Astro 507; Problem Set 3

Tom Wagg

March 15, 2022

1. *Maximum photon chemical potential*

For this problem I used a couple of simple things. First the blackbody equation with a nonzero chemical potential

$$B_\nu(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{h\nu - \mu}{k_B T}\right) + 1} \tag{1}$$

where we've just inserted the μ term in the exponential. We also need to calculate χ^2 for this and we do this by

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{(\text{measured}_i - \text{model}_i)^2}{\text{uncertainty}_i^2} \tag{2}$$

So then it's just a matter of reading in the FIRAS data file, converting the (honestly baffling) units into more familiar things and calculating the χ^2 over a grid of temperatures and chemical potentials. Then the maximum allowed chemical potential with a 3-σ uncertainty is just the maximum chemical potential within $\Delta\chi^2 \approx 6.63$ of χ^2_{min} (as the reading says).
You can find the code that I used to calculate this in my GitHub repo and I found that

$$\boxed{\mu_{\text{max}} \approx 3.55 \times 10^{-20}\,\text{erg} \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-4}\,k_B T_{\text{CMB}}} \tag{3}$$

I also visualised this on a contour plot which you can see in the plot on the next page.

2a. *Entropy*

Let's derive the entropy for an ideal, non-relativistic Fermi gas (in terms of V, z and T). For this I will follow Lecture 10. The entropy is defined as

$$S = \frac{U + PV - N\mu}{T}, \tag{4}$$

so we just need to use definitions of U, P, N and μ. The definitions of μ is trivial when using the fugacity

$$\mu = k_B T \ln z \tag{5}$$

For the others, I refer to lecture 10 where we can find the definition of n on slide 5

$$N = nV = \frac{2(2s + 1)}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3} V F_{1/2}(z) \tag{6}$$

and the definition of P on slide 7

$$P = \frac{4(2s + 1)}{3\pi^{1/2}} k_B T \lambda^{-3} F_{3/2}(z) \tag{7}$$

For the total energy, we don't have it from the slides but we can derive it in the same way using Fermi-Dirac integrals. It starts in the same way as n but with an extra factor of ϵ in the numerator, then we use the substitution $w = \epsilon/k_B T$

$$U = (2s+1)\frac{V}{h^3} \int \frac{\epsilon}{e^{\frac{\epsilon-\mu}{k_B T}} + 1}\, \mathrm{d}\vec{\mathbf{p}} \tag{8}$$

$$U = \frac{4\pi(2s+1)}{2h^3}(2mk_B T)^{3/2}k_B T \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}w \, \frac{w^{3/2}}{e^w z^{-1} + 1} \tag{9}$$

$$U = \frac{2(2s+1)}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3} V k_B T F_{3/2}(z) \tag{10}$$

Now it's simply a matter of plugging all of these into the entropy expression.

$$S = \frac{U + PV - N\mu}{T} \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{T}\left[\frac{2(2s+1)}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3} V k_B T F_{3/2}(z) + \frac{4(2s+1)}{3\pi^{1/2}} V k_B T \lambda^{-3} F_{3/2}(z) + \frac{2(2s+1)}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3} V k_B T \ln z F_{1/2}(z)\right] \tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{2(2s+1)V k_B}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3}\left[F_{3/2}(z) + \frac{2}{3}F_{3/2}(z) + \ln z F_{1/2}(z)\right] \tag{13}$$

$$\boxed{S = \frac{2(2s+1)V k_B}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3}\left[\frac{5}{3}F_{3/2}(z) + F_{1/2}(z)\ln z\right]} \tag{14}$$

2b. *Expanding pressure*

To start, we can right a simplified expression for P/nk_BT using the expressions from part a.

$$\frac{P}{nk_BT} = \frac{4(2s+1)}{3\pi^{1/2}} k_BT\lambda^{-3}F_{3/2}(z)\left[\frac{2(2s+1)}{\pi^{1/2}\lambda^3}k_BTF_{1/2}(z)\right]^{-1} \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{P}{nk_BT} = \frac{2F_{3/2}(z)}{3F_{1/2}(z)} \tag{16}$$

Now we need to expand this in terms of z. Let's start by just expanding the Fermi-Dirac integral to start with. We know this is defined as

$$F_v(z) = \int_0^\infty dw \, \frac{w^v}{e^w z^{-1} + 1} \tag{17}$$

If we perform a Taylor expansion on this we find

$$F_v(z) \approx \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty (-1)^{i-1} e^{-iw} w^v z^i\right) dw \tag{18}$$

We can switch the order of this sum and integral and also pull out the z terms to find that

$$F_v(z) \approx \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left((-1)^{i-1} z_i \int_0^\infty e^{-iw} w^v \, dw\right) \tag{19}$$

Let's keep the first two terms of this (since just keeping the first results in just getting 1 as the answer haha). This gives the ratio as

$$\frac{P}{nk_BT} \approx \frac{2}{3} \frac{\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} z - \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}} z^2}{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} z - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}} z^2} \tag{20}$$

