Big Data Analysis with Apache Spark







BerkeleyX

Review: Python Spark (pySpark)

We are using the Python programming interface to Spark (pySpark)


pySpark provides an easy-to-use programming abstraction and parallel runtime:

» "Here's an operation, run it on all of the data"

DataFrames are the key concept



Review: Spark Driver and Workers



A Spark program is two programs:

» A driver program and a workers program

Worker programs run on cluster nodes or in local threads

DataFrames are distributed across workers



Amazon S3, HDFS, or other storage

Review: Spark and SQL Contexts

- A Spark program first creates a **SparkContext** object
 - » SparkContext tells Spark how and where to access a cluster
 - » pySpark shell, Databricks CE automatically create SparkContext
 - » iPython and programs must create a new SparkContext
- The program next creates a sqlContext object
- Use **sqlContext** to create DataFrames

In the labs, we create the SparkContext and sqlContext for you

Review: <u>DataFrames</u>

The primary abstraction in Spark

- » Immutable once constructed
- » Track lineage information to efficiently recompute lost data
- » Enable operations on collection of elements in parallel

You construct DataFrames

- » by parallelizing existing Python collections (lists)
- » by transforming an existing Spark or pandas DFs
- » from files in HDFS or any other storage system



Review: DataFrames

Two types of operations: transformations and actions

Transformations are lazy (not computed immediately)

Transformed DF is executed when action runs on it

Persist (cache) DFs in memory or disk



Resilient Distributed Datasets

Untyped Spark abstraction underneath DataFrames:

- » Immutable once constructed
- » Track lineage information to efficiently recompute lost data
- » Enable operations on collection of elements in parallel

You construct RDDs

- » by parallelizing existing Python collections (lists)
- » by transforming an existing RDDs or DataFrame
- » from files in HDFS or any other storage system




http://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/api/python/pyspark.html

RDDs

Programmer specifies number of partitions for an RDD

(Default value used if unspecified)

RDD split into 5 partitions



more partitions = more parallelism



RDDs

Two types of operations: transformations and actions

Transformations are lazy (not computed immediately)

Transformed RDD is executed when action runs on it

Persist (cache) RDDs in memory or disk



When to Use DataFrames?

Need high-level transformations and actions, and want high-level control over your dataset

Have typed (structured or semi-structured) data


You want DataFrame optimization and performance benefits

- » Catalyst Optimization Engine
 - 75% reduction in execution time
- » Project Tungsten off-heap memory management
 - 75+% reduction in memory usage (less GC)




DataFrame Performance (I)

Faster than RDDs




Performance of aggregating 10 million int pairs (secs)

Benefits from Catalyst optimizer





DataFrame Performance (II)



Data set size (relative)

When to Use RDDs?

Need low-level transformations and actions, and want low-level control over your dataset

Have unstructured or schema-less data (e.g., media or text streams)

Want to manipulate your data with functional programming constructs other than domain specific expressions

You don't want the optimization and performance benefits available with DataFrames


Working with RDDs

Create an RDD from a data source:



Apply transformations to an RDD: map filter

Apply actions to an RDD: collect count



Creating an RDD

Create RDDs from Python collections (lists)

ParallelCollectionRDD[0] at parallelize at PythonRDD.scala:229

```
No computation occurs with sc.parallelize()
>>> data = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
                                 Spark only records how to create the RDD with
                                 four partitions
>>> data
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
>>> rDD = sc.parallelize(data, 4)
>>> rDD
```

Creating RDDs


From HDFS, text files, <u>Hypertable</u>, <u>Amazon S3</u>, <u>Apache Hbase</u>, SequenceFiles, any other Hadoop **InputFormat**, and directory or glob wildcard: /data/201404*

```
>>> distFile = sc.textFile("README.md", 4)
>>> distFile
MappedRDD[2] at textFile at
   NativeMethodAccessorImpl.java:-2
```



Creating an RDD from a File

distFile = sc.textFile("...", 4)



RDD distributed in 4 partitions

Elements are lines of input

Lazy evaluation means no execution happens now



Spark Transformations

Create new datasets from an existing one

Use *lazy evaluation*: results not computed right away — instead Spark remembers set of transformations applied to base dataset

- » Spark optimizes the required calculations
- » Spark recovers from failures and slow workers

