# SE 3XA3: Test Report The Lena Project

Team 1, NAR Developments Abeed Alibhai alibhaa Rahul Bablani bablanr Nezar Dimitri dimitn

December 8, 2016

# Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Table 1: Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Dec 7, 2016	1.0	Completed Initial Report

#### 1 Overview

This Test report will cover the testing that was undertaken during the completion of The Lena Project. It will summarize the manual and automated testing for the front and back end of the project. Test cases for valid and abnormal inputs have been made, any changes that were made in response to test results have been documented throughout the report.

The focus of the project as well as many of the requirements are based around usability and performance, thus manual testing was crucial to the success of the project. Using various metrics, thorough test cases were designed to test multiple non-functional requirements. Automated testing was used for quick accurate results which would not have been possible with manual.

The GUI has been made for this project, to allow users to effortlessly interact with the application. Manual testing will be used for this component of the project with inputs being clicks and outputs being the response the GUI has to each click, these will all be visually inspected.

## 2 Functional Requirements Evaluation

Testing of the functional requirements were created through a series of manual unit tests majority of which follow a black box testing approach. The testing was used to ensure certain functional requirements are met and to verify the correct results occurred after a specific input.

## 3 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

To test many of the non-functional requirements a survey was designed to cover an array of requirements. This survey was given to the beta testers to fill out. The reason for the implementation of the survey was because success of the non-functional requirements were heavily dependent on users. Table 2: Test Results

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#1	Picture is displayed in the	Click each processing but-	The picture will be re dis-	PASS
TT 1	form it was originally up-	ton (test will be re-	played but the filter we	17100
	loaded in	peated for each button,	be applied and it will be	
	loaded III	not clicked concurrently)	1	
		not cheked concurrently)	processed from its origi-	
#2	Picture is displayed with	Click each processing but-	nal state. The picture will be re	PASS
TT-2	one filter already applied	ton	displayed but the filter	11100
	to it	1011	will be applied and it	
	10 10		will once again be pro-	
			cessed form and already	
// 9	No mistage is and a ded an	II.l. d i ith Cl.	processed form	DACC
#3	No picture is uploaded or	Upload an image with file	Picture will successfully	PASS
	displayed	extensions (JPG, PNG,	be uploaded and dis-	
		JPEG)	played.	
#4	Picture is displayed in	Save an image	Image will be saved in de-	PASS
	app and a filter has been		sired location	
	applied			
#5	Image processing app is	The Minimize "-", maxi-	the app will Minimize,	PASS
	open	mize "O" and close but-	Maximize then close	
		tons "x" are pressed		
#6	Image processing app is	The bottom corner will be	The app window will re-	PASS
	open	clicked then dragged	size to the desired posi-	
	_		tion	

#### 3.1 Look and Feel

Survey Question: On a scale from 1-10 how appealing was the look of the

app?

Response: Average of 8/10

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#3	No GUI has been	Application is launched	GUI will be built and im-	PASS
	launched	and picture is uploaded	age will appear in the ap-	
			plication	

## 3.2 Usability

Survey Question: How many clicks were needed to: open, filter and save an

image

Response: An average of 11 clicks

Survey Question: How easy on a scale from 1-10 was the application to use?

Response: rating of 10

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#6	Closed application	Variety of inputs to run	User will have saved a fil-	PASS
		through the program	tered image	

## 3.3 Performance

This section is broken down into related subsections.

