Introduction to Machine Learning and Pattern Recognition

David Brady[1]

[1]ECE Department
Northeastern University

Fall 2018

Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

a Priori Probability

How do we use *a priori* information in classifying sea bass or salmon?
What if we know that 90% of fish are bass and 10% are salmon?
How does the best classifier change if 50% are bass and 50% are salmon?

Some notation:

- x is a column vector of new features
- x is a point in plot for each "experiment"
- *a priori* = "before the observation"
- ω is an experimental outcome (new feature)
  - $\omega = \omega_1 \mapsto$ x in right circle
  - $\omega = \omega_2 \mapsto$ x in left circle
- *a prior* probabilities
  - $P[\omega = \omega_1]$ = Probability x falls in right circle
  - $P[\omega = \omega_2]$ = Probability x falls in left circle
- $P[\omega = \omega_1] < P[\omega = \omega_2]$
- $P[\omega_1]$ is not related to area of right circle



A Posteriori Probability

- *a posteriori* = "after the observation"
- *a posteriori* probabilities
  - $P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}]$ = chance $\mathbf{x}$ in right circle after observing $\mathbf{x}$
  - $P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]$ = chance $\mathbf{x}$ in left circle after observing $\mathbf{x}$
- $P[\omega_2] > P[\omega_1]$ *(a priori)*
- $P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}$ *in green*$] \; ? \; P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}$ *in green*$]$ *(a posteriori)*
- $P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}$ *in red*$] \; ? \; P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}$ *in red*$]$ *(a posteriori)*
- $P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}$ *in blue*$] \; ? \; P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}$ *in blue*$]$ *(a posteriori)*



Likelihood

- $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]$ is called the *likelihood of* ω_1 *with respect to* $\mathbf{x}$
- for a continuous random vector $\mathbf{x}$, $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]$ is the feature vector probability density function if $\omega = \omega_1$
  - $\int_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{X}} p\left[\mathbf{x}|\omega_j\right] d\mathbf{x} = 1$
- for a discrete random vector $\mathbf{x}$, $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_j]$ is the feature vector probability mass function if $\omega = \omega_j$
  - $\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{X}} p\left[\mathbf{x}|\omega_j\right] = 1$



Likelihood (2)

- $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] = 0$ if $\mathbf{x}$ outside of the right circle
- special case: uniform distributions
  - $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] = 1/$ area of right circle
  - $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2] = 1/$ area of left circle
- for $\mathbf{x}$ in the green area, $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] > p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]$ (we say that ω_1 is more likely there)
- so, if $\mathbf{x}$ falls into the green area, which ω_j would you choose?



Bayes Formula

- $p[\mathbf{x}]$ is the *evidence* of $\mathbf{x}$
- $$p[\mathbf{x}] = \sum_j P[\omega_j] p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_j]$$
- $p[\mathbf{x}]$ is the pdf (or pmf) of $\mathbf{x}$
- Bayes Formula: *posterior* = *likelihood* · *prior* / *evidence*
- $$P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}] = p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_j] \cdot P[\omega_j] / p[\mathbf{x}]$$



Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

Bayes Decision Rule

- so, if $\mathbf{x}$ falls into the green area, which ω_j would you choose?
- Bayes' Decision Rule for Uniform Costs: choose j to maximize $P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}]$

special case: uniform distribution, uniform costs

- choose ω_1 if $P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}] = p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] \cdot P[\omega_1]/p[\mathbf{x}] > p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2] \cdot P[\omega_1]/p[\mathbf{x}] = P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]$
- $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] \cdot P[\omega_1] > p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2] \cdot P[\omega_1]$
- three cases:
- area of left circle / area of right circle $> P[\omega_1]/P[\omega_2]$



Bayes Decision Rule (2)

