Energy Conditions:

On the actual universe the energy momentum tensor well be marter fields. It would therefore be impossibly complichted to describe the exact energy momentums tensor eret if one knew the precise form Woof the contribution of early field and the equations of motion governing it. In fact, one has little idea of the behaviour of matter under extreme rouditions of density and fressure. Thus it might be seem that one has Olithe hope of predicting the occurrence of singularities in the universe from the Finslein equations at as one does not know the right-hand of the equations. However there are certain in Squalities Unhich is klysically reasonable to assume for the energy momentum lenson.

Emergy vonditions:

Strong

Dominant

$$\frac{1}{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

Neak
$$T_{\alpha\beta}$$
 $\lambda^{\alpha} \times \beta \geq 0$

- Tapre & future

 $T^{\alpha\beta} = \rho \, \hat{e}_{0}^{\alpha} \, \hat{e}_{0}^{\beta} + p_{1} \, \hat{e}_{1}^{\alpha} \, \hat{e}_{1}^{\beta} + p_{2} \, \hat{e}_{2}^{\alpha} \, \hat{e}_{2}^{\beta} + p_{3} \, e_{3}^{\alpha} \, \hat{e}_{3}^{\beta}$

The the rectors \hat{e}_{μ} form an orthonormal basis, the relation,—

directed

gapê μê β = ημ» —

$$T_{\alpha\beta} \star^{\alpha} \star^{\beta} \geq 0$$

$$\left(T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}T_{\alpha\beta}\right) v^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \geq 0$$

$$v^{\alpha}v^{\beta} \geq 0$$

$$\rho \geq 0$$
;

$$\rho \geq 0$$
; $\rho + \rho_i$

$$\rho \geq 0$$
; $\rho + \rho_i > 0$

$$\rho \geq 0$$
; $\rho + \rho_i$

$$\rho + \rho_i \geq 0$$

 $p+\sum_{i}p_{i}\geq 0$,

p + pi ≥0

p≥0, p≥|Pi|

$$\rho \geq 0$$
; $\rho + \rho_i > 0$

$$\rho \geq 0$$
; $\rho + \rho_i > 0$

Conditions
$$\rho \ge 0; \rho + \rho_i > 0$$

Conditions
$$\rho \ge 0; \ \rho + \rho_i > 0$$

Conditions
$$\rho \ge 0 ; \rho + \rho_i >$$

Conditions
$$\rho \ge 0; \rho + \rho_i > 0$$

Conditions

$$\rho \geq 0; \rho + \rho_i > 0$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ is the Minkowski metric. Eqn(1) and (2) inskly that the quantities of (energy density) and p_i (principal pressure) are eigenvalues of the stress-energy tensor, and \hat{z}^{α} are the normalized eigenvectors.

The inverse metric can neatly be expressed in terms of the Prasis vectors. It is easy to check that the relation

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \hat{e}^{\alpha} \hat{e}^{\beta} \qquad (3)$$

where $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ is the inverse of $\eta_{\mu\nu}$.

This egn is called completeness relations.

If the stress energy tensor is that of a perfect fluid, then $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p$.

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \rho \hat{e}^{\alpha} \hat{e}^{\beta} + p \left(\hat{e}^{\alpha}_{1} \hat{e}^{\beta}_{1} + \hat{e}^{\alpha}_{2} \hat{e}^{\beta}_{1} + \hat{e}^{\alpha}_{3} \hat{e}^{\beta}_{3} \right)$$

$$= \rho \hat{e}^{\alpha}_{0} \hat{e}^{\beta}_{0} + p \left(g^{\alpha\beta}_{1} + \hat{e}^{\alpha}_{0} \hat{e}^{\beta}_{0} \right)$$

$$= (\rho + p) \hat{e}^{\alpha}_{0} \hat{e}^{\beta}_{0} + p g^{\alpha\beta}$$

Lets assume a lime sike ver

Lets assume a timelike vector such that

$$\gamma = \left(1 - a^2 - b^2 - c^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where a, b, c are arbitrary functions of the coordinates, restricted by $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 < 1$.

Now, lets assume a feture directed null vertor such Kliat

$$k^{\alpha} = \hat{e}_{0}^{\alpha} + \hat{a}\hat{e}_{1}^{\alpha} + b'\hat{e}_{2}^{\alpha} + c'\hat{e}_{3}^{\alpha} \longrightarrow (5)$$

where a', b', c' are a dictary function of coordinates, $a'^2 + b'^2 + c'^2 = 1$.

