

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  **C++**
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Functions should not contain too many return statements

Analyze your code

 Code Smell  Major  brain-overload

Having too many return statements in a function increases the function's essential complexity because the flow of execution is broken each time a return statement is encountered. This makes it harder to read and understand the logic of the function.

The way of counting the return statements is aligned with the way we compute **Cognitive Complexity**.

"Under Cyclomatic Complexity, a switch is treated as an analog to an if-else if chain [...] but from a maintainer's point of view, a switch - which compares a single variable to an explicitly named set of literal values - is much easier to understand than an if-else if chain because the latter may make any number of comparisons, using any number of variables and values. "

As a consequence, all the return statements located at the top level of case statements (including default) of a same switch statement count all together as 1.

```
// this counts as 1 return
int fun() {
    switch(variable) {
        case value1:
            return 1;
        case value2:
            return 2;
        default:
            return 3;
    }
}
```

Noncompliant Code Example

With the default threshold of 3:

```
// this counts as 3 returns
int fun() {
    if (condition1) {
        return 1;
    } else {
        if (condition2) {
            return 0;
        } else {
            return 1;
        }
    }
    return 0;
}
```

```
// this counts as 3 returns
int fun() {
    switch(variable) {
        case value1:
            if(condition1) {
                return 1;
            } else {
                return -1;
            }
        default:
            return 2;
    }
}
```

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

}

Available In:

sonarlint

sonarcloud

sonarqube

Developer Edition

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.
[Privacy Policy](#)