- Bayes' Decision Rule for Uniform Costs: choose j to maximize $P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}]$

special case: uniform distribution, uniform costs

- choose ω_1 if $P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}] = p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] \cdot P[\omega_1]/p[\mathbf{x}] > p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2] \cdot P[\omega_2]/p[\mathbf{x}] = P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]$
- if $p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1] \cdot P[\omega_1] > p[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2] \cdot P[\omega_2]$, decide $\omega = \omega_1$
- what to do with "=" ? (later)
  - (think of each side as functions of $\mathbf{x}$, which is observed)



Bayes Decision Rule (3)

- Bayes' Decision Rule says choose j to maximize $P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}]$
- 4 cases:

1. $\mathbf{x}$ falls in red area
   - 1.1 $0 \cdot P[\omega_1] >$? $P[\omega_2]/area\ of\ left\ circle \longleftarrow never!$
   - 1.2 decide $\omega = \omega_2$
2. $\mathbf{x}$ falls in blue area
   - 2.1 $P[\omega_1]/area\ of\ right\ circle >$?$0 \cdot P[\omega_2] \leftarrow$always!
   - 2.2 decide $\omega = \omega_1$



Bayes Decision Rule (4)

- Bayes' Decision Rule : choose j to maximize $P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}]$
- 2 more cases:
    3. $\mathbf{x}$ falls in white area
        1. $0 \cdot P[\omega_1] = P[\omega_1] \cdot 0$ *tie*!
        2. decide either $\omega = \omega_1$ *or* ω_2
    4. $\mathbf{x}$ falls in green area
        1. $P[\omega_1]/area\ of\ left\ circle >$? $P[\omega_2]/area\ of\ right$ ←depends on priors!
        2. decide $\omega = \omega_1$ if above inequality is satisfied

right!

left!



Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

Action Function, Conditional Loss, Conditional Risk, Average Risk

- we design *action function*
- say $\omega_1 \iff$ action function $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_1$
- $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ depends only on $\mathbf{x}$

$\lambda(\alpha_i, |\omega_j)$ is the *conditional loss* of action $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_i$ when ω_j occurs

$\lambda(\alpha_i \vert \omega_j)$	ω_1	ω_2
α_1	0USD	43USD
α_2	9700USD	0USD

- some mistakes are more expensive

- Conditional Risk is $R(\alpha(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x})$
- depends on observation and action rule
- $R(\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_i|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_j)P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}]$
- average risk $R = \int R(\alpha(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = E[R(\alpha(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x})]$
- Bayes Decision Rule $\alpha_{Bayes}(\mathbf{x})$ minimizes *average risk*

Minimum Risk Solutions

- special case: two classes ω_1 and ω_2
- two actions: α_1 and α_2
- Bayes classifiers minimize conditional risk
- $R(\alpha_i|\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_1)P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}] + \lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_2)P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]$
- *for each* $\mathbf{x}$, $\alpha_{Bayes}(\mathbf{x}) = argmin R(\alpha_i|\mathbf{x})$
- say ω_1 if: $R(\alpha_1|\mathbf{x}) < R(\alpha_2|\mathbf{x})$, or
- $\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}] + \lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2)P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}] <$
  $\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1)P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}] + \lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]$
- $P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}](\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1) - \lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)) > P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}](\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2) - \lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2))$
- $\frac{P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}]}{P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]} > \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2) - \lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1) - \lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)}$
- $\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]} > \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2) - \lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1) - \lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)} \Longrightarrow$ say ω_1
- $\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]} = \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2) - \lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1) - \lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)} \Longrightarrow$ say ω_1 with prob. p

Randomization

- randomization:
- $\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]} = \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2)-\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1)-\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)} \Longrightarrow$ say ω_1 with prob. p
- all values of p produce the same average risk, so $p = 0, 1$ is fine (no randomization)
- p is sometime used to ease calculations (later)

