





```
[54]: print(classification_report(y_test, y_predict))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.88	0.98	0.93	861
1	0.56	0.17	0.26	139
accuracy			0.87	1000
macro avg	0.72	0.58	0.60	1000
weighted avg	0.84	0.67	0.63	1000

```
In [55]: cm = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_predict)
sns.heatmap(cm, annot = True)
```

Out[55]: <AxesSubplot>

## PLOT ROC CURVES FOR THE 5 MODELS AND FIND AUC SCORES

```
In [55]: # ROC curve
from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve

fpr1, tpr1, thresh1 = roc_curve(y_test, model_LR.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1], pos_label = 1)
fpr2, tpr2, thresh2 = roc_curve(y_test, model_svm.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1], pos_label = 1)
fpr3, tpr3, thresh3 = roc_curve(y_test, model_rf.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1], pos_label = 1)
fpr4, tpr4, thresh4 = roc_curve(y_test, model_knn.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1], pos_label = 1)
fpr5, tpr5, thresh5 = roc_curve(y_test, model_nb.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1], pos_label = 1)
```

```
In [56]: # AUC score
from sklearn.metrics import roc_auc_score

auc_score1 = roc_auc_score(y_test, model_LR.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1])
auc_score2 = roc_auc_score(y_test, model_svm.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1])
auc_score3 = roc_auc_score(y_test, model_rf.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1])
auc_score4 = roc_auc_score(y_test, model_knn.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1])
auc_score5 = roc_auc_score(y_test, model_nb.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1])

print("Logistic Regression: ", auc_score1) # Logistic Regression
print("Support Vector Machine: ", auc_score2) # Support Vector Machine
print("Random Forest: ", auc_score3) # Random Forest
print("K-Nearest Neighbors: ", auc_score4) # K-Nearest Neighbors
print("Naive Bayes: ", auc_score5) # Naive Bayes
```

Logistic Regression: 0.8306804034124616  
Support Vector Machine: 0.811144812373998  
Random Forest: 0.9287343644248565  
K-Nearest Neighbors: 0.6986188953941886  
Naive Bayes: 0.6481270732542885

```
In [70]: plt.figure(figsize=(14,8))
plt.plot(fpr1, tpr1, linestyle = "--", color = "blue", label = "Logistic Regression")
plt.plot(fpr2, tpr2, linestyle = "--", color = "red", label = "SVM")
plt.plot(fpr3, tpr3, linestyle = "--", color = "green", label = "Random Forest")
plt.plot(fpr4, tpr4, linestyle = "--", color = "black", label = "KNN")
plt.plot(fpr5, tpr5, linestyle = "--", color = "white", label = "Naive Bayes")

plt.title('Receiver Operator Characteristics (ROC)')
plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate')
plt.ylabel('True Positive rate')
```

```
In [71]: plt.legend(loc = 'best')
plt.savefig('ROC', dpi = 300)
plt.show()
```



The graph represents that Random Forest algorithm produced the best AUC. Therefore, it is clear that Random Forest model did a better job of classifying the churned/retained telecom customers.

## TASK #11: CONCLUSION & PROJECT RECAP

```
In [71]: y_predict = model_rf.predict(X_test)
print(classification_report(y_test, y_predict))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.96	0.99	0.98	861
1	0.92	0.76	0.83	139
accuracy			0.96	1000
macro avg	0.94	0.88	0.91	1000
weighted avg	0.96	0.96	0.96	1000

Amongst all the trained models, Random Forest Classifier algorithm produced the highest Area under the ROC curve (AUC).

The following scores are the results of the Random Forest Classifier model

1. Accuracy: ~96% label accuracy
2. Precision: ~96% labeled as Retained customers and ~92% labeled as churned customers
3. Recall: ~99% labeled as Retained customers and ~76% labeled as churned customers

- We can improve this model even more better by using "Grid Search" method.