

Appendix A

Falsifiable Predictions and Experimental Status of the Modak–Walawalkar Framework

1 Status of the Framework

The Modak–Walawalkar (M–W) framework is proposed as a **candidate geometric framework for quantum gravity**. It is not presented as a complete or experimentally verified theory. Rather, it is a constructive proposal that:

1. Formulates a unified geometric variational principle underlying both gravitational and quantum dynamics
2. Demonstrates internal consistency with known physics in appropriate limits
3. Produces concrete, falsifiable predictions that are not implied by standard quantum mechanics or general relativity

Historically, foundational physical theories—including General Relativity—were mathematically formulated and explored well in advance of decisive experimental confirmation. In this sense, the present status of the M–W framework is comparable to an early-stage theoretical proposal: conceptually motivated, mathematically structured, and awaiting empirical test.

2 Central Falsifiable Prediction

2.1 Position–Time Localization in Standard Quantum Theory

In orthodox quantum mechanics, uncertainty relations arise from non-commuting operators. Two independent relations are recognized:

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}, \quad \Delta E \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (1)$$

Crucially, **standard quantum mechanics posits no uncertainty relation between spatial localization and temporal localization**. Position and time are not treated as conjugate variables, and no lower bound on the product $\Delta x \Delta t$ exists within the orthodox formalism.

2.2 Prediction of the Modak–Walawalkar Framework

In the Modak–Walawalkar framework, both quantum evolution and gravitational motion arise from geodesic flow on a unified geometric manifold. As a consequence, spatial and temporal localization become geometrically coupled at fundamental scales.

The framework predicts the existence of a new uncertainty relation:

$$\boxed{\Delta x \Delta t \geq \ell_P^2} \quad (2)$$

where $\ell_P = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ is the Planck length.

This relation:

- Is **not derivable** from standard quantum mechanics
- Does **not require time to be promoted to an operator**
- Emerges from geometric non-commutativity encoded in curvature

2.3 Curvature-Dependent Generalization

In curved spacetime, the bound is predicted to be modified as:

$$\boxed{\Delta x \Delta t \geq \ell_P^2 (1 + \beta R \ell_P^2)} \quad (3)$$

where:

- R is the Ricci scalar curvature
- β is a dimensionless geometric coupling expected, on general naturalness grounds, to be **of order unity** ($1 \lesssim \beta \lesssim 10$)

This curvature dependence is a distinctive signature of geometric unification and does not arise in standard quantum mechanics or in existing quantum gravity frameworks in this form.

3 Falsifiability

The proposed position–time uncertainty relation admits **three independent levels of falsification**:

1. Existence test

Does any lower bound on $\Delta x \Delta t$ exist?
(Standard quantum mechanics predicts none.)

2. Scale test

If a bound exists, is it set by the Planck area ℓ_P^2 ?

3. Geometric test

Does the bound increase with spacetime curvature as $1 + \beta R \ell_P^2$?

Failure at **any one** of these levels falsifies the prediction.

A positive detection at all three levels would constitute direct evidence for geometric coupling between quantum localization and spacetime curvature.

4 Role of Computational Construction

A computational implementation using a variational autoencoder (VAE) was employed **not as a proof of the theory**, but as an **existence and internal-consistency demonstration**.

The purpose of the computational construction was to test whether a single geometric structure can simultaneously encode:

- Einsteinian curvature constraints
- Quantum normalization and uncertainty constraints
- Topological consistency conditions

The VAE learns a latent geometric manifold subject to imposed physical constraints; it **does not derive physical laws** and is not presented as experimental validation.

4.1 Emergent Order-Unity Coupling

Within the learned geometric manifold, the curvature-dependent correction to the position–time uncertainty bound naturally takes the form

$$\Delta x \Delta t \geq \ell_P^2 (1 + \beta R \ell_P^2). \quad (4)$$

Analysis of the learned geometry yields an **effective order-unity coupling**, with a **median value**

$$\beta_{\text{eff}} \approx 3.24, \quad (5)$$

and a broad distribution reflecting model non-uniqueness and sampling variability.

Importantly, this curvature-dependent contribution was **not explicitly imposed** during training. Its emergence therefore serves as a **consistency check**: the learned geometry naturally produces a correction of the magnitude anticipated on dimensional and geometric grounds ($\beta \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$).

This value should **not** be interpreted as a determination of a fundamental constant. An analytic derivation of β remains an open theoretical problem.

5 Deductive Development Required

To elevate the framework from a candidate proposal to a complete theory, several deductive results remain necessary:

1. **Analytic derivation of the coupling β** from symmetry or geometric principles
2. **Explicit reduction proofs** showing recovery of:
 - Einstein equations in the classical limit ($\hbar \rightarrow 0$)
 - Schrödinger dynamics in weak curvature
 - Klein–Gordon and Dirac equations for relativistic quantum fields
3. **Characterization of uniqueness or equivalence classes** of admissible manifolds
4. **Formal connections** to established geometric frameworks (e.g. non-commutative geometry, AdS/CFT, spin networks)

6 Experimental Pathways

6.1 Joint Spatial–Temporal Localization Experiments

The proposed uncertainty relation may be tested through joint spatial and temporal localization measurements in quantum systems, using:

- Ultra-cold atoms or trapped ions (spatial localization control)
- Precision spectroscopy or atomic clocks (temporal resolution)
- Comparative measurements across varying gravitational environments

While Planck-scale sensitivity is beyond current laboratory capability, **curvature enhancement near compact astrophysical objects** significantly amplifies the predicted effect.

6.2 Additional Observational Channels

The framework also predicts:

- Quantum–geometric corrections to Hawking temperature
- Logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy
- Curvature-dependent modifications to primordial fluctuations

These provide **independent observational constraints** complementary to localization experiments.

7 The Defining Experimental Signature

For General Relativity, the anomalous perihelion precession of Mercury provided the first decisive test.

For the Modak–Walawalkar framework, the analogous signature would be:

Experimental evidence for a fundamental lower bound on joint spatial and temporal localization, with measurable dependence on spacetime curvature.

Such an observation would simultaneously indicate:

1. Breakdown of orthodox quantum mechanics at Planckian scales
2. Geometric origin of quantum uncertainty
3. Empirical coupling between quantum behavior and curvature

8 Summary

The Modak–Walawalkar framework currently occupies an intermediate position between conceptual formulation and empirical confirmation.

Established

- Unified geometric variational principle
- Clear, falsifiable predictions
- Internal consistency with known physics in appropriate limits

In progress

- Analytic derivations
- Mathematical uniqueness results
- Independent computational replication

Outstanding

- Experimental tests of curvature-dependent localization
- Community scrutiny and validation

The framework is therefore best understood as a **testable geometric proposal**, whose ultimate status will be determined by experiment rather than construction.

Rahul Modak and Rahul Walawalkar
Bayesian Cybersecurity Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai
January 2026