

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

Vulnerability 13

Bug 111

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 436

Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

Bug

Objects should not be sliced

Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Use symmetric transfer to switch execution between coroutines

Analyze your code

Code Smell Major pitfall since-c++20

With C++20 coroutines, the `co_await/co_yield` expression suspends the currently executed coroutine and resumes the execution of either the caller or the coroutine function or to some already suspended coroutine (including the current coroutine).

The resumption of the coroutine represented by the `std::coroutine_handle` object is usually performed by calling the `.resume()` on it. However, performing such an operation during the execution of `await_suspend` (that is part of `co_await` expression evaluation) will preserve the activation frame of the `await_suspend` function and the calling code on the stack. This may lead to stack overflows in a situation where the chain of directly resumed coroutines is deep enough.

The use of the symmetric transfer may avoid this problem. When the `await_suspend` function returns a `std::coroutine_handle`, the compiler will automatically use this handle to resume its coroutine after `await_suspend` returns (and its activation frame is removed from the stack). Or, when a `std::noop_coroutine_handle` is returned, the execution will be passed to the caller.

Symmetric transfer solution can also be used to resume the current coroutine (by returning handle passed as the parameter). However, in such cases, conditional suspension can be a more optimal solution.

This rule raises an issue on `await_suspend` functions that could use symmetric transfer.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
struct InvokeOtherAwaiter {
    /* .... */
    void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<PromiseType> current) {
        if (auto other = current.promise().other_handle) {
            other.resume(); // Noncompliant
        }
    }
};

struct WaitForAwaiter {
    Event& event;
    /* .... */
    void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> current) {
        if (bool ready = event.register_callback(current)) {
            current.resume(); // Noncompliant
        }
    }
};

struct BufferedExecutionAwaiter {
    std::queue<std::coroutine_handle<>>& taskQueue;
    /* .... */
    void await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> current) {
        if (taskQueue.empty()) {
            current.resume(); // Noncompliant
        }
        auto next = taskQueue.front();
        taskQueue.pop();
        taskQueue.push(current);
        next.resume(); // Noncompliant
    }
}
```

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

```
};
```

Compliant Solution

```
struct InvokeOtherAwaiter {
    /* .... */
    std::coroutine_handle<> await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle
        if (auto other = current.promise().other_handle) {
            return other;
        } else {
            return std::noop_coroutine();
        }
    }
};

struct WaitForAwaiter {
    Event& event;
    /* .... */
    std::coroutine_handle<> await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle
        if (bool ready = event.register_callback(current)) {
            return current;
        } else {
            return std::noop_coroutine()
        }
    }
    // Alternatively
    bool await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle<> current) {
        return !event.register_callback(current);
    }
};

struct BufferedExecutionAwaiter {
    std::queue<std::coroutine_handle<>>& taskQueue;
    /* .... */
    std::coroutine_handle<> await_suspend(std::coroutine_handle
        if (taskQueue.empty()) {
            return current;
        }
        auto next = list.front();
        taskQueue.pop();
        taskQueue.push(current);
        return next;
    }
};
```

See

{rule:cpp:S6366} - conditionally suspending current coroutine in optimal way

Available In:

 |  | 

Developer Edition