

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C**
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



## C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C code

All rules **311**

Vulnerability **13**

Bug **74**

Security Hotspot **18**

Code Smell **206**

Quick Fix **14**

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

Bug

"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

Bug

Pointer and reference parameters should be "const" if the corresponding object is not modified

Analyze your code

Code Smell

Minor ?

Quick Fix ?

bad-practice misra-c++2008 misra-c2004 misra-c2012

This rule leads to greater precision in the definition of the function interface. The const qualification shall be applied to the object pointed to, not to the pointer, since it is the object itself that is being protected.

### Noncompliant Code Example

```
void myfunc (    int * param1, // object is modified
                const int * param2,
                int * param3, // Noncompliant
                int * param4) // Noncompliant
{
    *param1 = *param2 + *param3 + *param4;
}

int main (int argc,
          const char * * argv) // Noncompliant
{
    return argc;
}
```

### Compliant Solution

```
void myfunc (    int * param1, // object is modified
                const int * param2,
                const int * param3,
                const int * param4)
{
    *param1 = *param2 + *param3 + *param4;
}

int main (int argc,
          const char * const * argv)
{
    return argc;
}
```

### See

- MISRA C:2004, 16.7 - A pointer parameter in a function prototype should be declared as pointer to const if the pointer is not used to modify the addressed object.
- MISRA C++:2008, 7-1-2 - A pointer or reference parameter in a function shall be declared as pointer to const or reference to const if the corresponding object is not modified.
- MISRA C:2012, 8.13 - A pointer should point to a const-qualified type whenever possible

Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed

 Bug

Closed resources should not be accessed

 Bug

Dynamically allocated memory should be released

 Bug

Freed memory should not be used

Available In:

 |  |  Developer Edition

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.  
[Privacy Policy](#)