1 Understanding the Evaluation Metric

1.1 Formula:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\log(x_i+1) - \log(y_i+1))^2}$$

- Here x_i is predicted value and y_i is actual value
- *n* is total number of predictions
- log is natural logarithm (important component)
- +1 exists to avoid 0 as an input to log

1.2 Difference:

- · applying logarithm to both input and target will tone-down the effects of outliers
- the consequence of that is not underscoring the scale of error but focusing on relative error

1.3 Why not MSE?

Since there shouldn't be any distinction b/w predicting the prices of expensive houses over inexpensive ones, MSLE is desirable

1.4 What does 0.11 MSLE mean?

test_df = test_df.drop(["Id"], axis=1)

That means on an average, TA's predition of a house prices are with-in ~11.16% of actual price.

1.5 Default submission



1.6 Team Name

RahulDamineni

In [4]: %%bash

2 Naive data processing: binarizing all fields

```
for i in `seq 2 80`; do cat hw3-data/my_train.csv | cut -f $i -d ',' | sort | uniq | wc -1; done | \
awk '{s+=$l-1} END {print s}'

7227

In [171]: import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import pathlib
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_log_error
from sklearn.linear_model import Ridge

In [142]: train_df = pd.read_csv("hw3-data/my_train.csv")
eval_df = pd.read_csv("hw3-data/my_dev.csv")
test_df = pd.read_csv("hw3-data/test.csv")
In [143]: train_df = train_df.drop(["Id"], axis=1)
eval_df = eval_df.drop(["Id"], axis=1)
test_id = test_df["Id"]
```

624

SalePrice:

```
eval_X = eval_df.iloc[:, :-1]
          eval_y = eval_df["SalePrice"]
          test_X = test_df
In [159]: | def bin_df(train_X, eval_X, test_X, method="all"):
              df = pd.concat([train_X, eval_X, test_X], axis=0)
              tr_lower = len(train_X)
              ev_lower = len(eval_X)
              if method == "all":
                  bin_df = pd.get_dummies(df, columns=df.columns)
              else:
                  bin_df = pd.get_dummies(df)
              train_Xb = bin_df[:tr_lower]
              eval_Xb = bin_df[tr_lower: tr_lower + ev_lower]
              test_Xb = bin_df[tr_lower + ev_lower:]
              return train_Xb, eval_Xb, test_Xb
          tr_Xb, ev_Xb, te_Xb = bin_df(train_X, eval_X, test_X)
In [160]: | lr = LinearRegression()
          lr.fit(tr_Xb, train_y)
Out[160]: LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=True, n_jobs=None,
                   normalize=False)
In [161]: | np.sqrt(mean_squared_log_error(eval_y, lr.predict(ev_Xb)))
Out[161]: 0.16956576725615993
In [162]: # Top 10 most negative
          tr_Xb.columns[np.argpartition(lr.coef_, range(10))[:10]].tolist()
Out[162]: ['MSZoning_C (all)',
            'FullBath_1',
            'TotRmsAbvGrd 4',
            'OverallQual 3',
            'GarageCars_1.0',
            'OverallQual_4',
            'LotFrontage_130.0',
            'LotArea_40094',
            'MasVnrArea_762.0',
            'BsmtFinSF1_2260.0']
In [163]: # Top 10 most Positive
          tr_Xb.columns[np.argpartition(-lr.coef_, range(10))[:10]].tolist()
Out[163]: ['FullBath_3',
            'Neighborhood_StoneBr',
            'OverallQual_10',
            'Neighborhood NoRidge',
           'RoofMatl_WdShngl',
            'OverallQual_9',
            'GarageCars_3.0',
            'LotArea_13891',
            'TotRmsAbvGrd_10',
            'LotArea_15623']
          Yes, they make sense
In [148]: # Bias dimention
          lr.intercept_
Out[148]: 230876.99037990492
```

Makes sense. Looks like the average price of house

In [122]: |train_X = train_df.iloc[:, :-1]

train_y = train_df["SalePrice"]

```
In [149]: def write_predictions(test_X, file_name):
    preds = lr.predict(test_X)
    out = {
        "Id": test_id,
        "SalePrice": preds
    }
    pd.DataFrame(out).to_csv(file_name, index=False)
    write_predictions(test_X=te_Xb, file_name="hw3-data/lr_all_bin_submission.csv")
```

3623 **RahulDamineni** 0.16763 2 3m

3 Smarter binarization

- 1. Too many numerical values resulting in large and sparse vectors. This will result in large number of linear regressor parameters with too few examples to
- 2. LotFrontage and GarageYrBlt are still numerical: NA is imputed with 0

In [177]: |tr_Xb, ev_Xb, te_Xb = bin_df(train_X.fillna(0), eval_X.fillna(0), test_X.fillna(0), method="catg")

3. 268 categorical, 36 numerical

```
lr = LinearRegression()
          lr.fit(tr Xb, train y)
          # Dev error
          np.sqrt(mean_squared_log_error(eval_y, lr.predict(ev_Xb)))
Out[177]: 0.1378254382288181
In [167]: # Top 10 most negative
          tr Xb.columns[np.argpartition(lr.coef , range(10))[:10]].tolist()
Out[167]: ['RoofMatl_ClyTile',
            'Condition2 PosN',
            'PoolQC Fa',
            'PoolQC_Gd',
            'GarageCond_Ex',
            'Condition2_RRAe',
            'Functional Sev',
            'Electrical_Mix',
            'GarageQual_Po',
            'LandSlope_Sev']
In [168]: # Top 10 most positive
          tr_Xb.columns[np.argpartition(-lr.coef_, range(10))[:10]].tolist()
Out[168]: ['PoolQC 0',
            'RoofMatl_Membran',
            'RoofMatl_WdShngl',
            'RoofMatl_Metal',
            'Condition2 PosA',
            'GarageQual_Ex',
            'RoofStyle_Shed',
            'Condition2 Artery',
            'RoofMatl_Tar&Grv',
            'Neighborhood_StoneBr']
In [169]: # Bias dimension
          lr.intercept_
Out[169]: -467312.2611190686
In [178]: write predictions(test_X=te_Xb, file_name="hw3-data/lr_catg_bin_submission.csv")
                                                  Submitted
                                                                               Wait time
                                                                                                 Execution time
            Name
                                                                                                                               Score
                                                  4 minutes ago
                                                                               186 seconds
                                                                                                 0 seconds
                                                                                                                             0.22158
            Ir_catg_bin_submission.csv
              Complete
```

4 Experimentation

and the analysis and a second and a second

NameSubmittedWait timeExecution timeScorerr_catg_bin_submission.csva few seconds ago0 seconds0 seconds0.22158

Complete

Jump to your position on the leaderboard ▼

- 4.0.1 Best dev_error: 0.13
- 4.0.2 Best test_error: 0.16
- 4.0.3 Best rank: 3623

5 Debriefing

- 1. 3 hours
- 2. Moderate
- 3. Mostly alone
- 4. 60%

In []: