36

Watch

☆ Star

The OWASP® Foundation works to

improve the security of software through

its community-led open source software

tens of thousands of members, and by

hosting local and global conferences.

Return to homepage

Top 10 Mobile Risks 2016

M1: Improper Platform Usage

M3: Insecure Communication

M4: Insecure Authentication

M5: Insufficient Cryptography

M6: Insecure Authorization

M7: Client Code Quality

M9: Reverse Engineering

November 3-7, 2025

November 2-6, 2026

M10: Extraneous Functionality

Upcoming OWASP Global Events

OWASP Global AppSec Washington DC

OWASP Global AppSec San Francisco

M8: Code Tampering

2025

2026

M2: Insecure Data Storage

projects, hundreds of chapters worldwide,

83

M5: Insufficient Cryptography

Threat Agents

Application Specific

Threat agents include the following: anyone with physical access to data that has been encrypted improperly, or mobile malware acting on an adversary's behalf.

Attack Vectors

Exploitability EASY

The attack vectors correspond to the same attack vectors available through the traditional OWASP Top Ten. Any exposed API call can serve as attack vector here.

Security Weakness

Prevalence COMMON

Detectability AVERAGE

In order to exploit this weakness, an adversary must successfully return encrypted code or sensitive data to its original unencrypted form due to weak encryption algorithms or flaws within the encryption process.

Technical Impacts

Impact SEVERE

This vulnerability will result in the unauthorized retrieval of sensitive information from the mobile device.

Business Impacts Application / Business Specific

This vulnerability can have a number of different business impacts. Typically, broken cryptography will result in the following:

- Privacy Violations;
- Information Theft;
- Code Theft;
- Intellectual Property Theft; or
- Reputational Damage.

Am I Vulnerable To 'Insufficient Cryptography'?

Insecure use of cryptography is common in most mobile apps that leverage encryption. There are two fundamental ways that broken cryptography is manifested within mobile apps. First, the mobile app may use a process behind the encryption / decryption that is fundamentally flawed and can be exploited by the adversary to decrypt sensitive data. Second, the mobile app may implement or leverage an encryption / decryption algorithm that is weak in nature and can be directly decrypted by the adversary. The following subsections explore both of these scenarios in more depth:

Reliance Upon Built-In Code Encryption Processes

By default, iOS applications are protected (in theory) from reverse engineering via code encryption. The iOS security model requires that apps be encrypted and signed by trustworthy sources in order to execute in nonjailbroken environments. Upon start-up, the iOS app loader will decrypt the app in memory and proceed to execute the code after its signature has been verified by iOS. This feature, in theory, prevents an attacker from conducting binary attacks against an iOS mobile app.

Using freely available tools like ClutchMod or GBD, an adversary will download the encrypted app onto their jailbroken device and take a snapshot of the decrypted app once the iOS loader loads it into memory and decrypts it (just before the loader kicks off execution). Once the adversary takes the snapshot and stores it on disk, the adversary can use tools like IDA Pro or Hopper to easily perform static / dynamic analysis of the app and conduct further binary attacks.

bypass any built-in code encryption offered by the underlying mobile OS. For more information about additional steps you can take to provide additional layers of reverse engineering prevention, see M9.

Bypassing built-in code encryption algorithms is trivial at best. Always assume that an adversary will be able to

Poor Key Management Processes The best algorithms don't matter if you mishandle your keys. Many make the mistake of using the correct

encryption algorithm, but implementing their own protocol for employing it. Some examples of problems here include:

- Including the keys in the same attacker-readable directory as the encrypted content; • Making the keys otherwise available to the attacker;
- Avoid the use of hardcoded keys within your binary; and
- Keys may be intercepted via binary attacks. See M10 for more information on preventing binary attacks.

There is no easier way to mishandle encryption—mobile or otherwise—than to try to create and use your own

Creation and Use of Custom Encryption Protocols

encryption algorithms or protocols.

Always use modern algorithms that are accepted as strong by the security community, and whenever possible

leverage the state of the art encryption APIs within your mobile platform. Binary attacks may result in adversary identifying the common libraries you have used along with any hardcoded keys in the binary. In cases of very high security requirements around encryption, you should strongly consider the use of whitebox cryptography. See M10 for more information on preventing binary attacks that could lead to the exploitation of common libraries. **Use of Insecure and/or Deprecated Algorithms**

Many cryptographic algorithms and protocols should not be used because they have been shown to have

significant weaknesses or are otherwise insufficient for modern security requirements. These include:

- RC2
- MD4 • MD5
- SHA1

How Do I Prevent 'Insufficient Cryptography'? It is best to do the following when handling sensitive data:

Avoid the storage of any sensitive data on a mobile device where possible.

- Apply cryptographic standards that will withstand the test of time for at least 10 years into the future; and
- Follow the NIST guidelines on recommended algorithms (see external references).

Example Attack Scenarios None

References

OWASP

- OWASP Cryptographic Storage Cheat Sheet OWASP Key Management Cheat Sheet
- External
 - NIST Encryption Guidelines
- C Edit on GitHub

BIONIC

Spotlight: Bionic

production, providing continuous visibility of risk across all application services, dependencies, and data flows in real-time. Current application security tools are looking at data privacy and application security from a vulnerability lens. Bionic looks at the problem from an architectural lens.

Bionic helps customers manage the security posture of their applications in

arnica

Corporate Supporters







GUARDSQUARE

Invicti













Become a corporate supporter

O#B@ X in □