

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C**
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C code

All rules 311

Vulnerability 13

Bug 74

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 206

Quick Fix 14

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

Bug

"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

Bug

Empty statements should be removed

Analyze your code

Code Smell Minor based-on-misra cert unused

Empty statements, i.e. `;`, are usually introduced by mistake, for example because:

- It was meant to be replaced by an actual statement, but this was forgotten.
- There was a typo which lead the semicolon to be doubled, i.e. `;;`.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
void doSomething() {
    ;
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
void doSomething() {
}
```

Exceptions

In the case of empty expanded macro and in the case of 2 consecutive semi-colons when one of the two is part of a macro-definition then the issue is not raised.

Example:

```
#define A(x) x;
#define LOG(x)

void fun() {
    A(5);
    LOG(X);
}
```

See

- MISRA C:2004, 14.3 - Before preprocessing, a null statement shall only occur on a line by itself; it may be followed by a comment provided that the first character following the null statement is a white-space character.
- MISRA C++:2008, 6-2-3 - Before preprocessing, a null statement shall only occur on a line by itself; it may be followed by a comment, provided that the first character following the null statement is a white-space character.
- [CERT, MSC12-C](#) - Detect and remove code that has no effect or is never executed
- [CERT, MSC51-J](#) - Do not place a semicolon immediately following an if, for, or while condition
- [CERT, EXP15-C](#) - Do not place a semicolon on the same line as an if, for, or while statement

Available In:

sonarlint

sonarcloud

sonarqube

Developer Edition

Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed

 Bug

Closed resources should not be accessed

 Bug

Dynamically allocated memory should be released

 Bug

Freed memory should not be used

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.
[Privacy Policy](#)