OpenJDK

Installing Contributing Sponsoring Developers' Guide Vulnerabilities JDK GA/EA Builds

Mailing lists Wiki · IRC

Bylaws · Census Legal

Workshop JEP Process

Source code Mercurial

GitHub **Tools**

Git jtreg harness

Groups (overview) Adoption

Build Client Libraries Compatibility & Specification Review Compiler

Conformance Core Libraries Governing Board HotSpot IDE Tooling & Support Internationalization

JMX Members Networking Porters Quality Security

Serviceability Vulnerability Web

Projects (overview, archive)

Amber Audio Engine CRaC Caciocavallo Closures Code Tools Coin

Common VM
Interface
Compiler Grammar
Detroit
Developers' Guide
Device I/O

Device I/O Duke Font Scaler Galahad Graal Graphics Rasterizer

IcedTea JDK 7 JDK 8 JDK 8 Updates

JDK 9 JDK (..., 21, 22) JDK Updates JavaDoc.Next

Jigsaw Kona Kulla Lambda Lanai Leyden

Lilliput Locale Enhancement Loom Memory Model

Update Metropolis Mission Control Modules Multi-Language VM Nashorn

New I/O OpenJFX Panama Penrose Port: AArch32

Port: BSD Port: Haiku Port: Mac OS X Port: MIPS

Port: AArch64

Port: Mobile Port: PowerPC/AIX Port: RISC-V Port: s390x

Portola SCTP Shenandoah Skara Sumatra Tiered Attribution

Tsan
Type Annotations
Valhalla
Verona
VisualVM
Wakefield
Zero

ZGC

ORACLE

JEP 370: Foreign-Memory Access API (Incubator)

Owner Maurizio Cimadamore

Type Feature *Scope* JDK

Status Closed / Delivered

Release 14
Component core-libs

Discussion panama dash dev at openjdk dot java dot net

Relates to JEP 383: Foreign-Memory Access API (Second Incubator)

JEP 393: Foreign-Memory Access API (Third Incubator)

Reviewed by Brian Goetz, John Rose

Endorsed by Mark Reinhold

Created 2019/07/09 15:55

Updated 2021/08/28 00:20

Issue 8227446

Summary

Introduce an API to allow Java programs to safely and efficiently access foreign memory outside of the Java heap.

Goals

The foreign memory API should satisfy the following criteria:

- Generality: The same API should be able to operate on various kinds of foreign memory (e.g., native memory, persistent memory, managed heap memory, etc.).
- Safety: It should not be possible for the API to undermine the safety of the JVM, regardless of the kind of memory being operated upon.
- Determinism: Memory deallocation operations should be explicit in the source code.

Success Metrics

The foreign memory API should be a valid alternative to the main avenues by which Java programs access foreign memory today, namely java.nio.ByteBuffer and sun.misc.Unsafe. The new API should be performance-competitive with these existing APIs.

Motivation

Many existing Java libraries and programs access foreign memory, for example Ignite, mapDB, memcached, and Netty's ByteBuf API. By doing so they can

- Avoid the cost and unpredictability associated with garbage collection (especially when maintaining large caches),
- Share memory across multiple processes, and
- Serialize and deserialize memory content by mapping files into memory (via, e.g., mmap).

Yet, the Java API does not provide a satisfactory solution for accessing foreign

The ByteBuffer API, introduced in Java 1.4, allows the creation of *direct* byte buffers, which are allocated off-heap, and allows users to manipulate off-heap memory directly from Java. Direct buffers are, however, limited. For instance, it is not possible to create a buffer that is larger than two gigabytes, since the ByteBuffer API uses an int-based indexing scheme. Moreover, working with direct buffers can be cumbersome since deallocation of the memory associated with direct buffers is left to the garbage collector; that is, only after a direct buffer is deemed unreachable by the garbage collector can the associated memory be released. Over the years many requests for enhancement have been filed in order to overcome these and other limitations (e.g., 4496703, 6558368, 4837564 and 5029431). Many of these limitations stem from the fact that the ByteBuffer API was designed not only for off-heap memory access but also for producer/consumer exchanges of bulk data which are critical to, e.g., charset encoding/decoding and partial I/O operations.

Another common avenue by which developers can access foreign memory from Java code is the sun.misc.Unsafe API. Unsafe exposes many memory access operations (e.g., Unsafe::getInt and putInt) which work for on-heap as well as off-heap access thanks to a clever and relatively general addressing model. Using Unsafe to access memory is extremely efficient: All memory access operations are defined as JVM intrinsics, so memory access operations are routinely optimized by the JIT. Unfortunately, the Unsafe API is, by definition, unsafe -- it allows access to any memory location (e.g., Unsafe::getInt takes a long address). This makes it possible for a Java program to crash the JVM if, e.g., some already-freed memory location is accessed. On top of that, the Unsafe API is not a supported Java API, and its use has always been strongly discouraged.

