

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C**
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



## C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C code

All rules **311**

Vulnerability **13**

Bug **74**

Security Hotspot **18**

Code Smell **206**

Quick Fix **14**

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

Bug

"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

Bug

### Setting capabilities is security-sensitive

Analyze your code

Security Hotspot Major cwe owasp

Setting capabilities can lead to privilege escalation.

Linux capabilities allow you to assign narrow slices of root's permissions to files or processes. A thread with capabilities bypasses the normal kernel security checks to execute high-privilege actions such as mounting a device to a directory, without requiring (additional) root privileges.

#### Ask Yourself Whether

Capabilities are granted:

- To a process that does not require all capabilities to do its job.
- To a not trusted process.

There is a risk if you answered yes to any of those questions.

#### Recommended Secure Coding Practices

Capabilities are high privileges, traditionally associated with superuser (root), thus make sure that the most restrictive and necessary capabilities are assigned to files and processes.

#### Sensitive Code Example

When setting capabilities:

```
cap_t caps = cap_init();
cap_value_t cap_list[2];
cap_list[0] = CAP_FOWNER;
cap_list[1] = CAP_CHOWN;
cap_set_flag(caps, CAP_PERMITTED, 2, cap_list, CAP_SET);

cap_set_file("file", caps); // Sensitive
cap_set_fd(fd, caps); // Sensitive
cap_set_proc(caps); // Sensitive
capsetp(pid, caps); // Sensitive
capset(hdrp, datap); // Sensitive: is discouraged to be used
```

When setting SUID/SGID attributes:

```
chmod("file", S_ISUID|S_ISGID); // Sensitive
fchmod(fd, S_ISUID|S_ISGID); // Sensitive
```

#### See

- [OWASP Top 10 2021 Category A1](#) - Broken Access Control
- [OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A5](#) - Broken Access Control
- [MITRE, CWE-250](#) - Execution with Unnecessary Privileges
- [MITRE, CWE-266](#) - Incorrect Privilege Assignment
- [False Boundaries and Arbitrary Code Execution](#)
- [Linux manual page](#) - capabilities(7)

**Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed**

 Bug

**Closed resources should not be accessed**

 Bug

**Dynamically allocated memory should be released**

 Bug

**Freed memory should not be used**

Available In:

**sonarcloud**  | **sonarqube**  Developer Edition

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.  
[Privacy Policy](#)