

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  C++
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



## C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped\_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

"for" loop stop conditions should be invariant

Analyze your code

 Code Smell  Major  based-on-misra pitfall

A `for` loop stop condition should test the loop counter against an invariant value (i.e. one that is true at both the beginning and ending of every loop iteration). Ideally, this means that the stop condition is set to a local variable just before the loop begins.

Stop conditions that are not invariant are slightly less efficient, as well as being difficult to understand and maintain, and likely lead to the introduction of errors in the future.

This rule tracks three types of non-invariant stop conditions:

- When the loop counters are updated in the body of the `for` loop
- When the stop condition depend upon a method call
- When the stop condition depends on an object property, since such properties could change during the execution of the loop.

### Noncompliant Code Example

```
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
    ...  
    i = i - 1; // Noncompliant  
    ...  
}  
  
for (int i = 0; i < getMaximumNumber(); i++) { // Noncompliant  
}
```

### Compliant Solution

```
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
    ...  
}  
int stopCondition = getMaximumNumber();  
for (int i = 0; i < stopCondition; i++) {  
}
```

### See

- MISRA C:2004, 13.6 - Numeric variables being used within a *for* loop for iteration counting shall not be modified in the body of the loop.
- MISRA C++:2008, 6-5-3 - The *loop-counter* shall not be modified within *condition* or *statement*.

Available In:

sonarlint  | sonarcloud  | sonarqube  Developer Edition

 Bug
<b>"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused</b>  Bug
<b>A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition</b>  Bug
<b>A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast</b>  Bug
<b>Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return</b>  Bug
<b>RAII objects should not be temporary</b>  Bug
<b>"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding</b>  Bug
<b>"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types</b>  Bug
<b>"std::auto_ptr" should not be used</b>  Bug
<b>Destructors should be "noexcept"</b>  Bug