

# Matrix Procrustes Problems

Nick Higham  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Manchester  
`higham@ma.man.ac.uk`

Pythagoras Papadimitriou.  
*Parallel Solution of SVD-Related Problems,  
With Applications.* PhD thesis, University of  
Manchester, October 1993.



THE UNIVERSITY  
of MANCHESTER

## Orthogonal Procrustes Problem (OPP)

---

Given  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,

$$\min \{ \|A - BQ\|_F : Q^T Q = I_n \}.$$

Special case:  $B = I$ , *nearest orthonormal matrix*:

$$\min \{ \|A - Q\|_F : Q^T Q = I_n \}, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

Here, the Frobenius norm

$$\|A\|_F = \left( \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \text{trace}(A^T A)^{1/2}.$$

## Applications: OPP

---

- Factor analysis, statistics: are matrices  $A$ ,  $B$  equivalent up to rotation?
- Satellite tracking.
- Rigid body movement in robotics.
- Structural and system identification.
- Vibration tests of large, complex structures (e.g., space station); Smith et al. (1993).

## Applications: Nearest Orthogonal Matrix

---

- Real-time graphics.  $4 \times 4$  orthogonal matrix describes orientation of hypercube rotating under user's control. A 5% deviation from orthogonality noticeable to eye.
- Aerospace computations: direction cosine matrix (DCM)  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  satisfies the matrix ODE
 
$$\frac{d}{dt}D(t) = SD(t), \quad S = -S^T, \quad D(0) \text{ orthogonal.}$$
 Solved by Euler's method. Approximate DCMs need to be re-orthogonalized periodically.
- Orthogonalizing a basis (Löwdin orthogonalization).  
 Nearest orthogonal matrix is independent of basis ordering; result from Gram-Schmidt is not.

## Norms

---

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

Frobenius norm:

$$\|A\|_F = \left( \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2} = \text{trace}(A^T A)^{1/2}.$$

2-norm:

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \rho(A^T A)^{1/2}.$$

Unitarily invariant norm:

$$\|UAV\| = \|A\|$$

for all orthogonal  $U$  and  $V$ .

## Useful Decompositions

---

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \quad m \geq n.$$

### Polar Decomposition

$$A = UH, \quad U^T U = I_n, \quad H \text{ symmetric psd.}$$

### Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T, \quad U^T U = I_m, \quad V^T V = I_n,$$

$$\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_i), \quad \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_n \geq 0.$$

### Connection

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} \overset{n}{U_1} & \overset{m-n}{U_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T = U_1 \Sigma V^T \\ &= U_1 V^T \cdot V \Sigma V^T \equiv UH. \end{aligned}$$

## Solution of OPP

---

$$\min\{\|A - BQ\|_F : Q^T Q = I_n\}.$$

$$\|A - BQ\|_F^2 = \text{trace}(A^T A - 2Q^T B^T A + B^T B),$$

so need to maximize  $\text{trace}(Q^T B^T A)$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(Q^T C) &= \text{trace}(Q^T U \Sigma V^T) \\ &= \text{trace}(V^T Q^T U \cdot \Sigma) \\ &\leq \sum_i \sigma_i, \end{aligned}$$

with equality for  $Q = UV^T$  = orthogonal polar factor of  $B^T A$ !

*Note:* Orthogonal polar factor is not the solution for an arbitrary unitarily invariant norm (Mathias, 1993).

## Nearest Orthogonal Matrix

---

Given  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  ( $m \geq n$ ),

$$\min \{ \|A - Q\| : Q^T Q = I_n \}.$$

Let  $A = UH$ , polar decomposition.

- ▷  $Q = U$  is a solution for 2 and Frobenius norms.
- ▷ If  $m = n$  then  $Q = U$  is a solution for any unitarily invariant norm (Fan & Hoffman, 1955).

