

Numerical Solⁿ of Ordinary Differential Equations

Differential Equation: A physical situation that concerns with the rate of change of one quantity with respect to another gives rise to a differential equation.

Consider the first order ordinary differential eqn

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

with the initial condition

$$y(x_0) = y_0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

[Scan or click here for more resources](#)



Picard's Method :-

Consider the first order differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

with initial condition

$$y = y_0 \text{ at } x = x_0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

The eqn (1) can be written as

$$dy = f(x, y) dx$$

Integrating between the limits, we get

$$\int_{y_0}^y dy = \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y) dx$$

$$y - y_0 = \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y) dx$$

$$y = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y) dx \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

which is an integral eqn and can be solved by

iteration. Now by Picard's method, for first approximation y_1 , we replace y by y_0 in $f(x, y)$ in the R.H.S of eqn (3)

$$\text{i.e. } y_1 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y_0) dx \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

For second approximation y_2 , we replace y by y_1 in $f(x, y)$ on the RHS of eqn (3)

Continue this process, we obtain

$$y_2 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y_1) dx \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Continue this process, we obtain $y_3, y_4, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n$

$$\text{i.e. } \boxed{y_n = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y_{n-1}) dx} \quad \text{with } y(x_0) = y_0$$

This process is to be stopped when the two values of y i.e. y_n and y_{n-1} are same to the desired degree of accuracy.

EX1:- Using the Picard's method to obtain y for $x = 0.1$
to 0.3 . Given the differential eqn
(3 decimal) $\frac{dy}{dx} = 1 + xy$ with $y(0) = 1$

Solⁿ:- Here, $f(x, y) = 1 + xy$
and $x_0 = 0$ and $y_0 = 1$

First Approximation:-

$$y_1 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y_0) dx$$

$$y_1 = 1 + \int_0^x (1 + xy_0) dx = 1 + \int_0^x (1 + x \cdot 1) dx$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x (1 + x) dx$$

$$= 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2}$$

①

Second Approximation:-

$$y_2 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y_1) dx$$

$$y_2 = 1 + \int_0^x \left(1 + x \left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \right) dx$$

$$y_2 = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^4}{8} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Third Approximation:-

$$y_3 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(x, y_2) dx$$

$$= y_0 + \int_0^x (1 + x y_2) dx$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x \left(1 + x \left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^4}{8} \right) \right) dx$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x \left(1 + x + x^2 + \frac{x^3}{2} + \frac{x^4}{3} + \frac{x^5}{8} \right) dx$$

$$= 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^4}{8} + \frac{x^5}{15} + \frac{x^6}{48} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now at $x = 0.1$

$$y_1 = 1.105, y_2 = 1.1053458, y_3 = 1.1053465,$$

$$\therefore y = 1.105$$

Now at $x = 0.2$

$$y_1 = 1.22, y_2 = 1.2228667, y_3 = 1.2228894$$

$$\therefore y = 1.223$$

Now at $x = 0.3$

$$y_1 = 1.345, y_2 = 1.35550125, y_3 = 1.3551897$$

$$\therefore y = 1.355$$

Now at $x = 0.4$

$$y_1 = 1.48, y_2 = 1.5045333, y_3 = 1.5053013, y_4 = 1.5053186$$

$$\therefore y = 1.505$$

Now at $x = 0.5$

$$y_1 = 1.625, y_2 = 1.6744792, y_3 = 1.6768881,$$

$$y = 1.67$$

Example Use Picard's method to obtain y for $x = 0.1$. Given that:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = 3x + y^2; y = 1 \text{ at } x = 0.$$

Sol. Here $f(x, y) = 3x + y^2, x_0 = 0, y_0 = 1$

$$\text{First approximation, } y^{(1)} = y_0 + \int_0^x f(x, y_0) dx$$

$$= 1 + \int_0^x (3x + 1) dx$$

$$= 1 + x + \frac{3}{2} x^2$$

$$\text{Second approximation, } y^{(2)} = 1 + x + \frac{5}{2} x^2 + \frac{4}{3} x^3 + \frac{3}{4} x^4 + \frac{9}{20} x^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Third approximation, } y^{(3)} = 1 + x + \frac{5}{2} x^2 + 2x^3 + \frac{23}{12} x^4 + \frac{25}{12} x^5 \\ + \frac{68}{45} x^6 + \frac{1157}{1260} x^7 + \frac{17}{32} x^8 + \frac{47}{240} x^9 \\ + \frac{27}{400} x^{10} + \frac{81}{4400} x^{11} \end{aligned}$$

when $x = 0.1$, we have

$$y^{(1)} = 1.115, \quad y^{(2)} = 1.1264, \quad y^{(3)} = 1.12721$$

Thus, $y = 1.127$ when $x = 0.1$.

