



ABAP

Apex

С

C++

CloudFormation

COBOL

C#

CSS

Flex

Go

HTML 5

Java

JavaScript

Kotlin

Kubernetes

Objective C

PHP

PL/I

PL/SQL

RPG

Python

Ruby

Scala

Swift

Terraform

Text

TypeScript

T-SQL

VB.NET

VB6

XML



Objective C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your OBJECTIVE C code

All (315) 6 Vulnerability (10) rules

♣ Bug 75

• Security Hotspot ⊗ Code (212) Smell

(18)

Quick 13
Fix

Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

📆 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

📆 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

📆 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

📆 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked

📆 Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

📆 Bug

"memcmp" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

📆 Bug

Stack allocated memory and nonowned memory should not be freed

📆 Bug

Closed resources should not be accessed

🛊 Bug

Dynamically allocated memory should be released

👬 Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked 🛊 Bug 🕕 Blocker 🕝 symbolic-execution multi-threading

Analyze your code

Mutexes are synchronization primitives that allow to manage concurrency. It is a common situation to have to use multiple mutexes to protect multiple resources with different access patterns.

In such a situation, it is crucial to define an order on the set of all mutexes.

This order should be strictly followed when *locking mutexes*.

The reverse order should be strictly followed when *unlocking mutexes*.

Failure in doing so can lead to deadlocks.

In C++, an easy way to make sure the unlocks are called in reverse order from the lock is to wrap the lock/unlock operations in a RAII class (since destructors of local variables are called in reverse order of their creation).

If instead of pthread mutex tyou are using std::mutex, there are other mechanisms that allow you to avoid deadlocks in that case, see {rule:cpp:S5524}.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
pthread_mutex_t mtx1,mtx2;
void bad(void)
  pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx1);
  pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx2);
 pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx1);
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx2);
```

Compliant Solution

```
pthread_mutex_t mtx1, mtx2; // if both have to be locked, mtx
void good(void)
  pthread mutex lock(&mtx1);
  pthread_mutex_lock(&mtx2);
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx2);
  pthread_mutex_unlock(&mtx1);
```

Available In:

sonarcloud 🚳 | sonarqube | Developer Edition

© 2008-2022 SonarSource S.A., Switzerland. All content is copyright protected. SONAR, SONARSOURCE, SONARLINT, SONARQUBE and SONARCLOUD are trademarks of SonarSource S.A. All other trademarks and copyrights are the property of their respective owners. All rights are expressly reserved. Privacy Policy

Freed memory should not be used
🖟 Bug
Memory locations should not be released more than once
∰ Bug
Memory access should be explicitly bounded to prevent buffer overflows
∰ Bug
Printf-style format strings should not lead to unexpected behavior at runtime
∰ Bug
Recursion should not be infinite
n Bug
Resources should be closed
n Bug
Hard-coded credentials are security- sensitive
Security Hotspot
"goto" should jump to labels declared later in the same function
Code Smell
Only standard forms of the "defined" directive should be used
☼ Code Smell
Switch labels should not be nested inside non-switch blocks
Code Smell