




 Secrets


 ABAP


 Apex


 C


 C++


 CloudFormation


 COBOL


 C#


 CSS


 Flex


 Go


 HTML


 **Java**


 JavaScript


 Kotlin


 Objective C


 PHP


 PL/I


 PL/SQL


 Python


 RPG


 Ruby


 Scala


 Swift


 Terraform


 Text


 TypeScript

 T-SQL

 VB.NET

 VB6

 XML



Java static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your JAVA code

All rules632

Vulnerability53

Bug154

Security Hotspot36

Code Smell389

Quick Fix42

Tags ▾

Search by name... 🔍

Code Smell

Collection.isEmpty() should be used to test for emptiness

Code Smell

String.valueOf() should not be appended to a String

Code Smell

Interface names should comply with a naming convention

Code Smell

"throws" declarations should not be superfluous

Code Smell

Unnecessary imports should be removed

Code Smell

Return of boolean expressions should not be wrapped into an "if-then-else" statement

Code Smell

Boolean literals should not be redundant

Code Smell

Modifiers should be declared in the correct order

Code Smell

Empty statements should be removed

Code Smell

Class variable fields should not have public accessibility

Code Smell

URIs should not be hardcoded

Code Smell

Class names should comply with a naming convention

Code Smell

AssertJ "assertThatThrownBy" should not be used alone

Analyze your code

Code Smell

Major

tests assertj

Unlike similar AssertJ methods testing exceptions (assertThatCode(), assertThatExceptionOfType(), ...), the assertThatThrownBy() method can be used alone, failing if the code did not raise any exception.

Still, only testing that an exception was raised is not enough to guarantee that it was the expected one, and you should test the exception type or content further. In addition, it will make explicit what you are expecting, without relying on side-effects.

This rule raises an issue when assertThatThrownBy is used, without testing the exception further.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
assertThatThrownBy(() -> shouldThrow()); // Noncompliant, is
```

Compliant Solution

```
assertThatThrownBy(() -> shouldThrow()).assertInstanceOf(IOException.class);
//or
assertThatThrownBy(() -> shouldThrow()).hasMessage("My exception");
```

Available In:

sonarlint





sonarcloud

sonarqube

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.
[Privacy Policy](#)

https://rules.sonarsource.com/java/RSPEC-5958

1/2

<div>Class names should comply with a naming convention</div> <div> Code Smell</div>
<div>Method names should comply with a naming convention</div> <div> Code Smell</div>
<div>Comma-separated labels should be used in Switch with colon case</div> <div> Code Smell</div>
<div>JUnit5 test classes and methods should have default package visibility</div> <div> Code Smell</div>