

Search



Start here!

Go

ID Lookup:

Likelihood

Home > CWE List > CWE- Individual Dictionary Definition (4.15)

**CWE List** ▼ **Top-N Lists ▼ About** ▼ Mapping ▼ **Community ▼** Home **News** ▼

## **CWE-653: Improper Isolation or Compartmentalization**

Weakness ID: 653 **Vulnerability Mapping: ALLOWED** 

**Abstraction:** Class

View customized information:

Mapping Conceptual Operational Complete Custom Friendly

### **Description** The product does not properly compartmentalize or isolate functionality, processes, or resources that require different privilege levels, rights, or permissions.

**Extended Description** 

When a weakness occurs in functionality that is accessible by lower-privileged users, then without strong boundaries, an attack might extend the scope of the damage to higher-privileged users.

### **Alternate Terms**

**Separation of Privilege:** 

Some people and publications use the term "Separation of Privilege" to describe this weakness, but this term has dual meanings in current usage. This node conflicts with the original definition of "Separation of Privilege" by Saltzer and Schroeder; that original definition is more closely associated with CWE-654. Because there are multiple interpretations,

## **Common Consequences**

use of the "Separation of Privilege" term is discouraged.

Scope **Impact Technical Impact:** Gain Privileges or Assume Identity; Bypass Protection Mechanism

**Access Control** 

The exploitation of a weakness in low-privileged areas of the software can be leveraged to reach higher-privileged areas without

having to overcome any additional obstacles.

## **Potential Mitigations**

### **Phase: Architecture and Design**

Break up privileges between different modules, objects, or entities. Minimize the interfaces between modules and require strong access control between them.

## Relationships

## ■ Relevant to the view "Research Concepts" (CWE-1000)

Type ID **Nature** Name ChildOf IPI 693 **Protection Mechanism Failure** 657 Violation of Secure Design Principles ChildOf Improper Isolation of Shared Resources on System-on-a-Chip (SoC) ParentOf 1189 1331 Improper Isolation of Shared Resources in Network On Chip (NoC) ParentOf

### **▼** Relevant to the view "Software Development" (CWE-699) Type ID **Nature** Name

MemberOf C 1212 <u>Authorization Errors</u> ■ Relevant to the view "Architectural Concepts" (CWE-1008)

### **Modes Of Introduction**

### Phase Note

Architecture and Design COMMISSION: This weakness refers to an incorrect design related to an architectural security tactic. Implementation

## **▼** Applicable Platforms

**1** Languages

Class: Not Language-Specific (Undetermined Prevalence) **Demonstrative Examples** 

### **Example 1**

Single sign-on technology is intended to make it easier for users to access multiple resources or domains without having to authenticate each time. While this is highly convenient for the user and attempts to address problems with psychological acceptability, it also means that a compromise of a user's credentials can provide immediate access to all other resources or domains.

**Example 2** 

The traditional UNIX privilege model provides root with arbitrary access to all resources, but root is frequently the only user that has privileges. As a result, administrative tasks require root privileges, even if those tasks are limited to a small area, such as updating user manpages. Some UNIX flavors have a "bin" user that is the owner of system executables, but since root relies on executables owned by bin, a compromise of the bin account can be leveraged for root privileges by modifying a bin-owned executable, such as CVE-2007-4238.

## **Observed Examples**

### **Description** Reference

CVE-2021-33096 Improper isolation of shared resource in a network-on-chip leads to denial of service CVE-2019-6260

Baseboard Management Controller (BMC) device implements Advanced High-performance Bus (AHB) bridges that do not require authentication for arbitrary read and write access to the BMC's physical address space from the host, and possibly the network [REF-1138].

## Weakness Ordinalities

### **Ordinality Description** Primary (where the weakness exists independent of other weaknesses)

**Detection Methods** 

# **Automated Static Analysis - Binary or Bytecode**

# According to SOAR, the following detection techniques may be useful:

Cost effective for partial coverage:

• Compare binary / bytecode to application permission manifest

**Effectiveness: SOAR Partial** 

# **Manual Static Analysis - Source Code**

Cost effective for partial coverage:

According to SOAR, the following detection techniques may be useful: Highly cost effective:

Manual Source Code Review (not inspections)

• Focused Manual Spotcheck - Focused manual analysis of source

# **Effectiveness: High**

# **Architecture or Design Review**

According to SOAR, the following detection techniques may be useful: Highly cost effective:

Inspection (IEEE 1028 standard) (can apply to requirements, design, source code, etc.)

- Formal Methods / Correct-By-Construction
- Cost effective for partial coverage:

Attack Modeling

**Effectiveness: High** 

### **Memberships** Naturo

	Mature	Type	ID	Name	
	MemberOf	C	901	SFP Primary Cluster: Privilege	
	MemberOf	C	1348	OWASP Top Ten 2021 Category A04:2021 - Insecure Design	
	MemberOf	C	1418	Comprehensive Categorization: Violation of Secure Design Principles	
Vul	Vulnerability Mapping Notes				

# **Usage: ALLOWED** (this CWE ID could be used to map to real-world vulnerabilities)

**Reason:** Acceptable-Use

# Rationale:

# This CWE entry is at the Base level of abstraction, which is a preferred level of abstraction for mapping to the root causes of vulnerabilities.

**Comments:** 

Carefully read both the name and description to ensure that this mapping is an appropriate fit. Do not try to 'force' a mapping to a lower-level Base/Variant simply to comply with this preferred level of abstraction.

## Relationship

**Notes** 

### There is a close association with CWE-250 (Execution with Unnecessary Privileges). CWE-653 is about providing separate components for each "privilege"; <u>CWE-250</u> is about ensuring that each component has the least amount of privileges possible. In this fashion, compartmentalization becomes one

mechanism for reducing privileges. **Terminology** The term "Separation of Privilege" is used in several different ways in the industry, but they generally combine two closely related principles: compartmentalization (this node) and using only one factor in a security decision (CWE-654). Proper compartmentalization implicitly introduces multiple

factors into a security decision, but there can be cases in which multiple factors are required for authentication or other mechanisms that do not involve compartmentalization, such as performing all required checks on a submitted certificate. It is likely that CWE-653 and CWE-654 will provoke further discussion. References

[REF-196] Jerome H. Saltzer and Michael D. Schroeder. "The Protection of Information in Computer Systems". Proceedings of the IEEE 63. 1975-09. <a href="http://web.mit.edu/Saltzer/www/publications/protection/">http://web.mit.edu/Saltzer/www/publications/protection/</a>. [REF-535] Sean Barnum and Michael Gegick. "Separation of Privilege". 2005-12-06.

[REF-1138] Stewart Smith. "CVE-2019-6260: Gaining control of BMC from the host processor". 2019. <a href="https://www.flamingspork.com/blog/2019/01/23/cve-">https://www.flamingspork.com/blog/2019/01/23/cve-</a> 2019-6260:-gaining-control-of-bmc-from-the-host-processor/>.

<a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20220126060047/https://www.cisa.gov/uscert/bsi/articles/knowledge/principles/separation-of-privilege">https://web.archive.org/web/20220126060047/https://www.cisa.gov/uscert/bsi/articles/knowledge/principles/separation-of-privilege</a>. URL validated:

## **Content History ▼ Submissions**

2023-04-07.

**Submitter Submission Date Organization** 2008-01-18 **Purdue University** Pascal Meunier (CWE Draft 8, 2008-01-30) **Modifications Previous Entry Names** Page Last Updated: July 16, 2024