Interpretation of Bayes Decision Rule

- $\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]} > \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2)-\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1)-\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)}$
- $\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]}$ is the likelihood ratio
- $\frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]}$ is the ratio of a priori probabilities
- $\frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2)-\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1)-\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)}$ is the ratio of relative costs
- if the likelihood ratio is sufficiently large, accept ω_1
- if the ratio of prior probabilities is sufficiently large, reject ω_1
- if the relative cost of accepting ω_1 is sufficiently large, reject ω_1

Example of Bayes Decision Rule

- right circle (ω_1) has area = 25
- left circle (ω_2) has area = 45
- overlap has area=18
- uniform distribution on circle for ω_j

$\lambda(\alpha_i \mid \omega_j)$	ω_1	ω_2
α_1	0	1
α_2	1	0

uniform costs



- ratio of relative costs = 1
- likelihood ratio($\mathbf{x} \in$ red) = 0
- likelihood ratio($\mathbf{x} \in$ blue) = ∞
- likelihood ratio($\mathbf{x} \in$ white) undefined (why?)

Example of Bayes Decision Rule (cont'd)

- $\frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]}$ is variable
- Bayes Decision Rule:
- $\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]} > \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_2)-\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_2)}{\lambda(\alpha_2|\omega_1)-\lambda(\alpha_1|\omega_1)} \Longrightarrow$ say ω_1
- $$\frac{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_1]}{P[\mathbf{x}|\omega_2]} > \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} \cdot 1 \Longrightarrow \text{say } \omega_1$$
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ red, accept ω_2
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ blue, accept ω_1
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ white, undefined
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ green, $45/25 \overset{?}{>} \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]}$. If so, accept ω_1.
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ green, $45/25 \overset{?}{=} \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]}$. If so, accept ω_1 with probability p



- let $P[\omega_1] = P[\omega_2] = 0.5$, so $\frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]} = 1$.
- randomization not needed
- if ω_2 is sufficiently rare, accept ω_1 here

Conditional Risk For Uniform Costs

conditional risk:

$$R_i = R(\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_i|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_j) P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}]$$

uniform costs: $\lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_j) = 1 - \delta_{ij}$
conditional risk for uniform costs:

$$R_i = \sum_{j \neq i} P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}] = P[error|\mathbf{x}]$$

For uniform costs, Bayes Decision Rule minimizes the error probability for each $\mathbf{x}$, $P[error|\mathbf{x}]$, and the average error probability $R = E[P[error|\mathbf{x}]] = P[error]$.

Computing Average Risk

average risk:

$$R = \int R(\alpha(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = E\left[R(\alpha(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x})\right]$$

$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j P(\omega_j)p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j)$, so one way to compute average risk is by conditioning on ω_i first, then average over a priori probabilities: First find

$$R_j = \int R(\alpha(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j)d\mathbf{x}$$

$$then\ R = \sum_j P(\omega_j)R_j$$

where R_j is the conditional risk if ω_j occurs
There are other ways! (homework)

Example: Computing Average Risk



- in summary, our Bayes Decision Rule is:
- α_1 if $\mathbf{x}$ in blue or green
- α_2 if $\mathbf{x}$ in red
- $R = P[error]$ for uniform costs
- First find risk under under each class, R_i
- $R_1 = P[error|\omega_1] = 0$
- $R_2 = P[error|\omega_2] = P[\omega_2]P[\mathbf{x} \in green|\omega_2]$
- average over a priori probabilities
- $P[error] = 0.5 \cdot 0 + 0.5\frac{18}{45} = 0.20$

Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

If the *a priori* probabilities are not known?

