




 Secrets


 ABAP


 Apex


 C


 C++


 CloudFormation


 COBOL


 C#


 CSS


 Flex


 Go


 HTML


 Java


 JavaScript


 Kotlin


 Objective C


 PHP


 PL/I


 PL/SQL


 Python


 RPG


 Ruby


 Scala


 Swift


 Terraform


 Text


 **TypeScript**

 T-SQL

 VB.NET

 VB6


 XML





## TypeScript static code analysis


Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your TYPESCRIPT code


All rules279

 Vulnerability27

 Bug51


 Security Hotspot43

 Code Smell158


 Quick Fix50


Tags

Search by name...


 Bug


"NaN" should not be used in comparisons




 Bug


A "for" loop update clause should move the counter in the right direction




 Bug


Return values from functions without side effects should not be ignored




 Bug


Special identifiers should not be bound or assigned




 Bug


Values should not be uselessly incremented



 Bug

Related "if/else if" statements should not have the same condition



 Bug

Objects should not be created to be dropped immediately without being used



 Bug

Identical expressions should not be used on both sides of a binary operator



 Bug

All code should be reachable



 Bug

Loops with at most one iteration should be refactored






 Bug

Variables should not be self-assigned



### Using pseudorandom number generators (PRNGs) is security-sensitive

 Security Hotspot Critical cwe owasp

Using pseudorandom number generators (PRNGs) is security-sensitive. For example, it has led in the past to the following vulnerabilities:

- [CVE-2013-6386](#)
- [CVE-2006-3419](#)
- [CVE-2008-4102](#)

When software generates predictable values in a context requiring unpredictability, it may be possible for an attacker to guess the next value that will be generated, and use this guess to impersonate another user or access sensitive information.

As the `Math.random()` function relies on a weak pseudorandom number generator, this function should not be used for security-critical applications or for protecting sensitive data. In such context, a cryptographically strong pseudorandom number generator (CSPRNG) should be used instead.

#### Ask Yourself Whether

- the code using the generated value requires it to be unpredictable. It is the case for all encryption mechanisms or when a secret value, such as a password, is hashed.
- the function you use generates a value which can be predicted (pseudorandom).
- the generated value is used multiple times.
- an attacker can access the generated value.

There is a risk if you answered yes to any of those questions.

#### Recommended Secure Coding Practices

- Use a cryptographically strong pseudorandom number generator (CSPRNG) like `crypto.getRandomValues()`.
- Use the generated random values only once.
- You should not expose the generated random value. If you have to store it, make sure that the database or file is secure.

#### Sensitive Code Example

```
const val = Math.random(); // Sensitive
// Check if val is used in a security context.
```

#### Compliant Solution


```
// === Client side ===
const crypto = window.crypto || window.msCrypto;
var array = new Uint32Array(1);
crypto.getRandomValues(array); // Compliant for security-sen

// === Server side ===
```


https://rules.sonarsource.com/typescript/RSPEC-2245

1/2


Bitwise operators should not be used in boolean contexts

 Bug


Constructing arguments of system commands from user input is security-sensitive

 Security Hotspot

Allowing requests with excessive content length is security-sensitive

 Security Hotspot

Statically serving hidden files is security-sensitive

 Security Hotspot

```
const crypto = require('crypto');
const buf = crypto.randomBytes(1); // Compliant for security
```

See

- [OWASP Top 10 2021 Category A2](#) - Cryptographic Failures
- [OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A3](#) - Sensitive Data Exposure
- [Mobile AppSec Verification Standard](#) - Cryptography Requirements
- [OWASP Mobile Top 10 2016 Category M5](#) - Insufficient Cryptography
- [MITRE, CWE-338](#) - Use of Cryptographically Weak Pseudo-Random Number Generator (PRNG)
- [MITRE, CWE-330](#) - Use of Insufficiently Random Values
- [MITRE, CWE-326](#) - Inadequate Encryption Strength
- [MITRE, CWE-1241](#) - Use of Predictable Algorithm in Random Number Generator
- Derived from FindSecBugs rule [Predictable Pseudo Random Number Generator](#)

Available In:

