



Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your JAVA code

Quick Fix (42)

Search by name...

 Code Smell

Nullness of parameters should be guaranteed

 Code Smell

"Integer.toHexString" should not be used to build hexadecimal strings

 Code Smell

Asserts should not be used to check the parameters of a public method

 Code Smell

Assignments should not be redundant

 Code Smell

Methods should not have identical implementations

 Code Smell

"java.nio.Files#delete" should be preferred

 Code Smell

Unused "private" classes should be removed

 Code Smell

"Stream.peek" should be used with caution

 Code Smell

"Map.get" and value test should be replaced with single method call

 Code Smell

"@RequestMapping" methods should not be "private"

 Code Smell

Raw types should not be used

 Code Smell

Analyze your code

Bug Major ?

When creating a `DateTimeFormatter` using the `WeekFields.weekBasedYear()` temporal field, the resulting year number may be off by 1 at the beginning of a new year (when the date to format is in a week that is shared by two consecutive years).

Using this year number in combination with an incompatible week temporal field yields a result that may be confused with the first week of the previous year.

Instead, when paired with a week temporal field, the week-based year should only be used with the week of week-based year temporal field `WeekFields.weekOfWeekBasedYear()`.

Alternatively the temporal field `ChronoField.ALIGNED_WEEK_OF_YEAR` can be used together with a regular year (but not the week based year).

Noncompliant Code Example

```
new DateTimeFormatterBuilder()
    .appendValue(ChronoField.YEAR, 4) // Noncompliant: use
    .appendLiteral('-')
    .appendValue(WeekFields.ISO.weekOfBasedYear(), 2)
    .toFormatter();

new DateTimeFormatterBuilder()
    .appendValue(ChronoField.YEAR_OF_ERA, 4) // Noncompliant
    .appendLiteral('-')
    .appendValue(WeekFields.ISO.weekOfBasedYear(), 2)
    .toFormatter();

new DateTimeFormatterBuilder()
    .appendValue(WeekFields.ISO.weekBasedYear(), 4) // Noncompliant
    .appendLiteral('-')
    .appendValue(ChronoField.ALIGNED_WEEK_OF_YEAR, 2)
    .toFormatter();
```

Here the first two formatters would wrongly format the 1st of January 2016 as "2016-53" while the last one would format it as "2015-01"

Compliant Solution

```
new DateTimeFormatterBuilder()
    .appendValue(WeekFields.ISO.weekBasedYear(), 4)
    .appendLiteral('-')
    .appendValue(WeekFields.ISO.weekOfWeekBasedYear(), 2)
    .toFormatter();

new DateTimeFormatterBuilder()
    .appendValue(ChronoField.YEAR, 4)
    .appendLiteral('-')
    .appendValue(ChronoField.ALIGNED_WEEK_OF_YEAR, 2)
```

"Arrays.stream" should be used for primitive arrays

 Code Smell

Printf-style format strings should be used correctly

 Code Smell

Assertion arguments should be passed in the correct order

 Code Smell

Ternary operators should not be nested

 Code Smell

```
.toFormatter();
```

```
new DateTimeFormatterBuilder()  
    .appendValue(ChronoField.YEAR_OF_ERA, 4)  
    .appendLiteral('-')  
    .appendValue(ChronoField.ALIGNED_WEEK_OF_YEAR, 2)  
    .toFormatter();
```

Here the first formatter would format the 1st of January 2016 as "2015-53" while the last two would produce "2016-01", both of which are correct depending on how you count the weeks.

Exceptions

No issue is raised when week-based year is not used in combination with a week temporal field.

Similarly, no issue is raised if week of week-based year is not used in combination with a year temporal field.

Available In:

sonarlint  | **sonarcloud**  | **sonarqube** 