

## Pattern Matching For Switch

1.) Using a *switch* expression or a pattern over an enum class now throws a *MatchException*. Earlier, we used to get an *IncompatibleClassChangeError* if no *switch* label was applied at run time.

2.) They have added support for type-inference of arguments for generic record patterns in *switch* expressions and statements, along with the other constructs that support patterns.

### Code:

```
/**
 * To run: `java --enable-preview --source 20
 * PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.java`
 */
public class PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        recordErrorInSwitchPatternMatching();

        genericRecordInSwitch();
    }

    static void recordErrorInSwitchPatternMatching() {
        var dot = new OneDimensionalPoint(10);

        switch (dot) {
            // will cause MatchException with wrapped exception (the record pattern
            // completes abruptly with the ArithmeticException)
            case OneDimensionalPoint(var x): System.out.println("1D point");
            // the occurring in guarded clause, it just rethrows the exception
            // will cause ArithmeticException
            // case OneDimensionalPoint p when (p / 0 == 1): System.out.println("Non
            // sense");
        }
    }

    static void genericRecordInSwitch() {
        var w = new Wrapper<String>("some text");
```

```

switch (w) {
    // will infer Wrapper<String>
    case Wrapper(var v): System.out.println("Wrapped value: " + v);
}
}
}

```

```

record OneDimensionalPoint(int x) {
    public int x() {
        return x / 0;
    }
}

```

```

record Wrapper<T>(T t) {}

```

### Output:

```

Exception in thread "main" java.lang.MatchException: java.lang.ArithmeticException: / by zero
at
PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.recordErrorInSwitchPatternMatching(PatternMatc
hingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.java:16)
at
PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.main(PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTe
st.java:6)
Caused by: java.lang.ArithmeticException: / by zero
at OneDimensionalPoint.x(PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.java:35)
at
PatternMatchingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.recordErrorInSwitchPatternMatching(PatternMatc
hingForSwitchFourthPreviewTest.java:1)

```

## Record Patterns Second Preview

1.) Added support for type inference of arguments of generic record patterns.

- 2.) Added support for record patterns to be usable in the header of an [enhanced for loop](#).
- 3.) Removed support for named record patterns, where we could provide an optional identifier to the record patterns that we can use to refer to the record pattern.

Code:

```
import java.util.List;
import java.util.ArrayList;

/**
 * To run: `java --enable-preview --source 20
RecordsPatternSecondPreviewTest.java`
 */
public class RecordPatternsSecondPreviewTest {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        enhancedForLoop();

        genericInferenceTest();

        recordPatternInEnhancedForLoopHeader();
    }

    public static void enhancedForLoop() {
        var points = new Point[] {
            new Point(10, 10),
            new Point(20, 20),
            new Point(30, 30),
            new Point(20, 50),
            new Point(10, 60)
        };

        // we can now deconstruct a record type in the enhanced for loop
        for (Point(int x, int y) : points) {
            System.out.printf("Drawing at x=%d and y=%d%n", x, y);
        }
    }
}
```

```

public static void genericInferenceTest() {
    var point = new Point(42, 42);
    var decoratedPoint = new Decorator(new ColoredPoint(point, "RED"));
    var anotherDecorated = new Decorator(decoratedPoint);

    // here we don't need to use
    // `Decorator<Decorator<ColoredPoint>>(Decorator<ColoredPoint>(ColoredPoint cp))` like in JDK 19
    if (anotherDecorated instanceof Decorator(Decorator(ColoredPoint(Point(int x, int y), String color)))) {
        System.out.println("\nAren't you using too much decorator?");
        System.out.printf("x=%d, y=%d; color=%s\n\n", x, y, color);
    }
}

static void recordPatternInEnhancedForLoopHeader() {
    var items = new ColoredPoint[] { new ColoredPoint(new Point(42, 42), "red") };

    for (ColoredPoint(Point(var x, var y), String color) : items) {
        System.out.printf("Point [%d, %d] has color %s", x, y, color);
    }
}

record Point(int x, int y) {}

record ColoredPoint(Point p, String color) {}

record Decorator<T>(T t) {}

```

### Output:

Drawing at x=10 and y=10

Drawing at x=20 and y=20

Drawing at x=30 and y=30

Drawing at x=20 and y=50

Drawing at x=10 and y=60

Aren't you using too much decorator?

x=42, y=42; color=RED

Point [42, 42] has color red

## Structured Concurrency With Scoped Value

Scoped values provide a simple, immutable, and inheritable data-sharing option, specifically in situations where we're working with a large number of threads.

A *ScopedValue* is an immutable value that is available for reading for a bounded period of execution by a thread. Since it's immutable, it allows safe and easy data-sharing for a limited period of thread execution. Also, we need not pass the values as method arguments.

Code:

```
import jdk.incubator.concurrent.*;

/**
 * Run: `java --source 20 --enable-preview --add-modules jdk.incubator.concurrent
 ScopedValueUsageWithReturnValueExample.java`
 */
public class ScopedValueUsageWithReturnValueExample {
    final static ScopedValue<Integer> MAIN_SCOPE = ScopedValue.newInstance();

    public static void main(String[] args) throws Exception {
        // we use `call` to run a scope and get it returned value
        var result = ScopedValue.where(MAIN_SCOPE, 42)
            .call(() -> { // throws Exception
                var calculator = new Calculator();
                return calculator.calculate();
            });
        System.out.println("Result from calculation: " + result);
    }
}
```

```
class Calculator {  
    public int calculate() {  
        var seed = ScopedValueUsageWithReturnValueExample.MAIN_SCOPE.get();  
        return seed + 42;  
    }  
}
```

Output:

Result from calculation: 42