

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#**
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C# static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C# code

All rules 409

Vulnerability 34

Bug 76

Security Hotspot 28

Code Smell 271

Quick Fix 52

Tags

Search by name...

"BeginInvoke" should be paired with calls to "EndInvoke"



"Shared" parts should not be created with "new"



Getters and setters should access the expected fields



Right operands of shift operators should be integers



Shared resources should not be used for locking



Locks should be released



Using publicly writable directories is security-sensitive



Using clear-text protocols is security-sensitive



Expanding archive files without controlling resource consumption is security-sensitive



Configuring loggers is security-sensitive



Using weak hashing algorithms is security-sensitive



Disabling CSRF protections is security-sensitive

"value" parameters should be used

Analyze your code

Code Smell Blocker pitfall

In property and indexer set methods, and in event add and remove methods, the implicit value parameter holds the value the accessor was called with. Not using the value means that the accessor ignores the caller's intent which could cause unexpected results at runtime.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
private int count;
public int Count
{
    get { return count; }
    set { count = 42; } // Noncompliant
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
private int count;
public int Count
{
    get { return count; }
    set { count = value; }
}
```

or

```
public int Count
{
    get { return count; }
    set { throw new InvalidOperationException(); }
}
```

Exceptions

This rule doesn't raise an issue when the setter is empty and part of the implementation of an interface. The assumption is that this part of the interface is not meaningful to that particular implementation. A good example of that would be a "sink" logger that discards any logs.

Available In:

sonarlint | sonarcloud | sonarqube

 Security Hotspot

Using non-standard cryptographic algorithms is security-sensitive

 Security Hotspot

Using pseudorandom number generators (PRNGs) is security-sensitive

 Security Hotspot

Parameter names should match base declaration and other partial definitions

 Code Smell

"ValueTask" should be consumed

SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.
[Privacy Policy](#)