

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



# Swift static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your SWIFT code

All rules 119

Vulnerability 3

Bug 14

Security Hotspot 3

Code Smell 99

Tags

Search by name...

Hard-coded credentials are security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Methods and field names should not be the same or differ only by capitalization

Code Smell

Cipher algorithms should be robust

Vulnerability

Using weak hashing algorithms is security-sensitive

Security Hotspot

Cognitive Complexity of functions should not be too high

Code Smell

"try!" should not be used

Code Smell

String literals should not be duplicated

Code Smell

Functions and closures should not be empty

Code Smell

Collection elements should not be replaced unconditionally

Bug

Collection sizes comparisons should make sense

Bug

All branches in a conditional structure should not have exactly the same implementation

Bug

Infix operators that end with "=" should update their left operands

Bug

Floating point numbers should not be tested for equality

Analyze your code

Bug Major

Floating point math is imprecise because of the challenges of storing such values in a binary representation. Even worse, floating point math is not associative; push a `Float` or a `Double` through a series of simple mathematical operations and the answer will be different based on the order of those operation because of the rounding that takes place at each step.

Even simple floating point assignments are not simple:

```
var f: Float = 0.1 // 0.1000000014901161193847656
var d: Double = 0.1 // 0.1000000000000000055511151
```

Therefore, the use of the equality (`==`) and inequality (`!=`) operators on `Float` or `Double` values is almost always an error.

This rule checks for the use of direct and indirect equality/inequailty tests on floats and doubles.

## Noncompliant Code Example

```
var myNumber: Float = 0.3 + 0.6










if myNumber == 0.9 { // Noncompliant. Because of floating point
    // ...
}

if myNumber <= 0.9 && myNumber >= 0.9 { // Noncompliant indirect
    // ...
}

if myNumber < 0.9 || myNumber > 0.9 { // Noncompliant indirect
    // ...
}
```

Available In:

sonarlint | sonarcloud | sonarqube Developer Edition

<p>Precedence and associativity of standard operators should not be changed</p> <p> Bug</p>
<p>Return values from functions without side effects should not be ignored</p> <p> Bug</p>
<p>Related "if/else if" statements and "cases" in a "switch" should not have the same condition</p> <p> Bug</p>
<p>Identical expressions should not be used on both sides of a binary operator</p> <p> Bug</p>
<p>All code should be reachable</p> <p> Bug</p>
<p>Loops with at most one iteration should be refactored</p> <p> Bug</p>
<p>"IBInspectable" should be used correctly</p> <p> Code Smell</p>
<p>Functions should not have identical implementations</p> <p> Code Smell</p>
<p>Ternary operators should not be nested</p> <p> Code Smell</p>
<p>Closure expressions should not be nested too deeply</p>