Objective C static code analysis: Using "strncat" or "wcsncat" is securitysensitive

3-4 minutes

In C, a string is just a buffer of characters, normally using the null character as a sentinel for the end of the string. This means that the developer has to be aware of low-level details such as buffer sizes or having an extra character to store the final null character. Doing that correctly and consistently is notoriously difficult and any error can lead to a security vulnerability, for instance, giving access to sensitive data or allowing arbitrary code execution.

The function char *strncat(char *restrict dest, const char *restrict src, size_t count); appends the characters of string src at the end of dest, but only add count characters max. dest will always be null-terminated. The wcsncat does the same for wide characters, and should be used with the same guidelines.

Ask Yourself Whether

- There is a possibility that either the src or the dest pointer is null
- The current string length of dest plus the current string length of src plus 1 (for the final null character) is larger than the size of the buffer pointer-to by src
- There is a possibility that either string is not correctly nullterminated

There is a risk if you answered yes to any of those questions.

Recommended Secure Coding Practices

- C11 provides, in its annex K, the strncat_s and the wcsncat_s
 that were designed as safer alternatives to strncat and wcsncat.
 It's not recommended to use them in all circumstances because
 they introduce a runtime overhead and require to write more code
 for error handling, but they perform checks that will limit the
 consequences of calling the function with bad arguments.
- Even if your compiler does not exactly support annex K, you probably have access to similar functions
- If you are using strncat and wsncat as a safer version of strcat and wcscat, you should instead consider strcat_s and wcscat_s because these functions have several shortcomings:
- It's not easy to detect truncation
- The count parameter is error-prone
- Computing the count parameter typically requires computing the string length of dest, at which point other simpler alternatives exist

Sensitive Code Example

```
int f(char *src) {
  char dest[256];
  strcpy(dest, "Result: ");
  strncat(dest, src, sizeof dest); // Sensitive: passing the buffer size
  instead of the remaining size
  return doSomethingWith(dest);
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
int f(char *src) {
  char result[] = "Result: ";
  char dest[256];
  strcpy(dest, result);
  strncat(dest, src, sizeof dest - sizeof result); // Compliant but may
  silently truncate
  return doSomethingWith(dest);
}
```

See

- OWASP Top 10 2021 Category A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components
- OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A9 Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities
- MITRE, CWE-120 Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- <u>CERT, STR07-C.</u> Use the bounds-checking interfaces for string manipulation

Available In: