```
10.1
```

- a.  $K(a) \wedge W(m)$
- b.  $K(a) \rightarrow Q(g)$
- c. K(a) ∧ P(a, e)
- d.  $\neg A(m, l)$
- e.  $L(l, g) \vee L(g, l)$

## 10.2

- a.  $(\forall x)(D(x) \rightarrow H(l, x))$
- b.  $(\forall x)(D(x) \rightarrow N(x, l))$
- c. Interpret the sentence as "Not every dragon was keen on Merlin", that is to say, there exists one or more dragon that was not keen on Merlin,

thus, we have 
$$(\exists x)(D(x) \land \neg K(d, m))$$
,

or  $\neg(\forall x)(D(x) \rightarrow K(d, m))$ , which is basically the same thing.

d. 
$$\neg(\forall x)(P(x) \rightarrow S(x, h))$$
, or  $(\exists x)(P(x) \land \neg S(x, h))$ 

\* I read the textbook and saw the different "restricted quantification" and the standard format, I am not sure which format should I use here, I hope the standard one will do.

## 10.3

- a. true, for  $\leq$ Gawaine, Igraine $\geq$  is in  $F_3(L)$
- b. false, for  $\leq$ dragon, Lancelot $\geq$  is not in  $F_3(C)$
- c. false, for  $\langle Elaine \rangle$  is in  $F_3(M)$  while  $\langle Elaine, Gawaine \rangle$  is not in  $F_3(L)$
- d. true, for  $\leq$ Igraine $\geq$  is in  $F_3(M)$  and  $\leq$ Igraine, Gawaine $\geq$  is in  $F_3(L)$
- e. true, <Lancelot, dragon> is in  $F_3(S)$ , so S(l, d) is true, there exists no pair like <\_, Elaine> in  $F_3(L)$ , so  $\neg(\exists x: K(x)) L(x, e)$  is true
- f. true, there is only one  $\leq$ dragon $\geq$  in  $F_3(D)$ , and  $\leq$ Lancelot, dragon $\geq$  is in  $F_3(S)$ , so  $(\forall x: D(x)) S(l, x)$  is true, for both  $\leq$ Elaine $\geq$  and  $\leq$ Igraine $\geq$  in  $F_3(M)$ ,

> is in F<sub>3</sub>(F), so 
$$(\forall y: M(y)) F(l, y)$$
 is true

## 10.4

- a.  $F(l, e) \vee F(l, i) = true \vee true = true$
- b.  $F(l,e) \vee F(l,i) = true \vee true = false$
- c.  $S(l, d) \rightarrow F(l, e) = true \rightarrow true = true$
- d.  $L(g, i) \rightarrow F(g, i) = true \rightarrow false = false$