Think of this as a recipe for creating result



Some Transformations

Transformation	Description
<pre>map(func)</pre>	return a new distributed dataset formed by passing each element of the source through a function func
filter(func)	return a new dataset formed by selecting those elements of the source on which <i>func</i> returns true
<pre>distinct([numTasks]))</pre>	return a new dataset that contains the distinct elements of the source dataset
<pre>flatMap(func)</pre>	similar to map, but each input item can be mapped to 0 or more output items (so <i>func</i> should return a Seq rather than a single item)



Transformations

```
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([1, 2, 3, 4])
>>> rdd.map(lambda x: x * 2)
RDD: [1, 2, 3, 4] \rightarrow [2, 4, 6, 8]
>>> rdd.filter(lambda x: x % 2 == 0)
RDD: [1, 2, 3, 4] \rightarrow [2, 4]
>>> rdd2 = sc.parallelize([1, 4, 2, 2, 3])
>>> rdd2.distinct()
RDD: [1, 4, 2, 2, 3] \rightarrow [1, 4, 2, 3]
```

Function literals (green) are closures automatically passed to workers



Transformations

```
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([1, 2, 3])
>>> rdd.Map(lambda x: [x, x+5])
RDD: [1, 2, 3] → [[1, 6], [2, 7], [3, 8]]
>>> rdd.flatMap(lambda x: [x, x+5])
RDD: [1, 2, 3] → [1, 6, 2, 7, 3, 8]
```


Function literals (green) are closures automatically passed to workers



Transforming an RDD

lines = sc.textFile("...", 4)

comments = lines.filter(isComment)



Lazy evaluation means nothing executes — Spark saves recipe for transforming source



Spark Actions

Cause Spark to execute recipe to transform source

Mechanism for getting results out of Spark



Some Actions

Action	Description
reduce(func)	aggregate dataset's elements using function func. func takes two arguments and returns one, and is commutative and associative so that it can be computed correctly in parallel
take(n)	return an array with the first n elements
collect()	return all the elements as an array WARNING: make sure will fit in driver program
<pre>takeOrdered(n, key=func)</pre>	return n elements ordered in ascending order or as specified by the optional key function



Getting Data Out of RDDs

```
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([1, 2, 3])
>>> rdd.reduce(lambda a, b: a * b)
Value: 6
>>> rdd.take(2)
Value: [1,2] # as list
>>> rdd.collect()
Value: [1,2,3] # as list
```



Getting Data Out of RDDs

```
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([5,3,1,2])
>>> rdd.takeOrdered(3, lambda s: -1 * s)
Value: [5,3,2] # as list
```



Spark Key-Value RDDs

Similar to Map Reduce, Spark supports Key-Value pairs

Each element of a Pair RDD is a pair tuple

```
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([(1, 2), (3, 4)])
RDD: [(1, 2), (3, 4)]
```



Some Key-Value Transformations

Key-Value Transformation	Description
reduceByKey(func)	return a new distributed dataset of (K,V) pairs where the values for each key are aggregated using the given reduce function <i>func</i> , which must be of type $(V,V) \rightarrow V$
<pre>sortByKey()</pre>	return a new dataset (K,V) pairs sorted by keys in ascending order
<pre>groupByKey()</pre>	return a new dataset of (K, Iterable <v>) pairs</v>



Key-Value Transformations

```
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([(1,2), (3,4), (3,6)])
>>> rdd.reduceByKey(lambda a, b: a + b)
RDD: [(1,2), (3,4), (3,6)] \rightarrow [(1,2), (3,10)]
>>> rdd2 = sc.parallelize([(1,'a'), (2,'c'), (1,'b')])
>>> rdd2.sortByKey()
RDD: [(1, 'a'), (2, 'c'), (1, 'b')] \rightarrow
              [(1,'a'), (1,'b'), (2,'c')]
```

Key-Value Transformations


Be careful using **groupByKey()** as it can cause a lot of data movement across the network and create large Iterables at workers



Spark Programming Model

```
lines = sc.textFile("...", 4)
```

print lines.count()




count() causes Spark to:

- read data
- sum within partitions
- combine sums in driver


Spark Programming Model

```
lines = sc.textFile("...", 4)
comments = lines.filter(isComment)
print lines.count(), comments.count()
```



Caching RDDs

```
lines = sc.textFile("...", 4)
lines.cache() # save, don't recompute!
comments = lines.filter(isComment)
print lines.count(),comments.count()
```