#### 3.3.1 Speed

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#1	Program has no main	Image is selected	The GUI will open in	Refer to
	GUI or picture up-		PROCESS TIME with	graph
	loaded(still in file ex-		the image uploaded and	below
	plorer)		displayed in UPLOAD	
			TIME	



Figure 1: Performance Graph

## 3.3.2 Reliability

Test Case	Purpose	Input	Result
#2	Test will look for any extreme	Will go through code and ana-	PASS Found errors in excep-
	cases that may not be handled	lyze any deterministic sections	tion handling
	with exceptions	of code (if statements, user in-	
		puts, file types) to catch any	
		boundary cases that need to	
		be handled	

## 3.3.3 Quality

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#5	Image is uploaded and	Process the image with	The image will process ac-	PASS
	displayed on GUI	multiple filters	cording to the filters cho-	
			sen but the quality will	
			not be affected	

## 3.4 Operational/Environment

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#4	No picture is uploaded or	Upload an image with file	Picture will successfully	PASS
	displayed	extensions (JPG, PNG,	be uploaded and dis-	
		JPEG)	played.	

## 3.5 Maintainability

Test Case	Initial State	Input	Expected Output	Result
#7	Program is stored on USB	Launch Application	Application will open	PASS

## 3.6 Security

Test Case	Purpose	Input	Result
#8	Check to see if Users can ac-	The code will be scruti-	PASS There are no points of
	cess the complex algorithms	nized for any access point to	access to the framework
	from the Marvin Framework	the Marvin Framework algo-	
	that are passed into our pro-	rithms	
	gram		

#### 3.7 Cultural

Test Case	Purpose	Input	Result
#9	To find any aspects of the pro-	A survey will be held asking	PASS No offensive aspects of
	gram that maybe offensive to	users if they found any aspects	the program were reported in
	some users	of our program to be cultur-	the survey
		ally offensive	

### 3.8 Legal

Test Case	Purpose	Input	Result
#10	To ensure no legal suits can be	We will manually go through	PASS Marvin is open sourced
	held against us	all the patents that relate to	therefore we are legally enti-
		image processing	tled to use their resources

### 3.9 Health and Safety

Г	est Case	Purpose	Input	Result
#	<u></u> 11	Identify the amount of strain	Users will be asked to spend	PASS Eye strain results had a
		our program puts on a users	ten minutes using our applica-	consensus of a 1/10 rating
		eye	tion and rate their eye strain	
			on a scale of 1 to 10	

#### 3.10 Robustness

The Lena Processing application only supports non corrupted Raster file formats. This includes processes of opening and saving an image. To test Robustness We tried to open a file of a type that is accepted, not accepted, and corrupted. We then tried to save the image by renaming the extension of a type that is accepted, not accepted, and the boundary case of no extension.

Table 3: Opening

Test Case	Input	Expected Output	Result
#12	PNG/JPG/GIF	Picture will open in main GUI	PASS
#13	SVG	Picture will open in main GUI	FAIL Nothing happened, no
			picture or GUI opened
#14	Word File	Warning of wring file type will	FAIL Nothing happened, no
		appear	picture or GUI opened
#15	Corrupted PNG	Warning of wring file type will	FAIL Nothing happened, no
		appear	picture or GUI opened

Table 4: Saving

Test Case	Input	Expected Output	Result
#16	.PNG	Picture will save to desired di-	PASS
		rectory	
#17	.SVG	Warning of wrong file exten-	FAIL Nothing is saved
		sion will appear	
#18	No extension	Save as default extension	FAIL Nothing is saved

## 4 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section does not apply to our project.

## 5 Unit Testing

Our unit testing plan broke down into the testing of internal functions and testing of output files.

#### 5.1 Internal Functions

The main internal Function is our project is the actionPerformed module which is used to listen to the actions in the GUI. A series of if-statements connect each button to the correct plugins subsequently applying the correct filter or correct action. Each button was tested based on its functionality. All the filter buttons correctly modified the photo to apply their designated filter. The reset button was successful in restoring the image to its original state. Lastly the save button does its job in opening the file explorer to allow for users to choose their desired file destination.

## 5.2 Output Files

The output file is the processed version of the users original image that they would like to save onto their local drive. The save button mentioned in the section above opens the file explorer which prompts the user to select a file type, a destination folder, and a name for the image which they wish to save. The testing of this included trying to save images with different file formats. The tests passed when the user tried to save the image with a correct file extension. The tests however failed when an incorrect or unsupported file format was chosen. We have implemented new safety measures to correct the

failure in these test. These implementations are explained in the Changes Due to Testing section.