Weak energy condition: The weak energy condition by states the energy density of any matter distribution by any solveries in spacetime, must be non-negative. I Because an observer with four-valocity re

measures the energy density to be Tap reare \$20 --- > (6) for any future-directed lime like vector voc. To feel this in concrete foron we substitute egn (1) and (4) which gives no p + 2 p + 62 p $p + a^2p_1 + b^2p_2 + c^2p_3 \ge 0$ as a, b, c are aribitrary we can set a = b = c = 0. And this gives us $p \ge 0$. Alternolively nu con choose b = c = 0, then,—

 $\rho + a^2 p_1 \ge 0$

 $Vow \ a^2 < 1$ $0 \le p + a^2 p_1 \le p + p_1$

... $p + p_1 > 0$ Similar expression holds for p_2 and p_3 .

Therefore, weak energy condition implies, $\rho \geq 0$; $\rho + p_i > 0$

Nuce energy condition: The so null energy condition the weak form, except that rea is replaced by an aribitrary, future-directally null vector ka. Thus,

Tap Kakb ≥0

is the statement of the null energy condition. Substituting egn (1) and (5) gives $p + \alpha'^2 p_1 + b'^2 p_2 + c'^2 p_3 \ge 0$ Choosing b'=c'=0 enforces a'=1, and we obtain $p+p_1\geq 0$, with similar expressions holding for p_2 and p_3 . The null energy condition therefore implies

Strong Energy condition: The statement of the strong energy condition is

$$\left(\tau_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\beta\beta} \right) v^{\alpha} v^{\beta} \geq 0 \longrightarrow (10)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha \beta} e^{\alpha \beta} \geq -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} =$$

where when $1e^{\alpha}$ is any future-directed, normalized, limeliker vector. Because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{R_{\alpha\beta}}{8\pi}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $R_{\alpha\beta}g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R_{\beta\alpha\beta}g^{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi T_{\alpha\beta}g^{\alpha\beta}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $R-2R=8\pi T$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $T = -\frac{R}{8\pi}$

$$T_{\alpha p} = \frac{R_{\alpha p}}{8\pi} - \frac{R_{g\alpha p}}{8\pi \times 2}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}T_{\beta\alpha\beta} = \frac{R_{\alpha\beta}}{8\pi}$$

$$-y^{2}(\rho+\alpha^{2}p_{1}+b^{2}p_{2}+c^{2}p_{3}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\rho-P_{1}-P_{2}-P_{3})$$

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} \left(P = \frac{1}{4} \left($$

$$\Rightarrow \tau^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} \left(-P + P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \left(-p + p_1 + p_2 + p_3\right)$$

Choosing
$$a = b = C = 0$$
 enforces $y = 1$ and we get

$$\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 \ge 0 \Rightarrow \sum_i \rho_i \ge 0$$

Atternatively, choosing
$$b = c = 0$$
 implies $y^2 = \frac{1}{(1-a^2)}$

$$\frac{1}{(1-a^2)} \left(\rho + a^2 \rho_1 \right) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho - \rho_1 - \rho_2 - \rho_3 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\rho + 2a^{2}p_{1} \geq \rho - p_{1} - p_{2} - p_{3} - a^{2}\rho + a^{2}p_{1} + a^{2}p_{2} + a^{2}p_{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow p(1+a^2) p + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \ge a^2(p_2 + p_3 - p - p_1)$$

 $0 < a^2 < 1$

.; p+P1 ≥0

Limitar condition apply for p_2 and p_3 . Therefore the strong energy condition implies, —

 $; \rho + P_i \geq 0 \longrightarrow (11)$ $p_1 + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \ge 0$

Dominant Energy condition: The dominant energy condition that embodies the notion that

matter should be flow along limelike or null world lines.

This precise statement is deat if rea is an articleary, future - directed, limelike vector field then

- To pos is a future - directed, Limelike and or

null vector field.

The quantity - To pro is the matter's momentum density as measured by an observer with four relocity roa, and this is required to be timelike or null.

Substituting (1) and (4) and demanding that - Taprot not to be spacelike gives,

 $\rho^2 - a^2 \rho_1^2 - b^2 \rho_2 - e^2 \rho_3 \ge 0$

choosing a = b = c = 0 we get $\rho^2 \ge 0$ as and

Lemanding that
$$- T_{\beta} v^{\beta}$$
 is future directed selects the lositive branch: $\rho \geq 0$

Alternatively, choosing $b = c = 0$ gives $\rho^2 \geq a^2 \rho_i^2$,

Now $a^2 < 1$. So $\rho \geq |\rho_1|$ Similarly $\rho \geq |\rho_1|$