Secrets
ABAP
Apex
C
C++
CloudFormation
COBOL
C#
CSS
Flex
Go
HTML
Java
JavaScript
Kotlin
Kubernetes
Objective C
PHP
PL/I
PL/SQL
Python
RPG
Ruby
Scala
Swift
Terraform
Text
TypeScript
T-SQL
VB.NET
VB6
XML

C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C code

All rules 311 | Vulnerability 13 | Bug 74 | Security Hotspot 18 | Code Smell 206 | Quick Fix 14

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data
Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows
Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks
Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments
Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist
Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked
Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed
Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice
Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return
Bug

"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding
Bug

"switch" statements should have "default" clauses

Analyze your code

Code Smell | Critical | cwe based-on-misra cert

The requirement for a final `default` clause is defensive programming. The clause should either take appropriate action, or contain a suitable comment as to why no action is taken. When the `switch` covers all current values of an `enum` - and especially when it doesn't - a `default` case should still be used because there is no guarantee that the `enum` won't be extended.

Note that there is a more nuanced version of this rule: {rule:cpp:S3562}. Use this rule if you want to require a `default` case for every `switch` even if it already handles all enumerators of an `enum`. Otherwise, use {rule:cpp:S3562}.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
switch (param) { // Noncompliant - default clause is missing
  case 0:
    doSomething();
    break;
  case 1:
    doSomethingElse();
    break;
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
switch (param) {
  case 0:
    doSomething();
    break;
  case 1:
    doSomethingElse();
    break;
  default:
    doDefault();
    break;
}
```

See

- MISRA C:2004, 15.0 - The MISRA C *switch* syntax shall be used.
- MISRA C:2004, 15.3 - The final clause of a switch statement shall be the default clause
- MISRA C++:2008, 6-4-3 - A switch statement shall be a well-formed switch statement.
- MISRA C++:2008, 6-4-6 - The final clause of a switch statement shall be the default-clause
- MISRA C:2012, 16.1 - All switch statements shall be well-formed
- MISRA C:2012, 16.4 - Every *switch* statement shall have a *default* label
- MISRA C:2012, 16.5 - A *default* label shall appear as either the first or the last *switch label* of a *switch* statement
- MITRE, CWE-478 - Missing Default Case in Switch Statement

Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed

Bug

Closed resources should not be accessed

Bug

Dynamically allocated memory should be released

Bug

Freed memory should not be used

- CERT, MSC01-C. - Strive for logical completeness

See Also

- {rule:cpp:S3562}

Available In:

sonarlint | sonarcloud | sonarqube Developer Edition