

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  **C++**
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed


 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

Relational and subtraction operators should not be used with pointers to different arrays

Analyze your code

 Bug  Critical   cppcoreguidelines based-on-misra

Attempting to make a comparison between pointers using >, >=, < or <= will produce undefined behavior if the two pointers point to different arrays.

Additionally, directly comparing two arrays for equality or inequality has been deprecated in C++.

However, equality or inequality between an array and a pointer is still valid

Noncompliant Code Example

```
void f1 ( )
{
    int a1[ 10 ];
    int a2[ 10 ];
    int * p1 = a1;
    if ( p1 < a2 ) // Non-compliant, p1 and a2 point to different arrays
    {
    }
    if ( p1 - a2 > 0 ) // Non-compliant, p1 and a2 point to different arrays
    {
    }
    if ( a1 == a2 ) // Non-compliant (in C++). Comparing different arrays
    {
    }
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
void f1 ( )
{
    int a1[ 10 ];
    int * p1 = a1;
    if ( p1 < a1 ) // Compliant, p1 and a1 point to the same array
    {
    }
    if ( p1 - a1 > 0 ) // Compliant, p1 and a1 point to the same array
    {
    }
    if ( p1 == a2 ) // Compliant, comparing a pointer and an array
    {
    }
}
```

See

- MISRA C:2004, 17.3 - >, >=, <, <= shall not be applied to pointer types except where they point to the same array.
- MISRA C++:2008, 5-0-18 - >, >=, <, <= shall not be applied to objects of pointer type, except where they point to the same array.
- [C++ Core Guidelines ES.62](#) - Don't compare pointers into different arrays

Available In:
 |  |  Developer Edition