

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C**
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



## C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C code

All rules **311**

Vulnerability **13**

Bug **74**

Security Hotspot **18**

Code Smell **206**

Quick Fix **14**

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

Bug

"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

Bug

### Comma operator should not be used

Analyze your code

Code Smell Major based-on-misra

The comma operator takes two expressions, executes them from left to right, and returns the result of the second one. The use of this operator is generally detrimental to the readability and reliability of code, and the same effect can be achieved by other means.

It is especially error-prone in array subscripts where it might be misinterpreted as accessing a multidimensional array. The use of a comma in this context is deprecated since C++20 (it might be repurposed in a later edition of the standard to actually access multidimensional arrays, but until then, it should really not be used).

#### Noncompliant Code Example

```
i = a += 2, a + b; // Noncompliant. What's the value of i ?

a[1, 2] = 3; // Noncompliant: 1 is ignored. This is not an array

x = a[i++, j = i + 1, j*2]; // Noncompliant. What index is used?
```

#### Compliant Solution

```
a += 2;
i = a + b;

j = i + 1;
x = a[j*2];
++i;
```

#### Exceptions

Use of comma operator is tolerated in initialization and increment expressions of for loops.

```
for(i = 0, j = 5; i < 6; i++, j++) { ... }
```

#### See

- MISRA C:2004, 12.10 - The comma operator shall not be used.
- MISRA C++:2008, 5-18-1 - The comma operator shall not be used.
- MISRA C:2012, 12.3 - The comma operator should not be used

Available In:

sonarlint sonarcloud sonarqube Developer Edition

**Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed**

 Bug

**Closed resources should not be accessed**

 Bug

**Dynamically allocated memory should be released**

 Bug

**Freed memory should not be used**

SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.  
[Privacy Policy](#)