Example 4. If $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y-x}{y+x}$, find the value of y at $x = 0.1$ using Picard's method.

Given that $y(0) = 1$.

Sol. First approximation,

$$\begin{aligned}y^{(1)} &= y_0 + \int_0^x \frac{y_0 - x}{y_0 + x} dx = 1 + \int_0^x \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x} \right) dx \\&= 1 + \int_0^x \left(\frac{2}{1+x} - 1 \right) dx \\&= 1 - x + 2 \log (1+x)\end{aligned}$$

Second approximation,

$$y^{(2)} = 1 + x - 2 \int_0^x \frac{x dx}{1 + 2 \log (1+x)}$$

which is difficult to integrate.

Thus, when, $x = 0.1$, $y^{(1)} = 1 - 0.1 + 2 \log (1.1) = 0.9828$

Here in this example, only I approximation can be obtained and so it gives the approximate value of y for $x = 0.1$.

Example Solve $\frac{dy}{dx} = 1 + xy$ with $x_0 = 2, y_0 = 0$ using Picard's method of successive approximations.

Sol. Here,
$$y^{(1)} = y_0 + \int_2^x f(x, y_0) dx = 0 + \int_2^x [1 + x(0)] dx = x - 2$$

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(2)} &= 0 + \int_2^x \{1 + x(x - 2)\} dx \\ &= \left(x - x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} \right)_2^x = -\frac{2}{3} + x - x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} \end{aligned}$$

And third approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(3)} &= 0 + \int_2^x \{1 + x y^{(2)}\} dx \\ &= -\frac{22}{15} + x - \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^5}{15} \end{aligned}$$

which is the required solution.

EULER'S METHOD:-

Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

with the initial condition $y(x_0) = y_0$

Integrating (1) w.r.t x between x_0 and x_1 , we get

$$\int_{y_0}^{y_1} dy = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y) dx$$

$$\therefore y_1 - y_0 = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow y_1 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y) dx \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Now, replacing $f(x, y)$ by the approximation $f(x_0, y_0)$ we get

$$y_1 = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x_0, y_0) dx$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + f(x_0, y_0) (x_1 - x_0)$$

$$y_1 = y_0 + h f(x_0, y_0) \quad (\because x_1 - x_0 = \Delta x = h)$$

This is the formula for first approximation y_1 of y .

Similarly, second approximation y_2 is given by

$$y_2 = y_1 + h f(x_1, y_1)$$

In general

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h f(x_n, y_n)$$

To get the better accuracy by this method take the step length h (very small)

Euler's Modified Method

The modified Euler's method gives greater improvement in accuracy over the original Euler's method. To get the better accuracy by Euler's method, let the integral is approximated by the mean value of $f(x_0, y_0)$ and $f(x_1, y_1)$

Hence

$$y_1^{(1)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(0)})] \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where $y_1^{(0)}$ is the value of y_1 obtained by Euler's method, i.e.

$$y_1^{(0)} = y_1 = y_0 + h f(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{--- ②}$$

hence

$$y_1^{(1)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_0+h, y_1^{(0)})]$$

Proceeding similarly, we can obtain the formula

$$y_{n+1}^{(k)} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_n, y_n) + f(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{(k+1)})] \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$y_{n+1}^{(k)}$ is the k^{th} approximation to y_{n+1} obtained by Euler's method, i.e.

$$y_{n+1}^{(k)} = y_n + h f(x_n, y_n)$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Eqn (3) is called the modified Euler's method.

The process of iteration is continued until two successive approximations, $y_{n+1}^{(k)}$ and $y_{n+1}^{(k+1)}$ coincide up to the desired accuracy.

