Owner Gavin Bierman Contributing Sponsoring *Type* Feature Developers' Guide Scope SE **Vulnerabilities** Status Closed / Delivered JDK GA/EA Builds Mailing lists Release 16 Wiki · IRC Component specification/language Bylaws · Census Discussion amber dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net Legal Relates to JEP 360: Sealed Classes (Preview) Workshop JEP 409: Sealed Classes **JEP Process** Reviewed by Alex Buckley, Brian Goetz Source code Mercurial Endorsed by Brian Goetz GitHub Created 2020/06/08 16:26 Tools Updated 2022/03/11 20:15 jtreg harness Issue 8246775 Groups (overview) Summary Adoption Build Enhance the Java programming language with sealed classes and interfaces. Client Libraries Compatibility & Sealed classes and interfaces restrict which other classes or interfaces may extend Specification Review or implement them. This is a preview language feature in JDK 16. Compiler Conformance Core Libraries History **Governing Board** HotSpot Sealed Classes were proposed by JEP 360 and delivered in JDK 15 as a preview **IDE Tooling & Support** feature. Internationalization JMX This JEP proposes to re-preview the feature in JDK 16, with the following Members Networking refinements: Porters Quality Specify the notion of a contextual keyword, superseding the prior notions Security of restricted identifier and restricted keyword in the JLS. Introduce the Serviceability Vulnerability character sequences sealed, non-sealed, and permits as contextual Web keywords. **Projects** (overview, archive) As with anonymous classes and lambda expressions, local classes may not Amber Babylon be subclasses of sealed classes when determining the implicitly declared CRaC permitted subclasses of a sealed class or sealed interface. Caciocavallo Closures Enhance narrowing reference conversion to perform stricter checking of Code Tools Coin cast conversions with respect to sealed type hierarchies. Common VM Interface Compiler Grammar Goals Detroit Developers' Guide Allow the author of a class or interface to control which code is responsible Device I/O for implementing it. Duke Galahad Graal Provide a more declarative way than access modifiers to restrict the use of IcedTea a superclass. IDK 7 IDK 8 Support future directions in pattern matching by providing a foundation for **IDK 8 Updates** IDK 9 the exhaustive analysis of patterns. JDK (..., 21, 22, 23) JDK Updates **Non-Goals** JavaDoc.Next Jigsaw Kona It is not a goal to provide new forms of access control such as "friends". Kulla Lambda It is not a goal to change final in any way. Lanai Leyden Lilliput **Motivation** Locale Enhancement Loom The object-oriented data model of inheritance hierarchies of classes and interfaces Memory Model Update has proven to be highly effective in modeling the real-world data processed by Metropolis modern applications. This expressiveness is an important aspect of the Java Mission Control Multi-Language VM language. Nashorn New I/O There are, however, cases where such expressiveness can usefully be tamed. For OpenJFX example, Java supports enum classes to model the situation where a given class Panama Penrose has only a fixed number of instances. In the following code, an enum class lists a Port: AArch32 fixed set of planets. They are the only values of the class, therefore you can switch Port: AArch64 Port: BSD over them exhaustively — without having to write a default clause: Port: Haiku Port: Mac OS X enum Planet { MERCURY, VENUS, EARTH } Port: MIPS Port: Mobile Port: PowerPC/AIX Planet $p = \dots$ Port: RISC-V switch (p) { Port: s390x Portola case MERCURY: ... SCTP case VENUS: ... Shenandoah Skara case EARTH: ... Sumatra **Tiered Attribution** Tsan Using enum classes to model fixed sets of values is often helpful, but sometimes Type Annotations Valhalla we want to model a fixed set of kinds of values. We can do this by using a class Verona hierarchy not as a mechanism for code inheritance and reuse but, rather, as a way VisualVM Wakefield to list kinds of values. Building on our planetary example, we might might model Zero the kinds of values in the astronomical domain as follows: ZGC ORACLE interface Celestial { ... } final class Planet implements Celestial { ... } final class Star implements Celestial { ... } final class Comet implements Celestial { ... } This hierarchy does not, however, reflect the important domain knowledge that there are only three kinds of celestial objects in our model. In these situations, restricting the set of subclasses or subinterfaces can streamline the modeling. Consider another example: In a graphics library, the author of a class Shape may intend that only particular classes can extend Shape, since much of the library's work involves handling each kind of shape in the appropriate way. The author is interested in the clarity of code that handles known subclasses of Shape, and not interested in writing code to defend against unknown subclasses of Shape. Allowing arbitrary classes to extend Shape, and thus inherit its code for reuse, is not a goal in this case. Unfortunately, Java assumes that code reuse is always a goal: If Shape can be extended at all, then it can be extended by any number of classes. It would be helpful to relax this assumption so that an author can declare a class hierarchy that is not open for extension by arbitrary classes. Code reuse would still be possible within such a closed class hierarchy, but not beyond. Java developers are familiar with the idea of restricting the set of subclasses because it often crops up in API design. The language provides limited tools in this area: Either make a class final, so it has zero subclasses, or make the class or its constructor package-private, so it can