

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  **C++**
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed


 Bug


"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

"try_lock", "lock" and "unlock" should not be directly used for mutexes

Analyze your code

 Code Smell

 Critical 

 cppcoreguidelines bad-practice since-c++11 pitfall

Mutexes are synchronization primitives that allow to manage concurrency using a mechanism of lock/unlock.

While explicitly locking or unlocking a *mutex* is possible, it is error prone. And this is particularly true in complex code paths (or with exceptions) where it is easy to have a mismatch between locks and unlocks.

As a result, *mutexes* should not be locked or unlocked manually.

Adopting the C++ RAII idiom solves this problem by creating an object that will lock the *mutex* on creation and unlock it on destruction. Furthermore, using this idiom can also greatly improve the readability of the code.

Several classes are available as RAII wrappers:

- `std::scoped_lock` is the default, most efficient wrapper for simple cases (only available since C++17)
- `std::lock_guard` is similar to `std::scoped_lock`, but with less features. It should only be used if you don't have access to `std::scoped_lock`.
- `std::unique_lock` allows more manual unlocking/locking again, and should only be used when these features are needed, for instance with condition variables.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
#include <mutex>

class DataItem;

class DataStore {
public:
    bool store(const DataItem &dataItem);
    bool has(const DataItem &dataItem);
};

DataStore sharedDataStore;
std::mutex sharedDataStoreMutex;

bool storeIfRelevantInSharedContext(const DataItem &dataItem)
{
    sharedDataStoreMutex.lock(); // Noncompliant
    if (sharedDataStore.has(dataItem)) {
        sharedDataStoreMutex.unlock(); // Noncompliant
        return false;
    }
    bool result = sharedDataStore.store(dataItem);
    sharedDataStoreMutex.unlock(); // Noncompliant
    return result;
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
#include <mutex>

class DataItem;

class DataStore {
public:
```

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

```
bool store(const DataItem &dataItem);
bool has(const DataItem &dataItem);
};

DataStore sharedDataStore;
std::mutex sharedDataStoreMutex;

bool storeIfRelevantInSharedContext(const DataItem &dataItem)
{
    std::scoped_lock<std::mutex> lock(sharedDataStoreMutex);
    if (sharedDataStore.has(dataItem)) {
        return false;
    }
    return sharedDataStore.store(dataItem);
}
```

See

- [C++ Core Guidelines CP.20](#) - Use RAII, never plain lock()/unlock()

Available In:

sonarlint

sonarcloud

sonarqube

Developer Edition