**Lemma 1**  $A = UH \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  ( $m \geq n$ ). For any unitarily invariant norm,

$$\frac{\|A^T A - I\|}{\|A\|_2 + 1} \leq \|A - U\| \leq \|A^T A - I\|.$$

**Proof.** Take norms in the equations

$$\begin{aligned} A^T A - I &= (A - U)^T (A + U), \\ (A - U)^T U &= (A^T A - I)(H + I)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$



## Rotation OPP

---

Wahba (1965): “A Least Squares Estimate of Satellite Attitude”.

Given  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,

$$\min \{ \|A - BQ\|_F : Q^T Q = I_n, \det(Q) = 1 \}.$$

As before, need to maximize  $\text{trace}(Q^T B^T A)$ . Let  $B^T A = U \Sigma V^T$  be an SVD. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(Q^T B^T A) &= \text{trace}(Q^T U \Sigma V^T) \\ &= \text{trace}(V^T Q^T U \cdot \Sigma) \\ &=: \text{trace}(Z \Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\det(Z) = \det(V^T U) \det(Q) = \det(V^T U) = \pm 1.$$

If  $\det(V^T U) = 1$ , max is attained for  $Z = I$ ;  
otherwise for

$$Z = \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, -1).$$

## Permutation Procrustes Problem

---

Gower (1984): Given  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,

$$\min \{ \|A - BQ\|_F : Q \text{ a permutation matrix} \}.$$

Do  $A$  and  $B$  represent the same (or similar) objects in a different order?

Again, want to maximize  $\text{trace}(Q^T B^T A) =: \text{trace}(PC)$ . Generalizing  $P$  to be *doubly stochastic*, we have

$$\text{maximize } \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} c_{ij},$$

subject to  $p_{ij} \geq 0$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} = 1, \quad i = 1:n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} = 1, \quad j = 1:n.$$

Max must occur at a vertex, which corresponds to a permutation matrix.

This is a linear programming problem, in fact an assignment problem, Can be solved by the *Hungarian method*.

## Two-Sided OPP

---

Schönemann (1966): Given  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,

$$\min \{ \|A - PBQ\|_F : P^T P = I_m, Q^T Q = I_n \}.$$

$\|A - PBQ\|_F^2 = \text{trace}(A^T A - 2Q^T B^T P^T A + B^T B)$ ,  
so need to maximize  $\text{trace}(Q^T B^T P^T A)$ .

*Answer:* if  $A = U_A \Sigma_A V_A$  and  $B = U_B \Sigma_B V_B$ , then

$$P = U_A U_B^T, \quad Q = V_B^T V_A,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - PBQ\|_F &= \|U_A(\Sigma_A - \Sigma_B)V_A\|_F = \|\Sigma_A - \Sigma_B\|_F \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i(A) - \sigma_i(B))^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

## Symmetric Procrustes Problem (SPP)

---

Larson (1966), Brock (1968): Given  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$   
 $(m \geq n)$

$$\min \{ \|A - BX\|_F : X = X^T \}.$$

Various analogies with the least squares problem.  
 Let  $S$  = set of minimizers.

- $S$  is convex.
- $X \in S$  iff  $X = X^T$  and

$$A^T A X + X A^T A = A^T B + B^T A.$$

- $S$  has a unique element of minimal Frobenius norm.

Can solve SPP using SVD of  $B$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A - BX\|_F^2 &= \left\| A - U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T X \right\|_F^2 \\
 &= \left\| U^T A V - \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T X V \right\|_F^2 \\
 &= \left\| C - \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} Y \right\|_F^2 \\
 &= \|C_1 - \Sigma Y\|_F^2 + \|C_2\|_F^2, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Minimum norm solution easily found to be given by,  
with  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ ,

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & i > r \text{ and } j > r, \\ \frac{\sigma_i c_{ij} + \sigma_j c_{ji}}{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## Numerical Example

---

$\min \|A - BX\|_F$ :

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

OPP:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2919 & -0.6052 & -0.7406 \\ -0.4698 & 0.5838 & -0.6622 \\ 0.8331 & 0.5412 & -0.1139 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \min = 1.7323.$$

$\det(X) = 1$ .

