314720

8. Hensch, T. (2005). Critical period plasticity in local cortical circuits. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 6*, 877–888. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1787

9. Honig, A. S., & Shin, M. (2001). Reading aloud with infants and toddlers in child care settings: An observational study. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 28*(3), 193–197. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026551403754

10. Karmakar, S., & Das, S. (2024). The dynamic impact of neuroscience and artificial intelligence on education. In T. Singh, S. Dutta, S. Vyas, & Á. Rocha (Eds.), *Explainable AI for education: Recent trends and challenges* (pp. 13-29). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-72410-7_13

11. Kelley, E. S., & Bueno, R. (2022). Explicit word learning in preschoolers from families with high or low maternal education. *Communication Disorders Quarterly, 43*(4), 246-260. https://doi-org.libproxy.lib.unc.edu/10.1177/15257401211043757

12. Kuhl, P. K. (2011). Early language learning and literacy: Neuroscience implications for education. *Mind, Brain, and Education, 5*(3), 128–142. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-228X.2011.01121.x

13. Miller, E. B., Canfield, C. F., Roby, E., Wippick, H., Shaw, D. S., Mendelsohn, A. L., & Morris-Perez, P. A. (2023). Enhancing early language and literacy skills for racial/ethnic minority children with low incomes through a randomized clinical trial: The mediating role of cognitively stimulating parent–child interactions. *Child Development, 94*(6), 1381–1395. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.14064

14. Nelson, G., Carter, H., Boedeker, P., Knowles, E., Buckmiller, C., & Eames, J. (2024). A meta-analysis and quality review of mathematics interventions conducted in informal learning environments with caregivers and children. *Review of Educational Research, 94*(1), 112-152. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543231156182

15. Neuman, S. B., & Celano, D. C. (2001). Access to print in low- and middle-income communities: An ecological study of four neighborhoods. *Reading Research Quarterly, 36*(1), 8–26. https://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.36.1.1

16. Patton, M. H., Blundon, J. A., & Zakharenko, S. S. (2019). Rejuvenation of plasticity in the brain: Opening the critical period. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 54*, 83–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.09.003

17. Perry, G. (2009). A mandate for playful learning in preschool: Presenting the evidence. *YC Young Children, 64*(1), 96. http://libproxy.lib.unc.edu/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/mandate-playful-learning-preschool-presenting/docview/197625851/se-2

18. Reardon, S. F. (2011). The widening academic achievement gap between the rich and the poor: New evidence and possible explanations. In G. J. Duncan & R. J. Murnane

(Eds.), *Whither opportunity? Rising inequality, schools, and children's life chances* (pp. 91–116). Russell Sage Foundation. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429499821

19. National Research Council (US) & Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Integrating the Science of Early Childhood Development, Shonkoff, J. P., & Phillips, D. A. (Eds.). (2000). *From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development*. National Academies Press (US).
20. Snow, C. E. (2010). Academic language and the challenge of reading for learning about science. *Science, 328*(5977), 450–452. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43299100_Academic_Language_and_the_Challenge_of_Reading_for_Learning_About_Science#fullTextFileContent