

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

Vulnerability 13

Bug 111

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 436

Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

Bug

Objects should not be sliced

Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Use "make_unique" and "make_shared" to construct "unique_ptr" and "shared_ptr"

Analyze your code

Code Smell

Major

Quick Fix

cppcoreguidelines since-c++11

Prefer `make_unique` and `make_shared` over explicitly calling the constructor of `unique_ptr` and `shared_ptr`, they are more concise since they don't require specifying the type multiple times and they eliminate the need to use `new`!

Exception-Safety

While `make_unique` and `make_shared` are exception-safe, complex construction of `unique_ptr` and `shared_ptr` might not be.

This is because C++ allows arbitrary order of evaluation of subexpressions.

Consider this example:

```
f(unique_ptr<Lhs>(new Lhs()), throwingFunction());
```

This scenario can happen:

- Memory is allocated for `Lhs`
- `Lhs` object is constructed
- `throwingFunction` is called before the `unique_ptr` construction
- `throwingFunction` throws an exception.
- The constructed `Lhs` object is leaked since the `unique_ptr` isn't constructed yet

Note: This scenario can only happen before C++17. the new standard states that each argument needs to be fully evaluated before the evaluation of the other arguments. In this case, the explicit construction of `unique_ptr` and `shared_ptr` is exception-safe.

Performance

While `make_uniqe()` doesn't have an impact on performance, `make_shared()` does.

`make_shared()` performs one heap-allocation. While constructing `shared_ptr()` explicitly will require two: one for the object being managed and the other for the control block that stores data about the ref-counts and the `shared_ptr()` deleter.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
std::unique_ptr<MyClass> uniqueP(new MyClass(42)); // Noncomp
std::shared_ptr<MyClass> sharedP(new MyClass(42)); // Noncomp
```

Compliant Solution

```
auto uniqueP = std::make_unique<MyClass>(42);
auto sharedP = std::make_shared<MyClass>(42);
std::unique_ptr<std::FILE, std::function<void(std::FILE*)>> f(
    fopen("example.txt", "r"),
    [](FILE* inFile) { fclose(inFile); }); // compliant: custom
```

Exceptions

This rule ignores code that uses features not supported by `make_shared` or `make_unique`:

- `make_shared` and `make_unique`: using custom deleters,

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

- `make_shared` and `make_unique`: calling placement-new, i.e. version of `new` with arguments, like `new(std::nothrow)`
- `make_shared` only: using operator `new` provided by class
- `make_shared` before C++20: allocating arrays

See

- [C++ Core Guidelines C.150](#) - Use `make_unique()` to construct objects owned by `unique_ptr`s
- [C++ Core Guidelines C.151](#) - Use `make_shared()` to construct objects owned by `shared_ptr`s

Available In:

 |  |  Developer Edition