only have subclasses in the same package. An example of a package-private superclass appears in the JDK: package java.lang; abstract class AbstractStringBuilder { ... } public final class StringBuffer extends AbstractStringBuilder { ... } public final class StringBuilder extends AbstractStringBuilder { ... } The package-private approach is useful when the goal is code reuse, such as having the subclasses of AbstractStringBuilder share its code for append. However, the approach is useless when the goal is modeling alternatives, since user code cannot access the key abstraction — the superclass — in order to switch over it. Allowing users to access the superclass without also allowing them to extend it cannot be easily specified without resorting to brittle tricks involving nonpublic constructors — which do not work for interfaces. In a graphics library that declares Shape and its subclasses, it would be unfortunate if only one package could access Shape. In summary, it should be possible for a superclass to be widely *accessible* (since it represents an important abstraction for users) but not widely extensible (since its subclasses should be restricted to those known to the author). Such a superclass should be able to express that it is co-developed with a given set of subclasses, both to document intent for the reader and to allow enforcement by the Java compiler. At the same time, the superclass should not unduly constrain its subclasses by, e.g., forcing them to be final or preventing them from defining their own state. Description A sealed class or interface can be extended or implemented only by those classes and interfaces permitted to do so. A class is sealed by applying the sealed modifier to its declaration. Then, after any extends and implements clauses, the permits clause specifies the classes that are permitted to extend the sealed class. For example, the following declaration of Shape specifies three permitted subclasses: package com.example.geometry; public abstract sealed class Shape permits Circle, Rectangle, Square { ... } The classes specified by permits must be located near the superclass: either in the same module (if the superclass is in a named module) or in the same package (if the superclass is in the unnamed module). For example, in the following declaration of Shape, its permitted subclasses are all located in different packages of the same named module: package com.example.geometry; public abstract sealed class Shape permits com.example.polar.Circle, com.example.quad.Rectangle, com.example.quad.simple.Square { ... } When the permitted subclasses are small in size and number, it may be convenient to declare them in the same source file as the sealed class. When they are declared in this way, the sealed class may omit the permits clause, and the Java compiler will infer the permitted subclasses from the declarations in the source file. (The subclasses may be auxiliary or nested classes.) For example, if the following code is found in Shape. java, then the sealed class Shape is inferred to have three permitted subclasses: package com.example.geometry; abstract sealed class Shape { ... } ... class Circle extends Shape { ... } ... class Rectangle extends Shape { ... } ... class Square extends Shape { ... } Sealing a class restricts its subclasses. User code can inspect an instance of a sealed class with an if-else chain of instanceof tests, one test per subclass; no catch-all else clause is needed. For example, the following code looks for the three permitted subclasses of Shape: Shape rotate(Shape shape, double angle) { if (shape instanceof Circle) return shape; else if (shape instanceof Rectangle) return shape.rotate(angle); else if (shape instanceof Square) return shape.rotate(angle); // no else needed! } A sealed class imposes three constraints on its permitted subclasses: 1. The sealed class and its permitted subclasses must belong to the same module, and, if declared in an unnamed module, to the same package. 2. Every permitted subclass must directly extend the sealed class. 3. Every permitted subclass must use a modifier to describe how it propagates the sealing initiated by its superclass: A permitted subclass may be declared final to prevent its part of the class hierarchy from being extended further. (Record classes (JEP 395) are implicitly declared final.) A permitted subclass may be declared sealed to allow its part of the hierarchy to be extended further than envisaged by its sealed superclass, but in a restricted fashion. A permitted subclass may be declared non-sealed so that its part of the hierarchy reverts to being open for extension by unknown subclasses. (A sealed class cannot prevent its permitted subclasses from doing this.) As an example of the third constraint, Circle may be final while Rectangle is sealed and Square is non-sealed: package com.example.geometry; public abstract sealed class Shape permits Circle, Rectangle, Square { ... } public final class Circle extends Shape { ... } public sealed class Rectangle extends Shape permits TransparentRectangle, FilledRectangle { ... } public final class TransparentRectangle extends Rectangle { ... } public final class FilledRectangle extends Rectangle { ... } public non-sealed class Square extends Shape { ... } Exactly one of the modifiers final, sealed, and non-sealed must be used by each permitted subclass. It is not possible for a class to be both sealed (implying subclasses) and final (implying no subclasses), or both non-sealed (implying subclasses) and final (implying no subclasses), or both sealed (implying restricted subclasses) and non-sealed (implying unrestricted subclasses). (The final modifier can be considered a strong form of