## 6 Changes Due to Testing

This section of the document will outline all the Changes were made to the project based on the aspects of testing that had failed. Error handling and robustness was one of the largest areas of failure in our testing. We knew we needed to add safety or limitation so users wouldnt run into such issues. In addition our surveys feedback section revealed features of The Lena Project that could have been improved on or added. We took this into consideration and implemented many of the features that we had initially not thought of.

#### 6.1 Error Handling

This section is broken down into opening and saving.

#### 6.1.1 Opening

Our initial thought to solving the issue of opening wrong file formats was to just issue a warning prompt. We instead implemented a check in the file explorer so users are only able to choose an image that has a file format our app accepts.

#### **6.1.2** Saving

The way we implemented safety towards file saving was very similar to the way we implemented it for opening a file. The issue was that file saving was very dependent on user input as the file name comes from a string. The first step was to allow any file extension that was of a type our app supported. If there was no file extension given, the image would be saved to a PNG by default. If the file extension was not supported or there was an issue in the way the user input the file name an error prompt appeared and the user was forced to rename the project.

#### 6.2 Additions

The survey we implemented asked users to give us feedback based on any problems they faced or any features they would like to see added. This section of the survey deemed to be much more useful than originally expected and led to many changes to out app.

Feedback: "Picture wont fit on screen"

Solution: We realized that different image sizes would open differently on users computers. To compensate for this we made it possible for the user to resize the image and the window.

Feedback: "Cant go back?"

Solution: It is inevitable that users will make mistakes or will want to change any actions they performed. We decided to add an undo button so users can take back any changes they made.

Feedback: "I wanted to filter a different photo"

Solution: Originally opening file was only an option when the application first opened. An open button was added so users can choose a different image even with the GUI already opened.

## 7 Automated Testing

Most of our project is based on buttons, clicks, and action listeners. This model leaves little room for automated testing as most test cases are run manually. However file import and export is a very crucial part of the testing and with many different possibilities of file formats it became necessary to include automated testing. JUnit was implemented to automatically test the file open and file save modules. Different file types were input into the modules to analyze whether the output was the correct result. When supported file formats were put in the system acted as it should and the result was correct. When unsupported or unusual formats were input the system crashed.

# 8 Trace to Requirements

Table 5: Functional Tests

10010 0. I differential Lobbs							
Test Case	Req 1	Req 2	Req 3	Req 4	Req5		
#1		X	X				
#2		X	X				
#3	X						
#4				X			
#5					X		

Table 6: Non Functional Tests

					ancolona					
Test	Look	Usability	Performance	Operational	Maintainability	Security	Cultural	Legal	Health	Robustness
Case	and								and	
	Feel								Safety	
#1			X							
#2			X							
#3	X									
#4				X						
#5			X							
#6		X								
#7					X					
#8						X				
#9							X			
#10								X		
#11									X	
12-18										X

#### 9 Trace to Modules

Table 7: Functional Tests

Test Case	M1	M2	M3	M4
#1			X	X
#2			X	X
#3	X	X		
#4	X			
#5			X	
#6			X	

Table 8: Non Functional Tests

Test Case	M1	M2	M3	M4
#1		X	X	
#2	X			
#3		X	X	
#4	X			
#5			X	X
#6	X	X	X	X
#7	X			
#8				X
#9	X		X	
#10				X
#11	X		X	
12-18	X	X	X	

## 10 Code Coverage Metrics

We used JCov to measure and analyze dynamic code coverage of our app. When the app runs the code coverage data is collected. Unlike static code coverage JCov is able to collect the data while the program is being executed. We collected method, linear block, and branch coverage. JCov also shows our programs source code annotated with coverage information. In Addition each function had test cases specifically made to cover all aspects of the code within the method. For example the file explorer test cases were designed such that all the if statements were executed (statement coverage).