While using JNI to access memory is also a possibility, the inherent costs associated with this solution make it seldom applicable in practice. The overall development pipeline is complex, since JNI requires the developer to write and maintain snippets of C code. JNI is also inherently slow, since a Java-to-native transition is required for each access.

https://openjdk.org/jeps/370

In summary, when it comes to accessing foreign memory, developers are faced with a dilemma: Should they use a safe but limited (and possibly less efficient) path (e.g., ByteBuffer), or should they abandon safety guarantees and embrace the unsupported and dangerous Unsafe API?

This JEP introduces a supported, safe, and efficient foreign-memory access API. By providing a targeted solution to the problem of accessing foreign memory, developers will be freed of the limitations and dangers of existing APIs. They will also enjoy improved performance, since the new API will be designed from the ground up with JIT optimizations in mind.

Description

The foreign-memory access API introduces three main abstractions: MemorySegment, MemoryAddress and MemoryLayout.

A MemorySegment is used to model a contiguous memory region with given spatial and temporal bounds. A MemoryAddress can be thought of as an offset within a segment. Finally, a MemoryLayout is a programmatic description of a memory segment's contents.

Memory segments can be created from a variety of sources, such as native memory buffers, Java arrays, and byte buffers (either direct or heap-based). For instance, a native memory segment can be created as follows:

```
try (MemorySegment segment = MemorySegment.allocateNative(100)) {
    ...
}
```

This will create a memory segment that is associated with a native memory buffer whose size is 100 bytes.

Memory segments are *spatially bounded;* that is, they have lower and upper bounds. Any attempt to use the segment to access memory outside of these bounds will result in an exception. As evidenced by the use of the try-with-resource construct, segments are also *temporally bounded;* that is, they are created, used, and then closed when no longer in use. Closing a segment is always an explicit operation and can result in additional side effects, such as the deallocation of the memory associated with the segment. Any attempt to access an already-closed memory segment will result in an exception. Together, spatial and temporal safety checks are crucial to guarantee the safety of the memory access API and thus, e.g., the absence of hard JVM crashes.

Dereferencing the memory associated with a segment can be achieved by obtaining a *memory-access var handle*. These special var handles have at least one mandatory access coordinate, of type MemoryAddress, which is the address at which the dereference occurs. They are obtained using factory methods in the MemoryHandles class. For instance, to set the elements of a native segment we could use a memory-access var handle as follows:

Memory-access var handles can also acquire one or more extra access coordinates, of type long, to support more complex addressing schemes such as multi-dimensional indexed access. Such memory-access var handles are typically obtained by invoking one or more combinator methods, also defined in the MemoryHandles class. For instance, a more direct way to set the elements of a native segment is through an indexed memory-access handle, constructed as follows:

This effectively allows rich, multi-dimensional addressing of an otherwise flat memory buffer.

To enhance the expressiveness of the API, and to reduce the need for explicit numeric computations such as those in the above examples, the MemoryLayout API can be used to programmatically describe the content of a memory segment. For instance, the layout of the native memory segment used in the above examples can be described in the following way:

https://openjdk.org/jeps/370

This creates a *sequence* memory layout in which a given element layout (a 32-bit value) is repeated 25 times. Once we have a memory layout, we can get rid of all the manual numeric computation in our code and also simplify the creation of the required memory access var handles, as shown in the following example:

In this example, the layout instance drives the creation of the memory-access var handle through the creation of a *layout path*, which is used to select a nested layout from a complex layout expression. The layout instance also drives the allocation of the native-memory segment, which is based upon size and alignment information derived from the layout. The loop constant in the previous examples has been replaced with the sequence layout's element count.

The foreign-memory access API will initially be provided as an incubating module, named jdk.incubator.foreign, in a package of the same name.

Alternatives

Keep using existing APIs such as ByteBuffer and Unsafe or, worse, INI.

Risks and Assumptions

Creating a foreign-memory access API which is both safe and efficient is a daunting task. Since the spatial and temporal checks described in the previous sections need to be performed upon every access, it is crucial that JITs be able to optimize away these checks by, e.g., hoisting them outside of hot loops. The JIT implementations will likely require some work to ensure that uses of the memory access API are as efficient and as optimizable as uses of existing APIs such as ByteBuffer and Unsafe.

Dependencies

The API described in this JEP will likely help the development of the native interoperation support that is a goal of Project Panama. This API can also be used to access non-volatile memory, already possible via JEP 352 (Non-Volatile Mapped Byte Buffers), in a more general and efficient way.

© 2023 Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates Terms of Use · License: GPLv2 · Privacy · Trademarks

https://openjdk.org/jeps/370