

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  C++
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

Vulnerability 13

Bug 111

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 436

Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

Bug

Objects should not be sliced

Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Operator spaceship "<=>" should be used to define comparable types

Analyze your code

Code Smell Minor since-c++20 clumsy

C++20 introduces the "spaceship" operator<=> that replaces all the other comparison operators in most cases. When this operator is defined, the compiler can rewrite expressions using <, <=, > and >= to use this operator instead. This presents three advantages:

- Less code to write (and therefore fewer bugs too),
- Guaranteed consistency between all the comparison operators (for instance, in this situation, `a < b` and `!(a >= b)` will always return the same value).
- Guaranteed symmetry for comparisons: If you can write `a<b`, and that operation is resolved through operator<=>, you can also write `b<a`, and get a consistent result. Achieving the same result with classical comparison operators require to double the number overloads if `a` and `b` are of different types.

Additionally, if the operator<=> has the defaulted implementation, the compiler can also implicitly generate a defaulted implementation of operator==, simplifying the class definition one step further.

Before C++20, it was common to provide only operator< for a class, and ask the user of this class to write all his code only using this operator (this is what `std::map` requires of its key type, for instance). It is still advised in this case to replace the operator with <=>. The quantity of required work is similar, and the user of the class will benefit from a much greater expressivity.

This rule reports user-provided comparison operators (member functions or free functions) <, <=, > and >=.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
class A { // Noncompliant: defines operator< that can be replaced by operator<=>
    int field;
public:
    bool operator<(const A& other) const {
        return field < other.field;
    }
};
class C;
class B { // Noncompliant: defines 12 comparison operators that can be replaced by operator<=>
    int field;
public:
    bool operator==(const C&) const;
    bool operator!=(const C&) const;
    bool operator<=(const C&) const;
    bool operator<(const C&) const;
    bool operator>=(const C&) const;
    bool operator>(const C&) const;
    friend bool operator==(const C&, const B&);
    friend bool operator!=(const C&, const B&);
    friend bool operator<=(const C&, const B&);
    friend bool operator<(const C&, const B&);
    friend bool operator>=(const C&, const B&);
    friend bool operator>(const C&, const B&);
};

enum class MyEnum {low = 1, high = 2};
bool operator<(MyEnum lhs, MyEnum rhs) { // Noncompliant: can be replaced by operator<=>
    return static_cast<int>(lhs) < static_cast<int>(rhs);
}
```

Compliant Solution

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug

```
class A {
    int field;
public:
    auto operator<=>(const A& other) const = default;
    // Note that here, operator == will be implicitly default
};
class B { // Compliant. the same comparisons are possible as
    int field;
public:
    auto operator<=>(const C&) const;
    auto operator==(const C&) const;
};

enum class MyEnum {low = 1, high = 2};
auto operator<=>(MyEnum lhs, MyEnum rhs) {
    return static_cast<int>(lhs) <=> static_cast<int>(rhs);
}
```

See

- {rule:cpp:S6186} - Redundant comparison operators should not be defined.

Available In:

sonarlint

sonarcloud

sonarqube Developer Edition