## MIS771 Descriptive Analytics and Visualisation



# MIS771 Lecture Topic 2 – Brief Solutions

#### Exercise 1

A recent NSW study found that, on average, households spend \$232 a week on groceries. A retail organisation wishes to determine if Victorian households differ in their average spending on groceries. They take a random sample of 64 Victorian households and obtain a sample mean of \$215 with a standard deviation of \$56.

a) Use  $\alpha = 2\%$  to test the claim.

Write down the null and alternative hypotheses in words **and** symbols.

H₀: _	µ = 232	(Symbols)	
_		(\	Words)
H <sub>a</sub> : _	μ≠232	(Symbols)	
_		(V	Vords)
Two tail			

Critical values of t = +/-2.387

If the sample statistic is < -2.387 or > +2.387 then reject H<sub>0</sub>

t = -2.43

Reject H<sub>0</sub>

At 2 percent significance, there is enough evidence to conclude that Victorian households differ in their average spending on groceries compared to NSW.

b) Could you have come to a different decision if  $\alpha = 1\%$ ?

Yes, because p-value > 1%.

#### **Exercise 2**

In a large organisation, currently 7.5% of all deliveries from suppliers arrive late. A supply chain manager is considering implementing a new system with its suppliers in order to reduce the number of late deliveries received by the organisation. It is estimated that the new system would cost approximately \$200,000.

a) Before deciding whether to purchase the new system, a trial was conducted in which a random sample of 120 deliveries revealed only 6 were late. Is there sufficient evidence to conclude that the new system is better than the previous one? Use  $\alpha = 10\%$ .

	down the null and alternative hypotheses in words <b>and</b> symb	
H₀:	<u></u> π≥7.5%	
ш.	T < 7 EV	
H <sub>a</sub> :	π < 7.5%	<b>(1)</b>
		(Words)
low ta	il -	
Critica	l values of z = -1.28	
If the s	sample statistic is < -1.28 then reject H <sub>0</sub>	
z = -1.0	04	
Fail to	reject H <sub>0</sub>	
At 10	percent significance, there is NOT enough evidence to conclu	de that the new system is better.
b)	Would the p-value be higher or lower than 10%? Explain.	
Greate	er than 10% as we have failed to reject H <sub>0</sub>	
c)	Write down what the Type I and Type II errors would be in	this scenario.
	Concluded that the new system was better when in fact it is Concluded that the new system didn't improve the percenta in fact it is a better system.	
d)	Do you think $\alpha$ = 10% was appropriate for this scenario and new system?	should the company purchase the
	too high given the large amount of money potentially being 000) and not based on the evidence collected so far.	spent on the new system
<u>Exerci</u>	<u>se 3</u>	
-	ual Opportunity Officer wishes to compare the average amore and male employees in an organisation. A random samp s:	•
	es: $n_1 = 32, x_1 = 8.2, s_1 = 2.8$ : $n_2 = 36, x_2 = 6.1, s_2 = 2.4$	
a)	Is there a significant difference between unpaid overtime v	vorked by females and males?
	Write down the null and alternative hypotheses in words a	<b>nd</b> symbols.
H <sub>o</sub> :	μ1 - μ2 = 0	(Symbols)
		(Words)
н	12 ≠ 0	(Symbols)

(Words)				
Two tail				
Critical values of $t = +/-1.99$ If the sample statistic is $< -1.99$ or $> +1.99$ then reject $H_0$				
t = 3.33				
Reject H <sub>0</sub>				
At 5 percent significance, there is enough evidence to conclude that there a significant difference between unpaid overtime worked by females and males.				
b) If so, provide an accurate estimate of the difference.				
We are 95% confident that female employees work on average somewhere between 0.84 hours to 3.36 hours more unpaid overtime than male employees.				
Exercise 4				
Management at an organisation have implemented a new training program with the aim of improving productivity. 36 staff were randomly chosen to pilot the training program with the following results:				
Productivity (after): $x_1 = 101.5$ Productivity (before): $x_2 = 99.0$				
a) Has there been a significant improvement in productivity after the training program? [Note: standard deviation of differences is 2.8]				
Write down the null and alternative hypotheses in words <b>and</b> symbols.				
$H_o$ :µD $\leq 0$ (Symbols) (Words)				
$H_a$ :(Symbols)				
(Words)				
Upper tail				
Critical values of t = 1.69				
If the sample statistic is $> +1.69$ then reject $H_0$				
t = 5.36				
Reject HO				

At 5 percent significance, there is enough evidence to conclude that the average productivity has improved for those employees who completed the training program.

b) Suppose Management decided to only implement the training program to ALL employees if it can be demonstrated that the program improves productivity by more than 2%. Should they implement the training for ALL employees?

We are 95% confident that the average improvement in productivity for all employees after completing the training is somewhere between 1.55% and 3.45%.

Cannot conclude that productivity has improved by more than 2%. Don't implement.

### **Exercise 5**

Is there a smaller proportion of non-union members who feel 'insecure' in their job compared to employees who are union members?

Non-union:  $n_1 = 25$ ,  $p_1 = 6/25 = 24.0\%$ Union:  $n_2 = 23$ ,  $p_2 = 8/23 = 34.8\%$ 

Conduct a hypothesis test using  $\alpha$ = 10%.

 $H_0: \pi_1 - \pi_2 \ge 0$ 

The proportion of non-union members who feel 'insecure' is the same or more than that of union members.

 $H_1$ :  $\pi_1 - \pi_2 < 0$ 

The proportion of non-union members who feel 'insecure' is less than that of union members.

Low tail test

Z-statistic = -0.821

Z-statistic of -0.821 is NOT less than the CV of Z of -1.28

Fail to Reject H<sub>0</sub>.

At 10 percent significance, there is insufficient evidence to conclude across the whole workforce that a smaller proportion of non-union employees feel 'insecure' compared to union employees.