

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

Vulnerability 13

Bug 111

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 436

Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

Bug

Objects should not be sliced

Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Member data should be initialized in-class or in a constructor initialization list

Analyze your code

Code Smell Major ? cppcoreguidelines performance

There are three ways to initialize a non-static data member in a class:

- With an in-class initializer (since C++11)
- In the initialization list of a constructor
- In the constructor body

You should use those methods in that order of preference. When applicable, in-class initializers are best, because they apply automatically to all constructors of the class (except for default copy/move constructors and constructors where an explicit initialization for this member is provided). But they can only be used for initialization with constant values.

If your member value depends on a parameter, you can initialize it in the constructor's initialization list. If the initialization is complex, you can define a function to compute the value, and use this function in the initializer list.

Initialization in the constructor body has several issues. First, it's not an initialization, but an assignment. Which means it will not work with all data types (const-qualified members, members of reference type, member of a type without default constructor...). And even if it works, the member will first be initialized, then assigned to, which means useless operations will take place. To prevent "use-before-set" errors, it's better to immediately initialize the member with its real value.

It's hard to find a good example where setting the value of a member in the constructor would be appropriate. One case might be when you assign to several data members in one operation. As a consequence constructor bodies are empty in many situations.

This rules raises an issue in two conditions:











- When you assign a value to a member variable in the body of a constructor.
- When you default-initialize in an initializer list a member variable, that would be value-initialized by default
- For C++11 or later, when you initialize a member variable in the initializer list of a constructor, but could have done so directly in the class:
 - The variable has either no in-class initializer, or an in-class initializer with the same value as in the constructor
 - The initial value does not depend on a constructor parameter

Noncompliant Code Example

```
class S {
    int i1;
    int i2;
    int i3;
public:
    S( int halfValue, int i2 = 0 ) : i2(i2), i3(42) { // Noncomp
        this->i1 = 2*halfValue;
    }
};
```

Compliant Solution

```
class S {
    int i1;
    int i2;
    int i3 = 42; // In-class initializer
public:
    S( int halfValue, int i2 = 0 ) : i1(2*halfValue), i2(i2) {}
```

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

```
} ;
```

See

- [C++ Core Guidelines C.48](#) - Prefer in-class initializers to member initializers in constructors for constant initializers
- [C++ Core Guidelines C.49](#) - Prefer initialization to assignment in constructors

Available In:

 |  |  Developer Edition