

# Number Theory Part VI

Factoring game, RSA

# Factoring Assumption

- Factoring Experiment,  $\text{Fact}_{A, \text{GenModulus}}(n)$ :

$\text{GenModulus}(1^n) \rightarrow (N, p, q)$ , such that  $N=pq$ ;  $p, q$  are  $n$ -bit primes

Poly-time algorithm  $A$ :  $A(N) \rightarrow p', q'$

If  $\{p, q\} = \{p', q'\}$ , output 1, else 0

- Factoring is hard w.r.t.  $\text{GenModulus}$ , if, for all PPT algorithms  $A$ , there exists a negligible function,  $\text{negl}$ , s.t.:

$$\Pr[\text{Fact}_{A, \text{GenModulus}}(n) = 1] \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

# Factoring Assumption

- Factoring is hard, but *does not* yield a practical cryptosystem
  - Have to somehow relate hardness of guessing SK to assumption hardness, etc...
- Led people to explore existence of other hard problems *related* to factoring
- Most famous: RSA cryptosystem by Rivest, Shamir, Adleman, 1978

# RSA Assumption

- Given:
  - $N = pq$
  - Euler's totient function,  $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$ ,
  - some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $e > 2$ , s.t.,  $\gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1$
  - Ciphertext,  $C = y^e \bmod N$ , for some  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$
- Finding  $y^{1/e} \bmod N$ , without knowing  $p, q, \phi(N)$  is *hard*
- If RSA is hard, factoring is hard: proven
- Other way: open question...

# RSA Experiment

GenRSA ( $1^n$ )  $\rightarrow$  (N,e,d)

GenModulus( $1^n$ )  $\rightarrow$  (N,p,q)

compute  $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$

pick  $e > 2$ , s.t.,  $\gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1$

/\* Find mult. inverse of  $e \bmod \phi(N)$  \*/

compute  $d \equiv e^{-1} \bmod \phi(N)$

return (N,e,d)

# RSA Example

- Let  $p, q = 11, 17$
- $N = 187, \phi(N) = 160$
- Pick  $e = 7$  (check:  $\gcd(7, 160) = 1$ )
- Hard part: find a  $d$ , s.t.,  $d \equiv e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(N)}$
- $d = 23$  (check:  $7 \cdot 23 \pmod{160} = 1$ )
- Now, encrypt something...

# RSA Example

- Say,  $y = 64$  (message)
- Encryption:
  - $C = y^e \bmod N = 64^7 \bmod 187 = 4$
- Decryption:
  - $y = 4^d \bmod N = 4^{23} \bmod 187 = 64$
  - Without knowing  $d$ ,  $p$ ,  $q$ , or  $\phi(N)$ , hard to find  $y$
  - Any of  $(d, p, q, \phi(N))$  can be used to efficiently compute the others

# Choice of $e$

- Need a prime number with low *Hamming weight*
  - Hamming weight: no. of 1's in binary rep.
- $e$  is public exponent;  $y^e \bmod N$
- Modular exponentiation is (computationally) expensive!
- Efficient algorithm: *square-and-multiply*



# Modular Exponentiation

- Square-and-multiply algorithm:
- $a^b \bmod N =$ 
  - $(a^{b/2})^2 \bmod N$ ; when  $b$  is even
  - $a \cdot (a^{(b-1)/2})^2 \bmod N$ ; when  $b$  is odd
- Complexity:  $O(\log b + \text{hamming weight}(b))$
- Naive method would've been  $O(b)$
- Plug in some numbers: e.g., 4096 steps vs.  $12 + \text{hw}(b)$  steps!

# Choice of $e$

- Coming back to  $e$ :
- Popular choice:  $e = 3$ 
  - Careful of “low-range exponent attacks”
  - Pick  $p, q$ , s.t.,  $(p \bmod 3) \neq 1$ ,  $(q \bmod 3) \neq 1$
- Another choice:  $e = 65537 = 2^{16} + 1$
- Don't choose small  $d$  and “reverse-engineer”  $e$  ( $e \equiv d^{-1} \bmod \phi(N)$ ): bad idea<sup>1</sup>