sealing, where extension/implementation is prohibited completely. That is, final is conceptually equivalent to sealed + a permits clause which specifies nothing, though such a permits clause cannot be written.) A class which is sealed or non-sealed may be abstract, and have abstract members. A sealed class may permit subclasses which are abstract, providing they are then sealed or non-sealed, rather than final. Class accessibility Because extends and permits clauses make use of class names, a permitted subclass and its sealed superclass must be accessible to each other. However, permitted subclasses need not have the same accessibility as each other, or as the sealed class. In particular, a subclass may be less accessible than the sealed class. This means that, in a future release when pattern matching is supported by switches, some code will not be able to exhaustively switch over the subclasses unless a default clause (or other total pattern) is used. Java compilers will be encouraged to detect when switch is not as exhaustive as its original author imagined it would be, and customize the error message to recommend a default clause. Sealed interfaces As for classes, an interface can be sealed by applying the sealed modifier to the interface. After any extends clause to specify superinterfaces, the implementing classes and subinterfaces are specified with a permits clause. For example, the planetary example from the introduction can be rewritten as follows: sealed interface Celestial permits Planet, Star, Comet { ... } final class Planet implements Celestial { ... } final class Star implements Celestial { ... } final class Comet implements Celestial { ... } Here is another classic example of a class hierarchy where there is a known set of subclasses: modeling mathematical expressions. package com.example.expression; public sealed interface Expr permits ConstantExpr, PlusExpr, TimesExpr, NegExpr { ... } public final class ConstantExpr implements Expr { ... } public final class PlusExpr implements Expr { ... } public final class TimesExpr implements Expr { ... } public final class NegExpr implements Expr { ... } Sealing and record classes Sealed classes work well with record classes (JEP 395). Record classes are implicitly final, so a sealed hierarchy of record classes is slightly more concise than the example above: package com.example.expression; public sealed interface Expr permits ConstantExpr, PlusExpr, TimesExpr, NegExpr { ... } public record ConstantExpr(int i) implements Expr { ... } public record PlusExpr(Expr a, Expr b) implements Expr { ... } public record TimesExpr(Expr a, Expr b) implements Expr { ... } public record NegExpr(Expr e) implements Expr { ... } The combination of sealed classes and record classes is sometimes referred to as algebraic data types: Record classes allow us to express product types, and sealed classes allow us to express *sum types*. Sealed classes and conversions A cast expression converts a value to a type. A type instanceof expression tests a value against a type. Java is extremely permissive about the types that are allowed in these kinds of expressions. For example: interface I {} class C {} // does not implement I void test (C c) { if (c instanceof I) System.out.println("It's an I"); } This program is legal even though it is currently not possible for a C object to implement the interface I. Of course, as the program evolves, it might be: class B extends C implements I {} test(new B()); // Prints "It's an I" The type conversion rules capture a notion of open extensibility. The Java type system does not assume a closed world. Classes and interfaces can be extended at some future time, and casting conversions compile to runtime tests, so we can safely be flexible. However, at the other end of the spectrum the conversion rules do address the case where a class can definitely not be extended, i.e., when it is a final class. interface I {} final class C {} void test (C c) { if (c instanceof I) System.out.println("It's an I"); } The method test fails to compile, since the compiler knows that there can be no subclass of C, so since C does not implement I then it is never possible for a C value to implement I. This is a compile-time error. What if C is not final, but sealed? Its direct subclasses are explicitly enumerated, and — by the definition of being sealed — in the same module, so we expect the compiler to look to see if it can spot a similar compile-time error. Consider the following code: interface I {} sealed class C permits D {} final class D extends C {} void test (C c) { if (c instanceof I) System.out.println("It's an I"); } Class C does not implement I, and is not final, so by the existing rules we might conclude that a conversion is possible. C is sealed, however, and there is one permitted direct subclass of C, namely D. By the definition of sealed types, D must be either final, sealed, or non-sealed. In this example, all the direct subclasses of C are final and do not implement I. This program should therefore be rejected, since there cannot be a subtype of C that implements I. In contrast, consider a similar program where one of the direct subclasses of the sealed class is non-sealed: interface I {} sealed class C permits D, E {} non-sealed class D extends C {} final class E extends C {} void test (C c) { if (c instanceof I) System.out.println("It's an I"); } This is type-correct, since it is possible for a subtype of the non-sealed type D to implement I. This JEP will extend the definition of narrowing reference conversion to navigate sealed hierarchies to determine at compile time which conversions are not possible. Sealed classes in the JDK An example of how sealed classes might