


-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  **C++**
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML




## C++ static code analysis


Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code


All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped\_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

### Unused function parameters should be removed

Analyze your code

 Code Smell  Major  based-on-misra cert unused

Unused parameters are misleading. Whatever the values passed to such parameters, the behavior will be the same.

There are some cases when you want to have an unused parameter (usually because the function has to conform to a fixed prototype, because it is virtual or it is going to be called from a template). In this case, and if the parameter is never used, an accepted practice is to leave it unnamed. If it is only sometimes used (for instance, depending on conditional compilation), you may, since C++17, use the `[[maybe_unused]]` attribute to be explicit about it.

```
void f([[maybe_unused]] int i) {
    assert(i < 42); // In optimized mode, this assert will be removed
}
```

In case of Objective-C it is acceptable to have unused parameters if the method is supposed to be overridden.

#### Noncompliant Code Example

```
void doSomething(int a, int b) { // Noncompliant, "b" is unused
    compute(a);
}
```

#### Compliant Solution

```
void doSomething(int a) {
    compute(a);
}
```

#### See

- MISRA C++:2008, 0-1-11 - There shall be no unused parameters (named or unnamed) in nonvirtual functions.
- MISRA C:2012, 2.7 - There should be no unused parameters in functions
- [CERT, MSC12-C](#) - Detect and remove code that has no effect or is never executed
- [C++ Core Guidelines - F.9](#) - Unused parameters should be unnamed

Available In:

 |  |  Developer Edition

 Bug
<b>"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused</b>  Bug
<b>A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition</b>  Bug
<b>A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast</b>  Bug
<b>Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return</b>  Bug
<b>RAII objects should not be temporary</b>  Bug
<b>"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding</b>  Bug
<b>"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types</b>  Bug
<b>"std::auto_ptr" should not be used</b>  Bug
<b>Destructors should be "noexcept"</b>  Bug