- Consider two-class case, and suppose $P[\omega_1]$ is unknown
- Let $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_j)$
- Let $\mathscr{R}_i$ be the decision region for class ω_i
- Rewrite R_i

$$R_2 = \lambda_{22} + (\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22}) \int_{\mathscr{R}_1} p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_2) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$R_1 = \lambda_{11} + (\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11}) \int_{\mathscr{R}_2} p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$R = P[\omega_1]R_1 + P[\omega_2]R_2 = R_2 + P[\omega_1](R_1 - R_2)$$

- want risk to be independent of unknown $P[\omega_1] \Longrightarrow$ find $\mathscr{R}_i$ so that $R_1 = R_2$
- then $R_{minmax} = R_1 = R_2$

Finding the Minimax Bayes Decision Rule



FIGURE 2.4. The curve at the bottom shows the minimum (Bayes) error as a function of prior probability $P(\omega_1)$ in a two-category classification problem of fixed distributions. For each value of the priors (e.g., $P(\omega_1) = 0.25$) there is a corresponding optimal decision boundary and associated Bayes error rate. For any (fixed) such boundary, if the ... is shown by the dashed line). The maximum such error will occur at an extreme value of the prior, here at $P(\omega_1) = 1$. To minimize the maximum of such error, we should design

- Note that when $R_1 = R_2$, $\frac{\delta R}{\delta P[\omega_1]} = 0$
- R_{Bayes} is maximized at the minmax Bayes Decision Rule
- 2 ways to find the minimax decision rule:
  - fix $P[\omega_1]$, and find R_i for the Bayes Decision Rule ($\mathscr{R}_i$).Then either:

1. choose the decision rule $(P[\omega_1], \mathscr{R}_1)$ so that $R_1 = R_2$, or
2. choose the decision rule so that $R = P[\omega_1](R_1 - R_2) + R_2$ is maximum

Example: Minimax Bayes Decision Rule



- $R = P[\omega_1]R_1 + P[\omega_2]R_2 = P[error]$
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ green, accept ω_1 if $\frac{45}{25} > \frac{P[\omega_2]}{P[\omega_1]}$. (if equality, flip biased coin to accept!)
- $R_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{18}{25}, & P[\omega_1] < \frac{5}{14} \\ 0, & P[\omega_1] > \frac{5}{14}. \end{cases}$
- $R_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & P[\omega_1] < \frac{5}{14} \\ \frac{18}{45}, & P[\omega_1] > \frac{5}{14}. \end{cases}$
- $R = \begin{cases} P[\omega_1]\frac{18}{25} + 0, & P[\omega_1] < \frac{5}{14} \\ 0 + \frac{18}{45}(1 - P[\omega_1]), & P[\omega_1] > \frac{5}{14} \end{cases}$

Example: Minmax Bayes Decision Rule (2)



- worst-case risk R= 9/35 at $P[\omega_1] = 5/14$
- minmax Bayes detector is Bayes Decision Rule at $P[\omega_1] = 5/14$.
- $\mathbf{x} \in$ green, accept ω_1 with probability p (flip coin)
- find p: $R_1 = (1-p)\frac{18}{25}$; $R_2 = p\frac{18}{45}$
- $R_1 = R_2$ or $R = R_2$ implies $p = \frac{9}{14}$

Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

What to do if costs and priors are unknown?

- consider the J-class case
- let ω_1 correspond to the *null* class
- consider all decision rules satisfying a (false-alarm, level) constraint: $R_1 \leq constant$
- create a detector which minimizes the residual risk: $min \sum_{j \neq 1} R_j$
- for J=2, the NP-optimal detector is a likelihood-ratio test

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_2)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1)} > t, \ accept \ \omega_2$$

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_2)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1)} = t, \ accept \ \omega_2 \ with \ prob \ p$$

- threshold t and probability p are set by the above (false-alarm, level) constraint
- same structure as Bayes Decision Rule, different threshold

Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

Discriminant Functions and Classifiers



- a *discriminant function* $g_i(\cdot)$ is a functional mapping a feature $\mathbf{x}$ to a measure of fit for class ω_i
- a *classifier* assigns each feature $\mathbf{x}$ to one of c classes using c discriminator function comparisons
- $\mathbf{x}$ is assigned to class i if $i = argmax_j g_j(\mathbf{x})$
- Bayes classifier uses $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = -R(\alpha_i|\mathbf{x})$