Also of those factors of $\sqrt{\pi}$ cancel and we can also taylor expand again to get a nice simple expression as a function of the fugacity

$$\boxed{\frac{P}{nk_BT} \approx 1 + \frac{z}{4\sqrt{2}}} \tag{21}$$

3. Brown Dwarf
3a. Fugacity

The general goal here is to find the number density based on the central temperature and density and then solve for the Fermi-Dirac integral and we can then invert that to find the fugacity. To start, we know that

$$\rho = m_e n_e + m_H n_H + m_{He} n_{He} \tag{22}$$

We can rewrite this (and neglect the electron term) as

$$\rho = n_H \left(m_H + m_{He} \frac{n_{He}}{n_H} \right) \tag{23}$$

Now we can plug in the masses of hydrogen and helium as well as their relative abundance (given as 0.1 in the problem description).

$$n_H = \frac{\rho_c}{1.4 m_p} \tag{24}$$

Now we just relate this to the electron number density simply as

$$n = \left(2 \frac{n_{He}}{n_H} + 1 \right) n_H \tag{25}$$

And combining the two gives an expression for the number density in terms of the central density

$$n = \frac{1.2 \rho_c}{1.4 m_p} \tag{26}$$

The other part that we need is the de-Broglie wavelength which depends on the central temperature as

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2 \pi m_e k_B T_c}} \tag{27}$$

Now let's use this with the equation for the number density from Lecture 10 and solve for the Fermi-Dirac integral (plugging in values in the final equation).

$$n = \frac{2(2s+1)}{\pi^{1/2} \lambda^3} F_{1/2}(z) \tag{28}$$

$$F_{1/2}(z) = \frac{n \pi^{1/2} \lambda^3}{2(2s+1)} \tag{29}$$

$$F_{1/2}(z) = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{2(2s+1)} \frac{1.2 \rho_c}{1.4 m_p} \left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2 \pi m_e k_B T_c}} \right)^3 \tag{30}$$

$$F_{1/2}(z) = 2.08 \tag{31}$$

I took this value and inverted it using the approximate analytic expressions from the paper (Aymerich-Humet+1981) to find the fugacity.

$$\boxed{z = 1.65} \tag{32}$$

3b. *Pressure*

In order to calculate the pressure we need to apply the same pressure equation as 2a

$$P = \frac{4(2s+1)}{3\pi^{1/2}} k_B T \lambda^{-3} F_{3/2}(z) \tag{33}$$

In this specific case, $s = 1/2$, $T = 6 \times 10^6$ K, λ is calculated in the same way as part a and we found that $z = 1.65$ in part a. This gives the pressure as

$$\boxed{P = 1.92 \times 10^{16}\,\text{Pa}} \tag{34}$$

3c. *Relativistic?*

In lecture we found that electrons are relativistic when their fermi momentum is such that

$$p_F \sim m_e c \tag{35}$$

We showed that in terms of density this was equivalent to

$$\rho_{\text{rel}} \sim 2 \times 10^6\,\text{g cm}^{-3} \cdot \frac{\mu_e}{2m_p} \tag{36}$$

The last term with μ_e is going to be approximately of order unity and so, since the central density is only $325\,\text{g cm}^{-3}$ we have that the electrons **are** relativistic

$$\boxed{\rho_c \ll \rho_{\text{rel}} \implies \text{non-relativistic}} \tag{37}$$

3d. *Ideal Gas*

For this part we simply need to calculate the pressure assuming that it was an ideal gas. In this case

$$P_{\text{ideal}} = n k_B T \tag{38}$$

We found n in part a and $T = 6 \times 10^6$ K and thus we can quickly plug these in to find that

$$\boxed{P_{\text{ideal}} = 1.38 \times 10^{16}\,\text{Pa}} \tag{39}$$

We can therefore see that the degeneracy contributes significantly since the pressure is about 40% larger when including degeneracy effects.

We are given the two following equations

$$\rho_c = 8.44\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}\frac{M}{R^3} \tag{40}$$

$$RM^{1/3} = \frac{0.13}{(\mu_e F_{1/2}(z))^{2/3}} \tag{41}$$

First, let's quickly find the mass per electron in units of the proton mass, μ_e, knowing that the gas is 90% hydrogen (1 nucleon, 1 electron) and 10% helium (4 nucleons, 2 electrons).

$$\mu_e = 0.9 \cdot 1 + 0.1 \cdot 2 = 1.1 \tag{42}$$

Now we can combine these equations to instead write that

$$M = \frac{\rho_c R^3}{8.44\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}} \tag{43}$$

$$R = \left[\frac{0.13}{(\mu_e F_{1/2}(z))^{2/3}} \left(\frac{\rho_c}{8.44\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}} \right)^{-1/3} \right]^{1/2} \tag{44}$$

Plugging in our numbers $\mu_e = 1.1$, $z = 1.65$ and $\rho_c = 325\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ to get R (and then M) gives

$$\boxed{R = 0.15\,\mathrm{R_\odot}} \tag{45}$$
$$\boxed{M = 0.13\,\mathrm{M_\odot}} \tag{46}$$