Ex 1 - Solve the eqⁿ $\frac{dy}{dx} = x + |\sqrt{y}|$ with $y(0) = 1$
for $0 \leq x \leq 0.6$ in steps of 0.2 using
Euler's modified method.

Solⁿ -

here $h = 0.2$, $x_0 = 0$, $y_0 = 1$

$$f(x, y) = x + |\sqrt{y}|$$

By Euler's method

$$y_1^{(0)} = y_1 = y_0 + h f(x_0, y_0)$$

$$= y_0 + h [x_0 + |\sqrt{y_0}|]$$

$$= 1 + 0.2 [0 + |\sqrt{1}|]$$

$$= 1 + 0.2$$

$$y_1^{(0)} = y_1 = 1.2$$

Let us apply Euler's ~~method~~ modified method to
improve the value obtained by Euler's method

$$y_1^{(1)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(0)})]$$

$$y_1^{(1)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(0)})]$$

$$y_1^{(1)} = 1 + \frac{0.2}{2} [(0 + |\sqrt{1}|) + (0.2 + |\sqrt{1.2}|)]$$

$$y_1^{(1)} = 1.2295$$

Let us apply Euler's ~~method~~ modified method to improve the value obtained by Euler's method

$$y_1^{(1)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(0)})]$$

$$y_1^{(1)} = 1 + \frac{0.2}{2} [(0 + |\sqrt{1}|) + (0.2 + |\sqrt{1.2}|)]$$

$$y_1^{(1)} = 1.2295$$

and

$$y_1^{(2)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(1)})]$$

and

$$y_1^{(2)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(1)})]$$

$$y_1^{(2)} = 1 + \frac{0.2}{2} [(0 + \sqrt{1}) + (0.2 + \sqrt{1.2295})]$$

$$y_1^{(2)} = 1.2309$$

and

$$y_1^{(3)} = y_0 + \frac{h}{2} [f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1^{(2)})]$$

$$= 1 + \frac{0.2}{2} [(0 + \sqrt{1}) + (0.2 + \sqrt{1.23091})]$$

$$y_1^{(3)} = 1.2309$$

Example 1. Given $\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y-x}{y+x}$ with $y = 1$ for $x = 0$. Find y approximately for $x = 0.1$ by Euler's method.

Sol. We have

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y) = \frac{y-x}{y+x} ; x_0 = 0, y_0 = 1, h = 0.1$$

Hence the approximate value of y at $x = 0.1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0) & | \text{ using } y_{n+1} &= y_n + hf(x_n, y_n) \\ &= 1 + (.1) + \left(\frac{1-0}{1+0} \right) = 1.1 \end{aligned}$$

Much better accuracy is obtained by breaking up the interval 0 to 0.1 into five steps. The approximate value of y at $x_A = .02$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1 &= y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0) \\
 &= 1 + (.02) \left(\frac{1-0}{1+0} \right) = 1.02
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_2 &= y_1 + hf(x_1, y_1) \\
 &= 1.02 + (.02) \left(\frac{1.02-0.02}{1.02+0.02} \right) = 1.0392
 \end{aligned}$$

$$y_3 = 1.0392 + (.02) \left(\frac{1.0392-0.04}{1.0392+0.04} \right) = 1.0577$$

$$y_4 = 1.0577 + (.02) \left(\frac{1.0577-0.06}{1.0577+0.06} \right) = 1.0756$$

$$y_5 = 1.0756 + (.02) \left(\frac{1.0756-0.08}{1.0756+0.08} \right) = 1.0928$$

Hence $y = 1.0928$ when $x = 0.1$

TAYLOR'S METHOD

Consider the differential equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dx} &= f(x, y) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (9)$$

with the initial condition $y(x_0) = y_0$.

If $y(x)$ is the exact solution of (9) then $y(x)$ can be expanded into a Taylor's series about the point $x = x_0$ as

$$y(x) = y_0 + (x - x_0) y_0' + \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2!} y_0'' + \frac{(x - x_0)^3}{3!} y_0''' + \dots \quad (10)$$

where dashes denote differentiation with respect to x .