Faster Code $\Leftrightarrow$ Simplify Discriminant Functions!

$$\begin{aligned} argmax_j g_j(\mathbf{x}) &= argmax_j \ log\left(g_j(\mathbf{x})\right) \\ &= argmax_j \ exp\left(g_j(\mathbf{x})\right) \\ &= argmax_j \ 43 \cdot \left(g_j(\mathbf{x})\right) \end{aligned}$$

- coding and analysis are eased by simplifying comparisons
- the same Bayes classifier can use any of the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_i)P(\omega_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{c} p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j)P(\omega_j)} \\ &= p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_i)P(\omega_i) \\ &= ln\left(p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_i)\right) + ln\left(P(\omega_i)\right) \\ goal... &\stackrel{?}{=} simple\ function\ of\ \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Bayesian Gaussian Classifier for Equal Prior Probabilities



- $g_i(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_i)^2}$, $\mu_1 = 0$, $\mu_2 = 1$
- $g_1(x) \overset{?}{>} g_2(x)$
- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_1)^2} \overset{?}{>} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_2)^2}$
- $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_1)^2} \overset{?}{>} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_2)^2}$
- $-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_1)^2 \overset{?}{>} -\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_2)^2$
- $(x-\mu_1)^2 \overset{?}{<} (x-\mu_2)^2$
- $x \overset{?}{<} \frac{1}{2}$, much faster to execute!

Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

Normal Probability Density Function



FIGURE 2.9. Samples drawn from a two-dimensional Gaussian lie in a cloud cen on the mean μ. The ellipses show lines of equal probability density of the Gaussia

scalar Gaussian random variable is characterized by
mean: μ, variance: σ^2

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

d-dimensional Gaussian random vector is characterized by its mean vector μ and covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)^t \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)\right]$$

Gaussian Moments



FIGURE 2.7. A univariate normal distribution has roughly 95% of its area in the $|x-\mu| \le 2\sigma$, as shown. The peak of the distribution has value $p(\mu) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma$.

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

distribution. It can be shown that the normal distribution has the maximum en of all distributions having a given mean and variance (Problem 20). Moreove stated by the *Central Limit Theorem*, the aggregate effect of the sum of a large n ber of small, independent random disturbances will lead to a Gaussian distribu (Computer exercise 5). Because many patterns—from fish to handwritten charac to some speech sounds—can be viewed as some ideal or prototype pattern by a large number of random processes, the

- mean:
  $\mu = E[\mathbf{x}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{x} = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots \mu_d]^t$
- covariance matrix:
  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{E}\left[(\mathbf{x}-\mu)(\mathbf{x}-\mu)^{\boldsymbol{t}}\right]$
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^t$ (symmetric,positive semi-definite)
- Average Value of
  $f(\mathbf{x})$: $E[f(\mathbf{x})] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$
- Example: $f(\mathbf{x}) = -ln(p(\mathbf{x})) \Longrightarrow$ entropy, $H(\mathbf{x})$
- $H(x) = -E[ln(p(x))] = \frac{1}{2} + \log_2\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}$
- Gaussian has largest entropy of any continuous r.v. having same mean μ and variance σ^2
- independence $\Leftrightarrow$ uncorrelatedness

Linear Transformations of Gaussian Vectors

- $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma})$
- let $\mathbf{A}$ be a deterministic matrix, and let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^t\mathbf{x}$
- $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{A^t}\mu,\ \boldsymbol{A^t\Sigma A})$
- special case: $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{\Phi\Lambda\Phi}^t$, where
  - columns of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ are eigenvectors of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ (orthonormal set)
  - diagonal of $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ contain the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$
  - if $\mathbf{A}_w = \mathbf{\Phi\Lambda}^{-1/2}$, then $\boldsymbol{A_w^t\Sigma A_w = I}$ (whitening transformation)



FIGURE 2.8. The action of a linear transformation on the feature space will convert an arbitrary normal distribution into another normal distribution. One transformation, A, takes the source distribution into distribution