be used in the JDK is in the java.lang.constant package that models descriptors for JVM entities: package java.lang.constant; public sealed interface ConstantDesc permits String, Integer, Float, Long, Double, ClassDesc, MethodTypeDesc, DynamicConstantDesc { ... } // ClassDesc is designed for subclassing by JDK classes only public sealed interface ClassDesc extends ConstantDesc permits PrimitiveClassDescImpl, ReferenceClassDescImpl { ... } final class PrimitiveClassDescImpl implements ClassDesc { ... } final class ReferenceClassDescImpl implements ClassDesc { ... } // MethodTypeDesc is designed for subclassing by JDK classes only public sealed interface MethodTypeDesc extends ConstantDesc permits MethodTypeDescImpl { ... } final class MethodTypeDescImpl implements MethodTypeDesc { ... } // DynamicConstantDesc is designed for subclassing by user code public non-sealed abstract class DynamicConstantDesc implements ConstantDesc { ... } Sealed classes and pattern matching A significant benefit of sealed classes will be realized in a future release in conjunction with pattern matching. Instead of inspecting an instance of a sealed class with if-else chains, user code will be able to use a switch enhanced with type test patterns. This will allow the Java compiler to check that the patterns are exhaustive. For example, consider this code from earlier: Shape rotate(Shape shape, double angle) { if (shape instanceof Circle) return shape; else if (shape instanceof Rectangle) return shape.rotate(angle); else if (shape instanceof Square) return shape.rotate(angle); // no else needed! } The Java compiler cannot ensure that the instanceof tests cover all the permitted subclasses of Shape. For example, no compile-time error message would be issued if the instanceof Rectangle test was omitted. In contrast, in the following code that uses a pattern matching switch expression, the compiler can confirm that every permitted subclass of Shape is covered, so no default clause (or other total pattern) is needed. The compiler will, moreover, issue an error message if any of the three cases are missing: Shape rotate(Shape shape, double angle) { return switch (shape) { // pattern matching switch case Circle c -> c; case Rectangle r -> r.rotate(angle); case Square s -> s.rotate(angle); // no default needed! } } Java Grammar The grammar for class declarations is amended to the following: NormalClassDeclaration: {ClassModifier} class TypeIdentifier [TypeParameters] [Superclass] [Superinterfaces] [PermittedSubclasses] ClassBody ClassModifier: (one of) Annotation public protected private abstract static sealed final non-sealed strictfp PermittedSubclasses: permits ClassTypeList ClassTypeList: ClassType {, ClassType} JVM support for sealed classes The Java Virtual Machine recognizes sealed classes and interfaces at runtime, and prevents extension by unauthorized subclasses and subinterfaces. Although sealed is a class modifier, there is no ACC_SEALED flag in the ClassFile structure. Instead, the class file of a sealed class has a PermittedSubclasses attribute which implicitly indicates the sealed modifier and explicitly specifies the permitted subclasses: PermittedSubclasses_attribute { u2 attribute_name_index; u4 attribute length; u2 number_of_classes; u2 classes[number_of_classes]; The list of permitted subclasses is mandatory. Even when the permitted subclasses are inferred by the compiler, those inferred subclasses are explicitly included in the PermittedSubclasses attribute. The class file of a permitted subclass carries no new attributes. When the JVM attempts to define a class whose superclass or superinterface has a PermittedSubclasses attribute, the class being defined must be named by the attribute. Otherwise, an IncompatibleClassChangeError is thrown. Reflection API We will add the following public methods to java.lang.Class: java.lang.Class[] getPermittedSubclasses() boolean isSealed() The method getPermittedSubclasses() returns an array containing java.lang.Class objects representing the permitted subclasses of the class, if the class is sealed. It returns an empty array if the class is not sealed. The method isSealed returns true if the given class or interface is sealed. (Compare with isEnum.) **Alternatives** Some languages have direct support for algebraic data types (ADTs), such as Haskell's data feature. It would be possible to express ADTs more directly and in a manner familiar to Java developers through a variant of the enum feature, where a sum of products could be defined in a single declaration. However, this would not support all the desired use cases, such as those where sums range over classes in more than one compilation unit, or sums that range over classes that are not products. The permits clause allows a sealed class, such as the Shape class shown earlier, to be accessible-for-invocation by code in any module, but accessible-forimplementation by code in only the same module as the sealed class (or same package if in the unnamed module). This makes the type system more expressive than the access-control system. With access control alone, if Shape is accessiblefor-invocation by code in any module (because its package is exported), then Shape is also accessible-for-implementation in any module; and if Shape is not accessible-for-implementation in any other module, then Shape is also not accessible-for-invocation in any other module. **Dependencies** Sealed classes do not depend on record classes (JEP 395) or pattern matching (JEP 394), but they work well with both.

> © 2024 Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates Terms of Use · License: GPLv2 · Privacy · Trademarks

Open **IDK**

JEP 397: Sealed Classes (Second Preview)