



SIT718 – Game Theory – Week 2

Delaram Pahlevani

Solving 2-Person Zero-Sum Games using LP

Linear programming allows us to address cases when both players have more than two strategies.

Let v be the value of the game, then Player I's LP for solving their ideal combination of mixed strategies (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) can be formulated as

$$egin{array}{lll} \max & z = v \ & ext{s.t.} & v - (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m1}x_m) & \leq 0 \ & v - (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m2}x_m) & \leq 0 \ & dots & dots \ & v - (a_{1n}x_1 + a_{2n}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_m) & \leq 0 \ & x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m & = 1 \ & x_i \geq 0, orall i = 1, \ldots, m. \ & v \ ext{u.r.s.} \end{array}$$

Solving 2-Person Zero-Sum Games using LP

```
\begin{array}{ll} \min & w = v \\ \text{s.t.} & v - (a_{11}y_1 + a_{12}y_2 + \dots + a_{1n}y_n) & \geq 0 \\ & v - (a_{21}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + \dots + a_{2n}y_n) & \geq 0 \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & v - (a_{m1}y_1 + a_{m2}y_2 + \dots + a_{mn}y_n) & \geq 0 \\ & y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n = 1 \\ & y_i \geq 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, n. \\ & v \, \text{u.r.s.} \end{array}
```

Example

• Let the payoff matrix of a two-person zero-sum game be:

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & -3 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{array}{ll} \max & z=v \ & ext{s.t.} & v-(-2x_1-x_2+3x_3) \leq 0 \ & v-(x_1-x_2) \leq 0 \ & v-(-3x_1+2x_2-x_3) \leq 0 \ & x_1+x_2+x_3=1 \ & x_i \geq 0, orall i=1,2,3. \ & v ext{ u.r.s. (means - unrestricted sign)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & w = v \\ \text{s.t.} & v - (-2y_1 + y_2 - 3y_3) \geq 0 \\ & v - (-y_1 - y_2 + 2y_3) \geq 0 \\ & v - (3y_1 - y_3) \geq 0 \\ & v - (3y_1 - y_3) \geq 0 \\ & y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 1 \\ & y_i \geq 0, \forall i = 1, 2, 3. \\ & v \text{ u.r.s. (means - unrestricted sign)} \end{array}$$

Value of the game:

$$V = -\frac{2}{11}$$

$$(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*) = (\frac{3}{11}, \frac{5}{11}, \frac{3}{11})$$

$$(y_1^*, y_2^*, y_3^*) = (\frac{1}{33}, \frac{23}{33}, \frac{9}{33})$$

Practice

• Let the payoff matrix of a two-person zero-sum game be:

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 4 & -1 \\ -5 & 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Classic non-zero-sum games

Two prisoners awaiting trail are being kept in separate cells where they are not allowed to communicate. The prosecutor makes the same offer to both of them:

We have enough evidence to convict you both on a lesser charge. If you both plead innocent, you will be convicted and each will receive a 2-year sentence. However, if you help us, we will reward you: Admit guilt, then it'll be easier to convict your friend if he pleads innocent. He will get 5 years and we will let you go. If, however, you both plead guilty, you will both get 4 years.

Prisoner 1/Prisoner 2	Don't Confess	Confess
Don't Confess	(-2, -2)	(-5,0)
Confess	(0, -5)	(-4, -4)

Classic non-zero-sum games

Player I has a secured return of 3 by always playing a_1 , and Player II has a secured return of 3 as well by always playing A_2 . However, if they are able to "communicate", they might agree to play the game twice, with an (8,0 outcome the first time round, and (0,8) the second time round.

	A_1	A_2	
a_1	(8,0)	(3,3)	
a_2	(3,3)	0,8)	

Classic non-zero-sum games

We can see that Player I should always use a_1 . Although, knowing that would be Player I's choice, Player II will always prefer A_1 . However, Player I can threaten Player II by saying "you'd better use A_2 , or else I will use a_2 ".

	A_1	A_2	
a_1	(2,5)	(5,2)	
a_2	(1, -3)	(1, -5)	

Nash Equilibrium

A strategy profile is a Nash equilibrium if no player can do better by unilaterally changing his or her strategy. Each strategy in a Nash equilibrium is a best response to all other strategies in that equilibrium.

Prisoner 1/Prisoner 2	Don't Confess	Confess
Don't Confess	(-2, -2)	(-5,0)
Confess	(0, -5)	(-4, -4)

(-4,-4) is a Nash equilibrium point, because if either prisoner changes their strategy, then their payoff decreases from -4 to -5

Nash Equilibrium Examples

A strategy profile is a Nash equilibrium if no player can do better by unilaterally changing his or her strategy. Each strategy in a Nash equilibrium is a best response to all other strategies in that equilibrium.

	A_1	A_2
a_1	(8,0)	(3,3)
a_2	(3,3)	(0,8)

	A_1	A_2	
a_1	(2,5)	(5,2)	
a_2	(1, -3)	(1,-5)	

(3,3)

(2,5)

Practice

	A_1	A_2
a_1	(3,3)	(11,-1)
a_2	(-1, 11)	(7,7)

Non-Zero Sum Games with no Nash Equilibrium

Like zero-sum games, a non-zero sum may fail to have an equilibrium point in pure strategies. Consider the following non-zero sum game:

	A_1	A_2
a_1	(4, -2)	(-4, 2)
a_2	(-4, 2)	(4, -2)