



CloudFormation

COBOL

C#

3 CSS

 $\bowtie$ Flex

Go -GO

5 HTML

Java

JavaScript JS

Kotlin

Objective C

PHP

PL/I

PL/SQL

**Python** 

RPG

Ruby

Scala

Swift

Terraform

Text 月

тѕ **TypeScript** 

T-SQL

**VB.NET** 

VB6

XML



## Python static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your PYTHON code

All rules (216)

6 Vulnerability (29)

**R** Bug (55)

Tags

Security Hotspot (31)

Code Smell (101)

Logging snould not be vulnerable to injection attacks

Vulnerability

Repeated patterns in regular expressions should not match the empty string

Rug Bug

Function parameters initial values should not be ignored

🏗 Bug

Disabling versioning of S3 buckets is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Disabling server-side encryption of S3 buckets is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Having a permissive Cross-Origin Resource Sharing policy is securitysensitive

Security Hotspot

Delivering code in production with debug features activated is securitysensitive

Security Hotspot

Allowing both safe and unsafe HTTP methods is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Creating cookies without the "HttpOnly" flag is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Creating cookies without the "secure" flag is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Using hardcoded IP addresses is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Server-side requests should not be vulnerable to forging attacks

Analyze your code

Search by name...

injection cwe sans-top25 owasp

User-supplied data, such as URL parameters, POST data payloads, or cookies, should always be considered untrusted and tainted. Performing requests from user-controlled data could allow attackers to make arbitrary requests on the internal network or to change their original meaning and thus to retrieve or delete sensitive information.

The problem could be mitigated in any of the following ways:

- Validate the user-provided data, such as the URL and headers, used to construct the request.
- Redesign the application to not send requests based on user-provided

## **Noncompliant Code Example**

```
from flask import request
import urllib
@app.route('/proxy')
def proxy():
   url = request.args["url"]
   return urllib.request.urlopen(url).read() # Noncomp
```

## **Compliant Solution**

```
from flask import request
import urllib
DOMAINS WHITELIST = ['domain1.com', 'domain2.com']
@app.route('/proxy')
def proxy():
   url = request.args["url"]
   if urllib.parse.urlparse(url).hostname in DOMAINS W
        return urllib.request.urlopen(url).read()
```

## See

- OWASP Top 10 2021 Category A10 Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF)
- OWASP Attack Category Server Side Request Forgery
- OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A5 Broken Access Control
- MITRE, CWE-20 Improper Input Validation
- MITRE, CWE-641 Improper Restriction of Names for Files and Other Resources
- MITRE, CWE-918 Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF)

Regular expression quantifiers and character classes should be used concisely

Code Smell

Character classes should be preferred over reluctant quantifiers in regular expressions

Code Smell

A subclass should not be in the same "except" statement as a parent class

Code Smell

Walrus operator should not make code confusing

Code Smell

SANS Top 25 - Risky Resource Management

Available In:

sonarcloud Sonarqube Developer Edition

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved.

Privacy Policy