

Supervised ML – Regression Capstone Project On Credit Card Prediction

PREPARED BY

Raja Chowdhury



INDEX



- ✓ INTRODUCTION
- ✓ PROBLEM STATEMENT
- ✓ DATA SUMMARY
- ✓ APPROACH OVERVIEW
- ✓ BASIC EXPLORATION
- ✓ EDA
- ✓ MODELING OVERVIEW
- ✓ FEATURE IMPORTANCES
- ✓ CHALLENGES
- ✓ CONCLUSION



Introduction

Credit card default prediction is the process of using historical data to predict whether or not a credit card holder will default on their payments in the future. Default prediction is important for both lenders and borrowers, as it can help lenders to identify high-risk customers and make better lending decisions, while helping borrowers to understand their chances of default and take steps to avoid it.



Problem Statement

This project is aimed at predicting the case of customers default payments in Taiwan. From the perspective of risk management, the result of predictive accuracy of the estimated probability of default will be more valuable than the binary result of classification - credible or not credible clients. We can use the K-S chart to evaluate which customers will default on their credit card payments.



Data Summary

- X1 Amount of credit limit(includes individual as well as family credit)
- X2 Gender
- X3 Education
- X4 Marital Status
- X5 Age
- X6 to X11 History of past payments from April to September
- X12 to X17 Amount of bill statement from April to September
- X18 to X23 Amount of previous payment from April to September
- Y Default payment



Approach Overview

Data Cleaning and Understanding

- ☐ Find information on documented columns values
- ☐ Clean data to get it ready for Analysis

Data Exploration (EDA)

- Examining the data with visualization
- Plotting graphs

<u>Modeling (Machine Learning)</u>

- Logistic Regression
- Support Vector Classifier
- Decision Tree Classifier
- Random Forest Classifier
- XGBoost

METRICS

- 1. Confusion Matrix
- 2. Accuracy Score
- 3. Precision Score
- 4. Recall Score
- 5. F1 Score
- 6. Roc_Auc Score



Basic Exploration


- ✓ Dataset for Taiwan.
- ✓ Shape of data is 30000 rows and 25 columns
- Six months payment and bill data available.
- No null data.
- Nine Categorical variables present.
- ✓ ID column can be drop

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 30000 entries, 0 to 29999
Data columns (total 25 columns):
     Column
                                   Non-Null Count
     ID
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     LIMIT BAL
                                   30000 non-null
                                                    int64
     SEX
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     FDUCATION
                                                    int64
                                   30000 non-null
     MARRIAGE
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     AGE
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY 0
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY 2
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY 3
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY 4
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY 5
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY 6
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     BILL AMT1
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
    BILL AMT2
                                   30000 non-null
                                                    int64
     BILL AMT3
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     BILL AMT4
                                   30000 non-null
                                                    int64
     BILL AMT5
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     BILL AMT6
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY AMT1
                                   30000 non-null
                                                    int64
     PAY AMT2
                                   30000 non-null
                                                    int64
     PAY AMT3
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
     PAY AMT4
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
    PAY AMT5
                                   30000 non-null
                                                   int64
    PAY AMT6
                                   30000 non-null
    default payment next month 30000 non-null
dtypes: int64(25)
memory usage: 5.7 MB
```



Ratio of Defaulter to Non-Defaulter


In our dataset the ratio of defaulter to non defaulter is 78:22. That is 22% are defaulters while the rest pays on time.





Gender Distribution


In our dataset,
There are 11,888 Males or
39% and 18,112 Females or
61%.





Defaulter Ratio With Respect To Gender

The Defaulter ratio with respect to gender is 43% of Males are defaulter while 57% of Females are defaulter.





Defaulter Ratio With Respect To Marital Status


The defaulter ratio according to marital status are as follows:

Married: 50%


Unmarried: 49%


Single : 1%

The above calculation says that **Married** people are more likely to fail to pay on time while **Single People** often pays on time.



History
Of
Bill
Amount
Payment








Allotment Of Credit Limit Balance

Trend of limit balance is mixed from age 21 to 39, it is increasing after it has declined a bit but from 62 it 30000 is increasing the limit has increased drastically. Highest balance given to the

age of 79.





Modeling Overview

Supervised learning/Binary Classification

Imbalance data with 78% non-defaulters and 22% defaulters

Modeling (Machine Learning)

- Logistic Regression
- Support Vector Classifier
- Decision Tree Classifier
- Random Forest Classifier
- XGBoost

METRICS

- 1. Confusion Matrix
- 2. Accuracy Score
- 3. Precision Score
- 4. Recall Score
- 5. F1 Score
- 6. Roc_Auc Score



Modeling Steps

- Data Preprocessing
- Feature selection and Feature engineering
- ☐ Train test data split(80%-20%)
- SMOTE oversampling(Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique)
- Data Fitting and Tuning
- Start with default model parameters
- Hyperparameter tuning
- Measure AUC- ROC on training data
- Model Evaluation
- Model testing
- □ Precision Recall Score
- □ Compare with the other models



Logistic Modeling

PARAMETERS: C = 0.0, $Max_iter = 50$, Penalty = none

RESULTS:

• The accuracy on test data : 56%

• The precision on test data : 36%

• The recall on test data : 60%

• The f1 score on test data : 56%

• The roc_auc on test data : 57%



Support Vector Classification

PARAMETERS: C = 0.5

RESULTS:

• The precision on test data : 60%

• The recall on test data : 69%

• The accuracy on test data : 61%

• The f1 score on test data : 64%

• The roc_auc on test data : 51%



Decision Tree Classifier

PARAMETERS: min_sample_leaf = 8, min_sample_split = 2

RESULTS:

The precision on test data : 60%

• The recall on test data : 69%


• The accuracy on test data : 61%

• The f1 score on test data : 64%

• The roc_auc on test data : 51%



Feature Importance Of Decision Tree





Random Forest Classifier

PARAMETERS: min_sample_leaf = 1, min_sample_split = 2

RESULTS:

• The precision on test data : 86%

• The recall on test data : 82%


• The accuracy on test data : 84%

• The f1 score on test data : 84%

• The roc_auc on test data : 84%



Feature Importance Of Random Forest





Xgboost

RESULTS:

• The precision on test data : 84%

• The recall on test data : 77%


• The accuracy on test data :81%

• The f1 score on test data : 80%

• The roc_auc on test data :81%



Feature Importance Of Xgboost






Challenges

- > 9 Categorical variables present.
- Understanding the dataset.
- Cleaning dataset.
- > Feature engineering.
- > Selecting model.
- > Getting a higher accuracy due to data leakage.




Performance Of Different Metrics





AUC_ROC Curve Comparision





Conclusion

- Random forest is the best algorithm for our model. Recall is **86%**(*meaning out of* **100** *defaulters* **86** *will be correctly caught by Random forest*)
- Support Vector Machines has the least recall score of 60%

SR.NO	CLASSFIER	ACCURACY	PRECISION	RECALL	F1 SCORE	ROC_AUC
01	LOGISTIC REGRESSION	56%	36%	60%	56%	57%
02	SVC	61%	60%	69%	64%	51%
03	DECISION TREE	61%	60%	69%	64%	51%
04	RANDOM FOREST	84%	86%	82%	84%	84%
05	XGBOOST	81%	84%	77%	80%	81%



Thank You