Putting $x = x_1 = x_0 + h$ in eqⁿ (10), we get

$$y_1 = y(x_1) = y_0 + \frac{h}{1} y_0' + \frac{h^2}{2} y_0'' + \frac{h^3}{6} y_0''' + \dots$$

Here, y_0' , y_0'' , y_0''' , ... can be found by using eqⁿ (1) from it.

Successive differentiations at $x = x_0$. The series in eqn (4) can be truncated at any stage if h is small.

After obtaining y_1 , we can calculate y_1' , y_1'' , $y_1''' \dots$ from eqn (1) at $x_1 = x_0 + h$

Now expanding $y(x)$ by Taylor's series about $x = x_1$, we get

$$y_2 = y_1 + \frac{h}{1!} y_1' + \frac{h^2}{2!} y_1'' + \frac{h^3}{3!} y_1''' + \dots$$

Continue, we get

$$y_n = y_{n-1} + \frac{h}{1!} y_{n-1}' + \frac{h^2}{2!} y_{n-1}'' + \frac{h^3}{3!} y_{n-1}''' + \dots$$

Example 2. For the differential eqn., $\frac{dy}{dx} = -xy^2$, $y(0) = 2$. Calculate $y(0.2)$ by Taylor's series method retaining four non-zero terms only.

Sol. Here $x_0 = 0$, $y_0 = 2$ Also $y' = -xy^2$

Taylor's series for $y(x)$ is given by

$$y(x) = y_0 + xy_0' + \frac{x^2}{2} y_0'' + \frac{x^3}{6} y_0''' + \frac{x^4}{24} y_0^{(iv)} + \frac{x^5}{120} y_0^{(v)} + \dots \quad (19)$$

The values of the derivatives y_0' , y_0'' ,, etc. are obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= -xy^2 & y_0' &= -x_0 y_0^2 = 0 \\ y'' &= -y^2 - 2xyy' & y_0'' &= -2^2 - 0 = -4 \\ y''' &= -4yy' - 2xy'^2 - 2xyy'' & y_0''' &= 0 \\ y^{(iv)} &= -6y'^2 - 6y'y'' - 6xy'y'' - 2xyy''' & y_0^{(iv)} &= 48 \\ y^{(v)} &= -24y'y'' - 8yy''' - 6xy''^2 & y_0^{(v)} &= 0 \\ &\quad - 8xy'y''' - 2xyy^{(iv)} \\ y^{(vi)} &= -40y'y''' - 30y''^2 - 10yy^{(iv)} - 20xy''y''' & y_0^{(vi)} &= -1440 \\ &\quad - 10xy'y^{(iv)} - 2xyy^{(v)}. \end{aligned}$$

We stop here as we shall get four non-zero terms in the Taylor's series (19).

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore y(x) &= 2 + \frac{x^2}{2} (-4) + \frac{x^4}{24} (48) + \frac{x^6}{720} (-1440) + \dots \\ &= 2 - 2x^2 + 2x^4 - 2x^6 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore y(0.2) &= 2 - 2(0.2)^2 + 2(0.2)^4 - 2(0.2)^6 + \dots \\ &= 2 - 0.08 + 0.0032 - 0.000128 = 1.923072 \\ &\simeq 1.9231 \quad \text{correct up to four decimal places.}\end{aligned}$$

RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS

More efficient methods in terms of accuracy were developed by two German Mathematicians **Carl Runge** (1856-1927) and **Wilhelm Kutta** (1867-1944). These methods are well-known as Runge-Kutta methods. They are distinguished by their orders in the sense that they agree with Taylor's series solution up to terms of h^r where r is the order of the method.

These methods do not demand prior computation of higher derivatives of $y(x)$ as in Taylor's method. In place of these derivatives, extra values of the given function $f(x, y)$ are used.

The fourth order Runge-Kutta method is used widely for finding the numerical solutions of linear or non-linear ordinary differential equations.

Runge-Kutta methods are referred to as single step methods. The major disadvantage of Runge-Kutta methods is that they use many more evaluations of the derivative $f(x, y)$ to obtain the same accuracy compared with multi-step methods. A class of methods known as Runge-Kutta methods combines the advantage of high order accuracy with the property of being one step.

