C++ static code analysis: "std::cmp_*" functions should be used to compare unsigned values with negative values

2 minutes

Comparison between signed and unsigned integers is dangerous because it produces counterintuitive results outside of their common range of values.

When a signed integer is compared to an unsigned one, the former might be converted to unsigned. The conversion preserves the two's-complement bit pattern of the signed value that often corresponds to a large unsigned result. For example, 2U < -1 is true.

C++20 introduced remedy to this common pitfall: a family of std::cmp_* functions defined in the <utility> header. These functions correctly handle negative numbers and lossy integer conversion. For example, std::cmp_less(2U, -1) is false.

This rule raises an issue when an unsigned integer is compared with a negative value.

Noncompliant Code Example

bool less = 2U < -1; // Noncompliant

```
unsigned x = 1;
signed y = -1;
if (x < y) { // Noncompliant
    // ...
}</pre>
```

Compliant Solution

```
bool less = std::cmp_less(2U, -1); // Compliant

unsigned x = 1;
signed y = -1;
if (std::cmp_less(x, y)) { // Compliant
    // ...
}

bool fun(int x, std::vector<int> const& v) {
    return std::cmp_less(x, v.size()); // Compliant
}

std::vector<int> v = foo();
if (0 < v.size() && v.size() < 100) { // Compliant, even though v.size() returns an unsigned integer
}</pre>
```

See

• {rule:cpp:S845} - a more generic rule about mixing signed and unsigned values.

• {rule:cpp:S6183} - a version of this rule that triggers as soon as signed and unsigned variables are compared.