SPP:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3793 & -0.6207 & 0.1034 \\ -0.6207 & 0.6552 & -0.0690 \\ 0.1034 & -0.0690 & -0.0345 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \min = 1.8099.$$

Unconstrained (least squares):

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \min = 0.$$

## Convex Set Case

---

Andersson and Elfving (1993) consider Procrustes problems of the form

$$\min_{X \in C} \|A - BX\|_F, \quad C = \text{convex set.}$$

Particular choices:

- $X \geq 0$  (nonnegative elements),
- $X = X^T$  and  $X \geq 0$ ,
- $X$  symmetric positive semidefinite.

A & E derive optimality conditions and use projected gradient methods to compute numerical solutions.

## General Two-Sided Procrustes Problem

---

Given  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and sets  $S$  and  $T$ ,

$$\min \{ \|A - XBY\|_F : X \in S, Y \in T \}.$$

Possible choices of  $S$  and  $T$  include  $Z$  that are

- orthogonal,
- a permutation,
- symmetric,
- symmetric positive semidefinite,
- diagonal,
- $Z \geq 0$  (nonnegative elements),
- arbitrary (reduces to one-sided problem).

Note, if no constraints on  $X$  and  $Y$  then,

$$\min = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{rank}(A) \leq \text{rank}(B), \\ \left( \sum_{\text{rank}(B)+1}^{\text{rank}(A)} \sigma_i(A)^2 \right)^{1/2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



## Flip-Flop Algorithm

---

$$\min \{ \|A - XBY\|_F : X \in S, Y \in T \}.$$

For most of these two-sided problems closed form solutions are not known. One way to try to solve them numerically is as follows.

```

Guess  $X_0$ 
for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  until converged
     $Y_k := \operatorname{argmin} \{ \|A - X_{k-1}BY\|_F : Y \in T \}.$ 
     $X_k := \operatorname{argmin} \{ \|A - XBY_k\|_F : X \in S \}.$ 
end
  
```

Generates a nonincreasing sequence

$\|A - X_kBY_k\|_F$ , hence convergent. But

- May not converge to global minimum.
- Convergence is linear, so can be very slow.

## Numerical Solution of Procrustes Problems

---

Many Procrustes problems can be solved using the SVD or polar decomposition.

Can obtain SVD from polar decomposition and vice versa!

Polar decomposition known explicitly for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ . Uhlig (1981):

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \gamma(A + |\det(A)|A^{-T}), \\H &= \gamma(A^T A + |\det(A)|I),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = |\det(A + |\det(A)|A^{-T})|^{-1/2}.$$

## Some Iterations

---

To compute  $U$  in  $A = UH$ , with  $X_0 = A$ :

- Newton:

$$X_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}(X_k + X_k^{-T}).$$

Converges quadratically for any full rank  $A$ .

- Newton-Schulz:

$$X_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}X_k(3I - X_k^T X_k),$$

converges for  $\|X_0^T X_0 - I\|_2 < 1$ .

- Halley's method (cubically convergent):

$$X_{k+1} = X_k(3I + X_k^T X_k)(I + 3X_k^T X_k)^{-1}.$$

- Quartically convergent method:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k+1} &= 4X_k(I + X_k^T X_k)(I + 6X_k^T X_k + (X_k^T X_k)^2)^{-1} \\ &= (4 \text{ steps of Newton on } X_k)^{-T}. \end{aligned}$$

## How to Derive Iterations

---

▷ Apply Newton's method to  $X^T X = I$ .

▷ Apply quadrature to the formula

$$U = \frac{2}{\pi} A \int_0^\infty (t^2 I + A^T A)^{-1} dt.$$

(Change variable then use Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature.)

▷ Since  $H = (A^T A)^{1/2}$ , apply iterations for matrix square root.

