


-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  **C++**
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



C++ static code analysis


Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Call to "std::is_constant_evaluated" should not be gratuitous

Analyze your code

 Bug  Major  since-c++20

`std::is_constant_evaluated` is used to determine whether or not a context is constant-evaluated. This can be useful when, for example, two different implementations are provided for an algorithm: one, usually slow, for compile-time and the other one, faster, for runtime.

However, some contexts are either always constant-evaluated or never constant-evaluated. In these cases, a call to `std::is_constant_evaluated` is unnecessary as it will always return the same result.

`std::is_constant_evaluated` will always return `true` when called in:

- the condition of `if constexpr`
- the condition of `static_assert`
- `constexpr` functions

And it will always return `false` in:

- `non-constexpr/constexpr` functions

This rule raises an issue when `std::is_constant_evaluated()` is called in an `if constexpr` or a `static_assert` condition, where it is always `true`.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
constexpr double power(double b, int x) {
    if constexpr (std::is_constant_evaluated()) { // Noncompliant
        // compile-time implementation
    } else {
        // runtime implementation
    }
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
constexpr double power(double b, int x) {
    if (std::is_constant_evaluated()) {
        // compile-time implementation
    } else {
        // runtime implementation
    }
}
```

Available In:

sonarlint  | sonarcloud  | sonarqube  Developer Edition

 Bug
"std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug
A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug
A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug
Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug
RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug
"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug
"memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug
"std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug
Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug