

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

Vulnerability 13

Bug 111

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 436

Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

Bug

Objects should not be sliced

Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

[[nodiscard]] should be used when the return value of a function should not be ignored

Analyze your code

Code Smell Major since-c++17 suspicious

C++17 introduced `[[nodiscard]]` attribute. When you declare a function `[[nodiscard]]`, you indicate that its return value should not be ignored. This can help prevent bugs related to:

- Memory leak, in case the function returns a pointer to unmanaged memory
- Performance, in case the discarded value is costly to construct
- Security, in case the return value indicates an error condition that needs to be taken into account

If the return value is ignored, the compiler is encouraged to issue a warning. Also, our analyzer will raise an issue, see `{rule:cpp:S5277}`.

Note that you can declare an enumeration or class `nodiscard`. In that case, the compiler will warn if the ignored value is coming from a function that returns a `nodiscard` enumeration or class by value.

This rule will suggest adding the `[[nodiscard]]` attribute to functions with no side effects that return a value.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
struct A {
    std::string name;
    std::string& getName() { return name;} // Noncompliant
    std::string const& getName() const {return name;} // Noncompliant
};

int sum(int x, int y) { // Noncompliant
    return x + y;
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
struct A {
    std::string name;
    [[nodiscard]] std::string& getName() { return name;} // Compliant
    [[nodiscard]] std::string const& getName() const {return name;} // Compliant
};

[[nodiscard]] int sum(int x, int y) { // Compliant
    return x + y;
}
```

Available In:

sonarlint | sonarcloud | sonarqube Developer Edition

 Bug

"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused

 Bug

A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition

 Bug

A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of `dynamic_cast`

 Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

 Bug

RAII objects should not be temporary

 Bug

"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

 Bug

"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset"
should only be called with pointers to
trivially copyable types

 Bug

"std::auto_ptr" should not be used

 Bug

Destructors should be "noexcept"

 Bug