## Open Problems

---

- ▷ Find analytic solutions to one or two-sided Procrustes problems.
- ▷ Handle sparsity constraints. E.g.,  $X = X^T$  with given sparsity pattern.
- ▷ Handle equality constraints:  $(A - BQ)_{ij} = 0$ ,  $i \in I, j \in J$ .
- ▷ Develop better numerical methods for the solution.
- ▷ Updating: cheaply compute new solution when  $A$  undergoes a low rank change.
- ▷ Solve Procrustes problems in other norms. (E.g., Watson (1993) for Schatten  $p$ -norms.)
- ▷ Develop perturbation theory for Procrustes problems. (E.g., Higham (1988), Söderkvist (1992)).

## References

---

- [1] Lars-Erik Andersson and Tommy Elfving. Two constrained Procrustes problems. Report LiTH-MAT-R-1993-39, Department of Mathematics, Linköping University, Sweden, December 1993.
- [2] C. A. Beattie and S. W. Smith. Optimal matrix approximants in structural identification. *J. Optimization Theory and Applications*, 74(1):23–56, 1992.
- [3] Ky Fan and A. J. Hoffman. Some metric inequalities in the space of matrices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:111–116, 1955.
- [4] J. C. Gower. Multivariate analysis: Ordination, multidimensional scaling and allied topics. In E. H. Lloyd, editor, *Statistics*, volume VI of *Handbook of Applicable Mathematics*, pages 727–781. John Wiley, Chichester, 1984.
- [5] Bert F. Green. The orthogonal approximation of an oblique structure in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 17(4):429–440, 1952.
- [6] Richard J. Hanson and Michael J. Norris. Analysis of measurements based on the singular value decomposition. *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.*, 2(3):363–373, 1981.
- [7] Nicholas J. Higham. Computing the polar decomposition—with applications. *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.*, 7(4):1160–1174, October 1986.
- [8] Nicholas J. Higham. The symmetric Procrustes problem. *BIT*, 28:133–143, 1988.
- [9] Nicholas J. Higham. Matrix nearness problems and applications. In M. J. C. Gover and S. Barnett, editors, *Applications of Matrix Theory*, pages 1–27. Oxford University Press, 1989.
- [10] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1985. ISBN 0-521-30586-1.
- [11] Charles Kenney and Alan J. Laub. On scaling Newton’s method for polar decomposition and the matrix sign function. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 13(3):688–706, 1992.
- [12] Roy Mathias. Perturbation bounds for the polar decomposition. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 14(2):588–597, 1993.
- [13] Pythagoras Papadimitriou. *Parallel Solution of SVD-Related Problems, With Applications*. PhD thesis, University of Manchester, England, October 1993.
- [14] Peter H. Schönemann. A generalized solution of the orthogonal Procrustes problem. *Psychometrika*, 31(1):1–10, 1966.
- [15] Suzanne Weaver Smith, John R. Baker, Mohamed Kaouk, and David C. Zimmerman. Mode shape expansion for visualization and model correlation. In L. Meirovitch, editor, *Dynamics and Control of Large Structures*, pages 385–396. Proceedings of the Ninth VPI&SU Symposium held in Blacksburg, Virginia, 1993.
- [16] Inge Söderkvist. Perturbation analysis of the orthogonal Procrustes problem. *BIT*. To appear.
- [17] Inge Söderkvist and Per-Åke Wedin. A practical condition number for a configuration of points defining a rigid body movement. Report UMINF-91.29, Institute of Information Processing, University of Umeå, Sweden, December 1991.

- [18] Frank Uhlig. Explicit polar decomposition and a near-characteristic polynomial: The  $2 \times 2$  case. *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, 38:239–249, 1981.
- [19] G. Wahba. Problem 65-1: A least squares estimate of satellite attitude. *SIAM Review*, 7:409, 1965. Solutions in vol. 8, 1966, 384–386.
- [20] G. A. Watson. Solving generalizations of orthogonal Procrustes problems. *WSSIA A (World Scientific)*, 2:413–426, 1993.