

# C++ static code analysis: Switch cases should end with an unconditional "break" statement

3 minutes

---

When the execution is not explicitly terminated at the end of a switch case, it continues to execute the statements of the following case. While this is sometimes intentional, it often is a mistake which leads to unexpected behavior.

## Noncompliant Code Example

```
switch (myVariable) {  
    case 1:  
        foo();  
        break;  
    case 2: // Both 'doSomething()' and  
'doSomethingElse()' will be executed. Is it on purpose  
?  
        doSomething();
```

```
default:
    doSomethingElse();
    break;
}
```

## Compliant Solution

```
switch (myVariable) {
    case 1:
        foo();
        break;
    case 2:
        doSomething();
        break;
    default:
        doSomethingElse();
        break;
}
```

## Exceptions

This rule is relaxed in the following cases:

```
switch (myVariable) {
    case 0: // Empty case used to
specify the same behavior for a group of cases.
    case 1:
        doSomething();
```

```

    break;
case 2:                                // Use of return
statement
    return;
case 3:                                // Use of throw
statement
    throw 1;
case 4:                                // Use of an attribute to
make explicit the fact that we want to fall through the
next case
    doSomething();
    [[fallthrough]];
case 5:                                // Use of continue
statement, if the switch is inside a loop
    continue;
default:                               // For the last case, use
of break statement is optional
    doSomethingElse();
}

```

## See

- MISRA C:2004, 15.0 - The MISRA C *switch* syntax shall be used.
- MISRA C:2004, 15.2 - An unconditional break statement shall terminate every non-empty switch

## clause

- MISRA C++:2008, 6-4-3 - A switch statement shall be a well-formed switch statement.
- MISRA C++:2008, 6-4-5 - An unconditional throw or break statement shall terminate every non-empty switch-clause
- MISRA C:2012, 16.1 - All switch statements shall be well-formed
- MISRA C:2012, 16.3 - An unconditional break statement shall terminate every switch-clause
- [MITRE, CWE-484](#) - Omitted Break Statement in Switch
- [CERT, MSC17-C.](#) - Finish every set of statements associated with a case label with a break statement
- [CERT, MSC52-J.](#) - Finish every set of statements associated with a case label with a break statement