Next:

Intuition

Bayes Decision Rule Intuition

Minimum Risk Decisions

Minimax Bayes Decision Rule

Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule

Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces

The Normal Distribution

Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density

Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

Minimum Error Probability Discriminant Functions



- Bayes Gaussian Discriminant Function:

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \ln\left(p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_i)\right) + \ln P(\omega_i)$$

- for each $\mathbf{x}$, choose class ω_k if
- $k = \arg\max_i g_i(\mathbf{x})$

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu_i)^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu_i) - \frac{d}{2}\ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i| + \ln P(\omega_i)$$

- $(\mathbf{x}-\mu_i)^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu_i)$ is the *Mahalanobis* squared *distance* from $\mathbf{x}$ to μ_i
- what are the shape of the decision boundaries in feature space?

White Gaussian Vectors

- spherical Gaussian vectors: $\mathbf{\Sigma}_i = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$
- $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left[\mathbf{x^t x} - 2\mu_i^t \mathbf{x} + \mu_i^t \mu_i\right] + \ln P[\omega_i]$
- remove terms & factors common to all i
- $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_i^t \mathbf{x} + w_{i0}$,
- $\mathbf{w}_i = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\mu_i$, $w_{i0} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\mu_i^t \mu_i + \ln P[\omega_i]$



- w_{i0} is the ith *bias* or *threshold*
- $\mathbf{w}_i^t \mathbf{x}$ is a linear operator on the feature vector
- a *linear machine* is a classifier which uses such $g_i(\mathbf{x})$

Decision Boundaries for White Gaussian Vectors



- boundary is a hyperplane
  - orthogonal to $\mathbf{w}_{12}$,
  - passes through $\mathbf{x}_0$
- decision regions are contiguous

- $g_1(\mathbf{x}) = g_2(\mathbf{x})$ specifies $\mathbf{x}$ on a decision boundary, or
- $\mathbf{w}_{12}^t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) = 0$, with
- $\mathbf{w}_{12} = \boldsymbol{\mu_1} - \boldsymbol{\mu_2}$, and
- $\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu_1} + \boldsymbol{\mu_2}) - \frac{\sigma^2}{\|\boldsymbol{\mu_1} - \boldsymbol{\mu_2}\|^2} \ln \frac{P[\omega_1]}{P[\omega_2]}(\boldsymbol{\mu_1} - \boldsymbol{\mu_2})$

Commonly-colored Gaussian Vectors

$$\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

- $\mathbf{\Sigma_i} = \mathbf{\Sigma}$
- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A_w x}$, then colored Gaussian becomes white in y-feature space
- in x-feature space, the discriminant functions are:
- $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \mu_i)^t \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \mu_i) + \ln P[\omega_i]$ is equivalent to:
- $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{q_i^t x} + c_{i0}$, where
  - $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mu_i$
  - $c_{i0} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_i^t \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mu_i + \ln P[\omega_i]$



- boundary is a hyperplane
- not orthogonal to mean difference

Decision Boundaries - Arbitrary Gaussian Vectors



$$w_{i0} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_i^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1}\mu_i - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i| + \ln P[\omega_i]$$

- $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^t\mathbf{W}_i\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_i^t\mathbf{x} + w_{i0}$
- $\mathbf{W}_i = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1}$
- $\mathbf{w}_i = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i^{-1}\mu_i$

Binary Error Probability Calculation

- Bayes Formula:
- $P[\omega_j|\mathbf{x}] = p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j)P[\omega_j]/p(\mathbf{x})$
- $p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} p(\mathbf{x}|\omega_j)P[\omega_j]$
- Bayes Decision Rule (uniform costs):
- decide ω_1 if $P[\omega_1|\mathbf{x}] > P[\omega_2|\mathbf{x}]$

- $P(error|\mathbf{x}) = min(P(\omega_1|\mathbf{x}), P(\omega_2|\mathbf{x}))$
- $P(error) = \int P(error|\mathbf{x})^{p(x)} d\mathbf{x}$
- $min[a,b] \leq a^{\beta} b^{1-\beta}$, $for\ a,b \geq 0,\ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$
- Chernoff Bound
- $P(error) \leq P^{\beta}(\omega_1)P^{1-\beta}(\omega_2) \int p^{\beta}(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1)p^{1-\beta}(\mathbf{x}|\omega_2)$
- Bhattacharyya Bound: set $\beta = 1/2$
- No integration over decision regions!