Spark Program Lifecycle with RDDs

- I. Create RDDs from external data or <u>parallelize</u> a collection in your driver program
- 2. Lazily <u>transform</u> them into new RDDs
- 3. cache() some RDDs for reuse
- 4. Perform <u>actions</u> to execute parallel computation and produce results

pySpark Closures

Driver

Executor

Executor

Executor

Executor

functions

Spark automatically creates closures for:

» Functions that run on RDDs at executors

» Any global variables used by those executors

One closure per executor

- » Sent for every task
- » No communication between executors
- » Changes to global variables at executors are not sent to driver

Consider These Use Cases

Iterative or single jobs with large global variables

- » Sending large read-only lookup table to executors
- » Sending large feature vector in a ML algorithm to executors

Counting events that occur during job execution

- » How many input lines were blank?
- » How many input records were corrupt?



Consider These Use Cases

Iterative or single jobs with large global variables

- » Sending large read-only lookup table to executors
- » Sending large feature vector in a ML algorithm to executors

Counting events that occur during job execution

- » How many input lines were blank?
- » How many input records were corrupt?

Problems:

- Closures are (re-)sent with every job
- Inefficient to send large data to each worker
- Closures are one way: driver → worker



pySpark Shared Variables

Broadcast Variables

- » Efficiently send large, *read-only* value to all executors
- » Saved at workers for use in one or more Spark operations
- » Like sending a large, read-only lookup table to all the nodes



Accumulators

- » Aggregate values from executors back to driver
- » Only driver can access value of accumulator
- » For tasks, accumulators are write-only
- » Use to count errors seen in RDD across executors





Broadcast Variables

Keep *read-only* variable cached on executors

» Ship to each worker only once instead of with each task

Example: efficiently give every executor a large dataset

Usually distributed using efficient broadcast algorithms

```
At the driver:
```

>>> broadcastVar = sc.broadcast([1, 2, 3])

At an executor (in code passed via a closure) >>> broadcastVar.value

[1, 2, 3]





Broadcast Variables Example

Expensive to send large table

Country code lookup for HAM radio call signs

```
# RDD contactCounts. We load a list of call sign
                                                     (Re-)sent for every processed file
# prefixes to country code to support this lookup
signPrefixes = loadCallSignTable()
def processSignCount(sign count, signPrefixes):
    country = lookupCountry(sign_count[0], signPrefixes)
    count = sign count[1]
    return (country, count)
countryContactCounts = (contactCounts
                         .map(processSignCount)
                         .reduceByKey((lambda x, y: x+ y))) |
```


From: http://shop.oreilly.com/product/0636920028512.do

Lookup the locations of the call signs on the

Broadcast Variables Example

Country code lookup for HAM radio call signs

```
# Lookup the locations of the call signs on the
# RDD contactCounts. We load a list of call sign
# prefixes to country code to support this lookup
                                                     Efficiently sent once to executors
signPrefixes = sc.broadcast(loadCallSignTable())
def processSignCount(sign count, signPrefixes):
    country = lookupCountry(sign_count[0], signPrefixes.value)
    count = sign count[1]
    return (country, count)
countryContactCounts = (contactCounts
                         .map(processSignCount)
                         .reduceByKey((lambda x, y: x+ y)))
```




Accumulators

Variables that can only be "added" to by associative op Used to efficiently implement parallel counters and sums

```
Only driver can read an accumulator's value, not tasks
>>> accum = sc.accumulator(0)
>>> rdd = sc.parallelize([1, 2, 3, 4])
>>> def f(x):
>>> global accum
>>> accum += x
>>> rdd.foreach(f)
>>> accum.value
```




Value: 10



Accumulators Example

Counting empty lines

```
file = sc.textFile(inputFile)
# Create Accumulator[Int] initialized to 0
blankLines = sc.accumulator(0)
def extractCallSigns(line):
    global blankLines # Make the global variable accessible
    if (line == ""):
        blankLines += 1
    return line.split(" ")
callSigns = file.flatMap(extractCallSigns)
print "Blank lines: %d" % blankLines.value
```



Accumulators

Tasks at executors cannot access accumulator's values

Tasks see accumulators as write-only variables


Accumulators can be used in actions or transformations: » Actions: each task's update to accumulator is *applied only once*

» Transformations: *no guarantees* (use only for debugging)

Types: integers, double, long, float » See lab for example of custom type




Summary



Spark automatically pushes closures to Spark executors at workers



Review: The Big Picture



What is a File?

