Rubrics – Compiler Construction

Generic Rubric for Assessment of Essay-type Question/Assignment

Criteria for assessment	Performance levels						
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Marginal Pass	Fail		
	10 / A / 4	8/B/3	6/C/2	4/D/1	F/0		
Organization (10% weighting)	The introduction is inviting, states the main topics, and provides an overview; gives instructions in logical order; the conclusion is strong	The introduction states the main topic and provides an overview; gives instructions in logical order; a conclusion is included	The introduction states the main topic; for the most part, gives instructions in logical order; a conclusion is included	There is no clear introduction, structure, or conclusion; gives instructions in a scattered, disorganized manner	Plagiarism or non-submission; no answer, or the answer is totally unrelated		
Content (40% weighting)	The content is clearly stated and well supported, persuasive, relevant and accurate	The content is clearly stated, generally accurate and persuasive	Majority of content is clearly stated, accurate and relevant	The content is partly accurate and relevant			
Elaboration (40% weighting)	Gives needed explanations	Gives some explanations	Gives few explanations	Gives no explanations			
Use of Language (10% weighting)	Paper follows instructions supplied and required length; use transitions effectively; contains no (or very few) mechanical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors	Paper generally follows instructions; uses some transitions; includes few mechanical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors	Paper follows most guidelines; uses awkward or overly simple sentence structures; contains many mechanical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors	Paper has substantial deviations from supplied instructions; paper is grossly short or long; contains incomplete thoughts and confusing mechanical, spelling, and/or grammatical errors			

Rubric for Programming

Criteria for assessment	Performance levels					
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Marginal Pass	Fail	
	10 / A / 4	8/B/3	6/C/2	4/D/1	F/0	
Correctness	All the code is correct.	Code is mostly correct	Code is somewhat correct	Code is mostly wrong.	Code is incomprehensible.	
(90 % weighting)		with a few mistakes.	with major mistakes.			
Comment	Comments are adequately	Comments are mostly	Comments are provided	Comments are sparse or	No comments and no	
(5% weighting)	provided and are at levels of abstraction appropriate for conveying specifics	provided and at levels of abstraction appropriate for conveying specifics	somewhere, but at too low a level of abstraction to be of much use.	vague, and give little information about the purpose of the program	information about the purpose of the program.	
	about the programs.	about the program.		or how it goes about carrying it out.		
Code style	A clear coding style is	A clear coding style with	A clear coding style is	A clear coding style is	None	
(5% weighting)	evident, and consistently applied, greatly enhancing program readability	mostly consistency in application, aiding readability in a majority of the program.	hinted at, with some consistency in application, aiding readability in some of the program.	lacking, or applied very inconsistency, with readability suffering accordingly.		

Generic Rubric for Assessment of Project Report (presented in formal report format)

Criteria for assessment	Performance levels					
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Marginal Pass	Fail	
	10 / A / 4	8/B/3	6/C/2	4/D/1	F/0	
Focus and Contents (40 % weighting)	Clearly identifies the essence of the topic, a good and strong logical progression from the problem's introduction, analysis and to its conclusion / solution; information is relevant; main ideas are well supported by detailed, accurate and updated information.	Main ideas are clear; demonstrate a basic logical progression from the problem's introduction, analysis and to its conclusion/solution; information is relevant; main ideas are supported by information but are not in sufficient details or information is not updated.	Identifies the essence of the topic with some degree of confusion; a weak logical progression from the problem's introduction, analysis and to its conclusion/solution; main ideas are not well supported by information.	Main ideas are unclear; poorly identifies the essence of the topic, a poor logical progression from the problem's introduction, analysis and to its conclusion/solution; main ideas are barely supported by information.	Does not demonstrate the minimum understanding of the topic.	
Organization and Presentation (30 % weighting)	Organization is well structured; showing good transitions between ideas; the length and depth of writing is appropriate.	Organization is clear; less transitions shown between ideas; the length and depth of writing is appropriate.	Basic organization is apparent; transitions connect ideas are somewhat mechanical; length and depth of work is either too little or too much.	Organization is weak; transitions connect ideas are weak; length and depth of work is either too little or too much.	There is no clear organization; no apparent transitions connecting ideas; length and depth of work is either too little or too much.	
Sentence, Structure, Grammar, Mechanics, & Spelling (10 % weighting)	All sentences are well constructed and have varied structure and length; no errors made in grammar, mechanics, and/or spelling.	Most sentences are well constructed and have varied structure and length; a few errors made in grammar, mechanics, and/or spelling, but they do not interfere with understanding.	Most sentences are well constructed, but they have a similar structure and/or length; several errors in grammar, mechanics, and/or spelling that interfere with understanding.	Most sentences are not well constructed and they have a similar structure and/or length; many errors in grammar, mechanics, and/or spelling that interfere with understanding.	Sentences sound Awkward and they are distractingly repetitive or are difficult to understand; numerous errors made in grammar, mechanics, and/or spelling that interfere with understanding.	

Clarity of research work / literature search logbook (10 % weighting)	Types of work executed, with results (if any) / research papers and /or book chapters searched are complete and recorded in good details; writing is organized, clear and understandable; each page has to be dated; logbook is kept in good condition.	Types of work executed with results (if any) / research papers and /or book chapters searched are complete and recorded but not in sufficient details; writing is clear and understandable but less organized; pages are dated; logbook is kept in good condition.	Records of types of work executed with results (if any) / research papers and /or book chapters searched are not complete and they are of insufficient details; organization and clarity is of writing is incoherent; not all pages of the logbook are dated; logbook is kept in okay condition.	Records of types of work executed with results (if any) / research papers and /or book chapters searched are not complete and they are of insufficient details; organization and clarity is of writing is poor and hardly understandable; not all pages of the logbook are dated; logbook is kept in okay condition.	Most of the records of types of work executed with results (if any) / research papers and /or book chapters searched are missing; no organization of records; writing is hardly understandable; most of the pages of the logbook are not dated; logbook is kept in poor condition.
Neatness and tidiness (10 % weighting)	Typed; clean; neatly bound in a report cover; illustrations provided.	Typed, well-formed characters; clean and neatly bound in a report cover.	Legible writing, less well-formed characters; clean and neatly bound in a report cover.	Legible writing, some ill-formed letters, print too small or too large; papers stapled together	Illegible writing; loose pages.