

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  **C++**
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  Objective C
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



C++ static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your C++ code

All rules 578

 Vulnerability 13

 Bug 111

 Security Hotspot 18

 Code Smell 436

 Quick Fix 68

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

XML parsers should not be vulnerable to XXE attacks

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

Assigning to an optional should directly target the optional

 Bug

Result of the standard remove algorithms should not be ignored

 Bug

"std::scoped_lock" should be created with constructor arguments

 Bug

Objects should not be sliced

 Bug

Immediately dangling references should not be created

 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug


"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed


 Bug


"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Macros should not be used to define constants

Analyze your code

 Code Smell

 Critical ?

 cppcoreguidelines bad-practice clumsy

A macro is a textual replacement, which means that it's not respecting the type system, it's not respecting scoping rules... There is no reason not to use a constant instead.

Most of the time, a macro can be replaced by a `constexpr` declaration (a constant that is guaranteed to be computed during compilation). If your compiler is too old to properly handle `constexpr`, you may use `const` instead.

If you have a series of related integer macros, you might also consider replacing them by an `enum`.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
#define MAX_MEMORY 640 // Noncompliant

#define LEFT    0 // Noncompliant
#define RIGHT   1 // Noncompliant
#define JUMP    2 // Noncompliant
#define SHOOT   3 // Noncompliant
```

Compliant Solution

```
constexpr size_t MAX_MEMORY = 640;
enum class Actions {Left, Right, Jump, Shoot};
```

See

- [C++ Core Guidelines - ES.31](#) - Don't use macros for constants or "functions"
- [C++ Core Guidelines - Enum.1](#) - Prefer enumerations over macros

Available In:

sonarlint



sonarcloud



sonarqube



Developer Edition

| |
|---|
|  Bug |
| "std::move" and "std::forward" should not be confused  Bug |
| A call to "wait()" on a "std::condition_variable" should have a condition  Bug |
| A pointer to a virtual base class shall only be cast to a pointer to a derived class by means of dynamic_cast  Bug |
| Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return  Bug |
| RAII objects should not be temporary  Bug |
| "memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding  Bug |
| "memcpy", "memmove", and "memset" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types  Bug |
| "std::auto_ptr" should not be used  Bug |
| Destructors should be "noexcept"  Bug |