A *file* is a named sequence of *bytes*» Typically stored as a collection of pages (or blocks)



- » Responsible for laying out those bytes on physical media
- » Stores file metadata
- » Provides an API for interaction with files

Standard operations

- open()/close()
- seek()
- read()/write()





Files: Hierarchical Namespace

On Mac and Linux, / is the root of a filesystem

On Windows, \ is the root of a filesystem

Files and and directories have associated permissions

Files are not always arranged in a hierarchically » Content-addressable storage (CAS)

- » Often used for large multimedia collections

Considerations for a File Format

Data model: tabular, hierarchical, array

Physical layout

Field units and validation

Metadata: header, side file, specification, other?

Plain text (ASCII, UTF-8, other) or binary

Delimiters and escaping

Compression, encryption, checksums?

Schema evolution

Performance!



File Performance Considerations

Read versus write performance

Plain text versus binary format

Environment: Pandas (Python) versus Scala/Java

Uncompressed versus compressed



File Performance

626 MB text file 787 MB binary file

	Read Time (Text)	Write Time (Text)	Read Time (Binary)	Write Time (Binary)
Pandas (Python)	36 secs	45 secs	**	**
Scala/Java	18 secs	21 secs	I-6* secs	I-6* secs

Read-Write Times Comparable

- ** Pandas doesn't have a binary file I/O library (Python performance depends on library you use)
- * 6 seconds is the time for sustained read/write (often faster due to system caching)



File Performance

626 MB text file 787 MB binary file

	Read Time (Text)	Write Time (Text)	Read Time (Binary)	Write Time (Binary)
Pandas (Python)	36 secs	45 secs	**	**
Scala/Java	18 secs	21 secs	1-6* secs	1-6* secs

Binary I/O much faster than text

- ** Pandas doesn't have a binary file I/O library (Python performance depends on library you use)
- * 6 seconds is the time for sustained read/write (often faster due to system caching)



Binary File	Read Time	WriteTime	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 se VVrite 1	times much	larger than read
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	423 MB	
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	1-6 secs	787 MB	
Text File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 3	25 secs	46 secs	259 MB	
Gzip level I	25 secs	33 secs	281 MB	
LZ4 fast	22 secs	24 secs	423 MB	
Raw text file	18 secs	21 secs	626 MB	

Binary File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs	Large range of	compression times
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	423 MB	
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	I-6 secs	787 MB	
Text File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 3	25 secs	46 secs	259 MB	
Gzip level I	25 secs	33 secs	281 MB	
LZ4 fast	22 secs	24 secs	423 MB	

Binary File	Read Time	WriteTime	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs	Large range of	compression times
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	423 MB	
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	I-6 secs	787 MB	
Text File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	
Text File Gzip level 6 (default)	Read Time 26 secs	Write Time 98 secs	File Size 243 MB	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 6 (default) Gzip level 3	26 secs 25 secs	98 secs 46 secs	243 MB 259 MB	

Binary File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB Sm	nall range (15%) of
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs	212 MD	mpressed file sizes
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	423 MB	
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	I-6 secs	787 MB	
Text File	Read Time	WriteTime	File Size	
Text File Gzip level 6 (default)	Read Time 26 secs	Write Time 98 secs	File Size 243 MB	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 6 (default) Gzip level 3	26 secs 25 secs	98 secs 46 secs	243 MB 259 MB	

Binary File	Read Time	WriteTime	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs	313 MB	
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	423 MB Rinary	y I/O still much
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	I-6 secs	/X/ MR	than text
Text File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	CHAIT COXC
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 3	25 secs	46 secs	259 MB	
Gzip level I	25 secs	33 secs	281 MB	
LZ4 fast	22 secs	24 secs	423 MB	
Raw text file	18 secs	21 secs	626 MB	

Binary File	ReadTime	Write Time	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs	313 MB	
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	423 MB	
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	I-6 secs	Binary I/O still m	uch
Text File	Read Time	Write Time fa	aster than text	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 3	25 secs	46 secs	259 MB	
Gzip level I	25 secs	33 secs	281 MB	
LZ4 fast	22 secs	24 secs	423 MB	
Raw text file	18 secs	21 secs	626 MB	

Binary File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs	286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs	313 MB	
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs	328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs	71 compressio	n ~ row I/O spood
Raw binary file	I-6 secs	I-6 secs	Z 4 Compressio	n ≈ raw I/O speed
Text File	Read Time	Write Time	File Size	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs	243 MB	
Gzip level 3	25 secs	46 secs	259 MB	
Gzip level I	25 secs	33 secs	281 MB	
LZ4 fast	22 secs	24 secs	423 MB	
Raw text file	18 secs	21 secs	626 MB	

Binary File	Read Time	WriteTime		File Size	Scala/Java language
Gzip level 6 (Java default)	4 secs	75 secs		286 MB	
Gzip level 3	4 secs	20 secs		313 MB	
Gzip level I	4 secs	14 secs		328 MB	
LZ4 fast	2 secs	4 secs		100 110	
Raw binary file	1-6 secs	1-6 secs	LZ4	1 compression	\approx raw I/O speed
Text File	Read Time	WriteTime		File Size	
Gzip level 6 (default)	26 secs	98 secs		243 MB	
Gzip level 3	25 secs	46 secs		259 MB	
Gzip level I	25 secs	33 secs		281 MB	
LZ4 fast	22 secs	24 secs		423 MB	
Raw text file	18 secs	21 secs		626 MB	

File Performance - Summary

- Uncompressed read and write times are comparable
- Binary I/O is much faster than text I/O
- Compressed reads much faster than compressed writes
 - » LZ4 is better than gzip
 - » LZ4 compression times approach raw I/O times



Lab: Text Analysis and Entity Resolution

Entity Resolution (ER) or Record linkage:

- » Common, yet difficult problem in data cleaning and integration
- » ER is term used by statisticians, epidemiologists, and historians
- » Describes process of joining records from one data source with those from another dataset that describe same entity (e.g., data files, books, websites, databases)

A dataset that has undergone ER is referred to as being cross-linked

Entity Resolution

Use ER when joining datasets with entities that do not share a common identifier (e.g., DB key, URI, ID number)

» Also, when they do share common ID, but have differences, such as record shape, storage location, and/or curator style



Entity Resolution Lab

Web scrape of Google Shopping and Amazon product listings

Google listing:

» clickart 950000 - premier image pack (dvd-rom) massive collection of images & fonts for all your design needs ondvd-rom!product informationinspire your creativity and perfect any creative project with thousands ofworld-class images in virtually every style. plus clickart 950000 makes iteasy for ...

Amazon listing:

» clickart 950 000 - premier image pack (dvd-rom)

Visually, we see these listings are the same product

How to algorithmically decide?



Model and Algorithm

Model ER as Text Similarity

- » We will use a weighted bag-of-words comparison
 - Some tokens (words) are more important than others
 - Sum up the weights of tokens in each document



Model and Algorithm

Model ER as Text Similarity

- » We will use a weighted bag-of-words comparison
 - Some tokens (words) are more important than others
 - Sum up the weights of tokens in each document

How to assign weights to tokens?

» <u>Term-Frequency/Inverse-Document-Frequency</u> or *TF-IDF* for short



TF-IDF

Term-Frequency

- » Rewards tokens that appear many times in the same document
 - = (# times token appears)/(total # of tokens in doc)



TF-IDF

Term-Frequency

- » Rewards tokens that appear many times in the same document
 - = (# times token appears)/(total # of tokens in doc)

Inverse-Document-Frequency

- » rewards tokens that are rare overall in a dataset
 - = (total # of docs)/(#of docs containing token)



TF-IDF

Term-Frequency

- » Rewards tokens that appear many times in the same document
 - = (# times token appears)/(total # of tokens in doc)

Inverse-Document-Frequency

- » rewards tokens that are rare overall in a dataset
 - = (total # of docs)/(#of docs containing token)

Total *TF-IDF* value for a *token*

- » Product of its TF and IDF values for each doc
- » Need to remove *stopwords* (a, the, ...) from docs



Token Vectors

Formal method for text similarity (string distance metric):

» Treat each document as a vector in high dimensional space

Each unique token is a dimension

» Token weights are magnitudes in their respective token dimensions

Simple count-based vector example

- » Document: "Hello, world! Goodbye, world!"
- » Vector:

Token	hello	goodbye	world
Count	1	1	2



Cosine Similarity

Compare two docs by computing cosine of angle between vectors

Small angle (large cosine) means docs share many tokens in common

Large angle (small cosine) means they have few words in common