Gaussian Chernoff Bounds

- $P(error) \leq P^{\beta}(\omega_1)P^{1-\beta}(\omega_2)e^{-k(\beta)}$,

$$k(\beta) = \frac{\beta(1-\beta)}{2}(\mu_1-\mu_2)^t[(1-\beta)\mathbf{\Sigma_1}+\beta\mathbf{\Sigma_2}]^{-1}(\mu_1-\mu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{(1-\beta)\mathbf{\Sigma_1}+\beta\mathbf{\Sigma_2}}{|\mathbf{\Sigma_1}|^{1-\beta}|\mathbf{\Sigma_2}|^{\beta}}$$



FIGURE 2.18. The Chernoff error bound is never looser than the Bhattacharyya bound. For this example, the Chernoff bound happens to be at $\beta^* = 0.66$, and is slightly tighter than the Bhattacharyya bound ($\beta = 0.5$).

- 1 parameter to optimize!

Next:

- Intuition
- Bayes Decision Rule Intuition
- Minimum Risk Decisions
- Minimax Bayes Decision Rule
- Neyman-Pearson Detection Rule
- Classifiers, Discriminant Functions, Decision Surfaces
- The Normal Distribution
- Discriminant Functions for the Normal Density
- Signal Detection Theory and Operating Characteristics

Signal Detection Theory

- two classes: ω_1 =null class, ω_2 = alternative class
- observe $\mathbf{x}$, decide for ω_1 (negative)
  - or decide for ω_2 (positive)

Four possible events:

- *Hit:* true positive
- *False alarm:* false positive
- *Miss:* false negative
- *Correct Rejection:* true negative

Decision Events

		Condition (as determined by "Gold Standard" or "Ground Truth")		
		Positive	***Negative***	
Test outcome	***Positive***	**True Positive** T_p	**False Positive** (Type I Error) F_p	→Positive Predicted Value
	Negative	**False Negative** (Type II Error) F_n	**True Negative** T_n	→Negative Predicted Value
		↓ **Sensitivity**	↓ **Specificity**	

$= \frac{T_p}{T_p + F_n}$ $= \frac{T_n}{F_p + T_n}$

Alternative Event Labels

Medical Diagnoses:

- *Sensitivity:* $1 - P[error|\omega_2] = T_p/(T_p + F_n)$
- *Specificity:* $1 - P[error|\omega_1] = T_n/(T_n + F_p)$

Information Retrieval:

- *Precision:* $T_p/(T_p + F_p)$
- *Recall:* $T_p/(T_p + F_n) = ?$

		correct result /	classification
		C1	C2
obtained result / classification	C1	**tp** (true positive)	**fp** (false positive)
	C2	**fn** (false negative)	**tn** (true negative)

Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves



FIGURE 2.20. In a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the abscissa is the probability of false alarm, $P(x > x^*|x \in \omega_1)$, and the ordinate is the probability of hit, $P(x > x^*|x \in \omega_2)$. From the measured hit and false alarm rates (here corresponding to x^* in Fig. 2.19 and shown as the red dot), we can deduce that $d' = 3$.

- ROCs display Type I error rate (false alarm prob) vs...
- 1-Type II error rate (hit prob)
- shown for scalar Gaussians, common variance
- discriminability $d' = |\mu_1 - \mu_2| / \sigma$

2-Column Template