



ABAP

Apex

С



CloudFormation

COBOL

C#

CSS

Flex

Go =GO

HTML 5

Java

JavaScript

Kotlin

Kubernetes

Objective C

PHP

PL/I

PL/SQL

Python

RPG

Ruby

Scala

Swift

Terraform

Text

TypeScript

T-SQL

VB.NET

VB6

XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

ΑII 578 6 Vulnerability 13 rules

R Bug (111)

• Security Hotspot ⊗ Code (436)

Quick 68 Fix

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

■ Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

■ Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

📆 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

📆 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

📆 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

📆 Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

📆 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

📆 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

👬 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

📆 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

📆 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Use discriminated unions or "std::variant"

Analyze your code

clumsy pitfall

In order to save memory, unions allow you to use the same memory to store objects from a list of possible types as long as one object is stored at a time. Unions are not inherently safe, as they expect you to externally keep track of the type of value they currently hold.

Wrong tracking has the potential to corrupt memory or to trigger undefined

A straightforward way to avoid it is storing the information about the currently active alternative along with the union. Here follow suggested patterns to do that:

```
typedef int
              altType1;
typedef float altType2;
// Pattern 1
union alternativesCommonStartingFieldPattern {
    bool isAlt1;
    altType1 a1;
  struct {
    bool isAlt1;
    altType2 a2;
  } two;
double getValueAsDouble(alternativesCommonStartingFieldPatter
  return pattern1->one.isAlt1?pattern1->one.al:pattern1->two.
}
```

This pattern uses the fact that when two alternatives of a standard layout union are standard-layout-structs that share a common initial sequence, it is allowed to read this common initial sequence on one alternative even if the other alternative is the one currently active. This is commonly used to limit the number of bits required to store the discriminant.

```
// Pattern 2
struct wrappedUnionPattern {
  enum {ALTTYPE1, ALTTYPE2} type;
  union {
    altType1 a1;
    altType2 a2;
  };
};
double getValueAsDouble(wrappedUnionPattern *pattern2) {
  return (pattern2->type==wrappedUnionPattern::ALTTYPE1)?patt
}
```

This pattern is more straightforward, and wraps the union inside a structure that will also store the discriminant. Note that in this case, the union itself can be anonymous.



"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused



A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition



A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast



Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return



RAII objects should not be temporary



"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding



"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types

📆 Bug

"std::auto_ptr" should not be used

📆 Bug

Destructors should be "noexcept"

```
📆 Bug
```

```
// Pattern 3 (C++17)
using stdVariantPattern = std::variant<altType1, altType2>;
double getValueAsDouble(stdVariantPattern *pattern3) {
  return std::visit([](auto&& alternative) -> double { return
```

This pattern relies on C++17's std::variant to store the alternative.

In general, std::variant is:

- Safer as the type of the current value is always known and checked before usage.
- More practical as it can have members of any type, including non trivial types (see {rule:cpp:S6025}). It also supports redundant types, which is useful when alternatives have the same type with different semantic meanings.
- Easier to use as it provides many member/helper functions.

One noticeable difference with unions is that the alternatives in a std::variant do not have a name. You can access them by type or by index, using std::get (throws if the wrong alternative is accessed) or std::get if (returns a null pointer if the wrong alternative is used). But very often, instead of accessing a specific alternative, visitors are used to distinguish cases of the variant.

This rule raises an issue when unions are used outside of the 3 suggested patterns.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
void rawUnion() {
  union IntOrDouble { // Noncompliant: union is not wrapped
    double d;
  IntOrDouble intOrDouble;
  intOrDouble.d = 10.5;
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
struct IntOrChar {
 enum { INT, CHAR } tag;
 union { // Compliant
    int i;
    char c;
 };
};
void simpleVariant() {
  std::variant<int, double> intOrDouble = 10.5; // Compliant
```

Available In:

sonarlint ⊕ | sonarcloud 🔂 | sonarqube 🤍

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved. Privacy Policy