First Order Runge-Kutta Method

Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y); \quad y(x_0) = y_0 \quad (1)$$

Euler's method gives

$$y_1 = y_0 + hf(x_0, y_0) = y_0 + hy_0' \quad (2)$$

Expanding by Taylor's series, we get

$$y_1 = y(x_0 + h) = y_0 + hy_0' + \frac{h^2}{2!} y_0'' + \dots \quad (3)$$

Comparing (2) and (3), it follows that Euler's method agrees with Taylor's series solution up to the term in h . Hence *Euler's method is the first order Runge-Kutta method.*

Second Order Runge-Kutta Method:-

Runge-Kutta method

of second order is given by

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2) ; x_1 = x_0 + h$$

where $k_1 = hf(x_0, y_0)$

$$k_2 = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + k_1)$$

similarly for other intervals.

Here, we can observe that Runge-Kutta method of second order is nothing but Euler's modified method.

Third Order Runge-Kutta Method:

Runge-Kutta method

of 3rd order is given by

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{6} (K_1 + 4K_2 + K_3); \quad x_1 = x_0 + h$$

where,

$$K_1 = hf(x_0, y_0)$$

$$K_2 = hf\left(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{K_1}{2}\right)$$

and $K_3 = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + K_1)$

where $K' = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + K_1)$

Similarly for other intervals.

Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Method:-

Consider the differential equation $\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$

with the initial condition $y(x_0) = y_0$

Let h be the interval between equidistant values of x . Then the first increment in y is computed

from the formulae

$$y_1 = y_0 + \frac{1}{6} (K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4)$$

where,

$$K_1 = hf(x_0, y_0)$$

and $x_1 = x_0 + h$

$$K_2 = hf\left(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{K_1}{2}\right)$$

$$K_3 = hf\left(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{K_2}{2}\right)$$

$$K_4 = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + K_3)$$

Similarly, the increment in y for the second interval is computed by

$$y_2 = y_1 + \frac{1}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

and $x_2 = x_1 + h$

where,

$$k_1 = hf(x_1, y_1)$$

$$k_2 = hf\left(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{k_1}{2}\right)$$

$$k_3 = hf\left(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{k_2}{2}\right)$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_1 + h, y_1 + k_3)$$

and similarly for the next intervals.

Example 1. Solve the equation $\frac{dy}{dx} = x + y$ with initial condition $y(0) = 1$ by Runge-Kutta rule, from $x = 0$ to $x = 0.4$ with $h = 0.1$.

Sol. Here $f(x, y) = x + y$, $h = 0.1$, $x_0 = 0$, $y_0 = 1$

We have,

$$k_1 = hf(x_0, y_0) = 0.1(0 + 1) = 0.1$$

$$k_2 = hf\left(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{k_1}{2}\right) = 0.1(0.05 + 1.05) = 0.11$$

$$k_3 = hf\left(x_0 + \frac{h}{2}, y_0 + \frac{k_2}{2}\right) = 0.1105$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_0 + h, y_0 + k_3) = 0.12105$$

$$\therefore \Delta y = \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) = 0.11034$$

$$\text{Thus, } x_1 = x_0 + h = 0.1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_1 = y_0 + \Delta y = 1.11034$$

Now for the second interval, we have

$$k_1 = hf(x_1, y_1) = 0.1(0.1 + 1.11034) = 0.121034$$

$$k_2 = hf\left(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{k_1}{2}\right) = 0.13208$$

$$k_3 = hf\left(x_1 + \frac{h}{2}, y_1 + \frac{k_2}{2}\right) = 0.13263$$

$$k_4 = hf(x_1 + h, y_1 + k_3) = 0.14429$$

$$\therefore \Delta y = \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4) = 0.132460$$

Hence $x_2 = 0.2$ and $y_2 = y_1 + \Delta y = 1.11034 + 0.13246 = 1.24280$

Similarly, for finding y_3 , we have

$$k_1 = hf(x_2, y_2) = 0.14428$$

$$k_2 = 0.15649$$

$$k_3 = 0.15710$$

$$k_4 = 0.16999$$

Repeating the above
process

$$\therefore y_3 = 0.13997$$

and for $y_4 = y(0.4)$, we calculate

$$k_1 = 0.16997$$

$$k_2 = 0.18347$$

$$k_3 = 0.18414$$

$$k_4 = 0.19838$$

$$\therefore y_4 = 1.5836$$