

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#**
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C# static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C# code

All rules 409

Vulnerability 34

Bug 76

Security Hotspot 28

Code Smell 271

Quick Fix 52

Tags

Search by name...



Serialization event handlers should be implemented correctly



Deserialization methods should be provided for "OptionalField" members



All branches in a conditional structure should not have exactly the same implementation



Types should be defined in named namespaces



Empty nullable value should not be accessed



Nullable type comparison should not be redundant



Methods with "Pure" attribute should return a value



One-way "OperationContract" methods should have "void" return type



Optional parameters should be passed to "base" calls



Classes should not have only "private" constructors



Expressions used in "Debug.Assert" should not produce side effects



Using weak hashing algorithms is security-sensitive

Analyze your code

Security Hotspot Critical cwe spring owasp sans-top25

Cryptographic hash algorithms such as MD2, MD4, MD5, MD6, HAVAL-128, HMAC-MD5, DSA (which uses SHA-1), RIPEMD, RIPEMD-128, RIPEMD-160, HMACRIPEMD160 and SHA-1 are no longer considered secure, because it is possible to have collisions (little computational effort is enough to find two or more different inputs that produce the same hash).

Ask Yourself Whether

The hashed value is used in a security context like:

- User-password storage.
- Security token generation (used to confirm e-mail when registering on a website, reset password, etc ...).
- To compute some message integrity.

There is a risk if you answered yes to any of those questions.

Recommended Secure Coding Practices

Safer alternatives, such as SHA-256, SHA-512, SHA-3 are recommended, and for password hashing, it's even better to use algorithms that do not compute too "quickly", like bcrypt, scrypt, argon2 or pbkdf2 because it slows down brute force attacks.

Sensitive Code Example

```
var hashProvider1 = new MD5CryptoServiceProvider(); // Sensitive
var hashProvider2 = (HashAlgorithm)CryptoConfig.CreateFromName("MD5");
var hashProvider3 = new SHA1Managed(); // Sensitive
var hashProvider4 = HashAlgorithm.Create("SHA1"); // Sensitive
```

Compliant Solution

```
var hashProvider1 = new SHA512Managed(); // Compliant
var hashProvider2 = (HashAlgorithm)CryptoConfig.CreateFromName("SHA512");
var hashProvider3 = HashAlgorithm.Create("SHA512Managed"); // Compliant
```

See

- [OWASP Top 10 2021 Category A2](#) - Cryptographic Failures
- [OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A3](#) - Sensitive Data Exposure
- [OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A6](#) - Security Misconfiguration
- [Mobile AppSec Verification Standard](#) - Cryptography Requirements
- [OWASP Mobile Top 10 2016 Category M5](#) - Insufficient Cryptography
- [MITRE, CWE-1240](#) - Use of a Risky Cryptographic Primitive
- [SANS Top 25](#) - Porous Defenses

Available In:

Caller information parameters should come at the end of the parameter list



Static fields should appear in the order they must be initialized



Classes directly extending "object" should not call "base" in "GetHashCode" or "Equals"



Anonymous delegates should not be used to unsubscribe from Events

