Objective C static code analysis: "sprintf" should not be used

3 minutes

When using sprintf, it's up to the developer to make sure the size of the buffer to be written to is large enough to avoid buffer overflows. Buffer overflows can cause the program to crash at a minimum. At worst, a carefully crafted overflow can cause malicious code to be executed.

Ask Yourself Whether

 if the provided buffer is large enough for the result of any possible call to the sprintf function (including all possible format strings and all possible additional arguments).

There is a risk if you answered no to the above question.

Recommended Secure Coding Practices

There are fundamentally safer alternatives. snprintf is one of them. It takes the size of the buffer as an additional argument, preventing the function from overflowing the buffer.

- Use snprintf instead of sprintf. The slight performance overhead can be afforded in a vast majority of projects.
- Check the buffer size passed to snprintf.

If you are working in C++, other safe alternative exist:

- std::string should be the prefered type to store strings
- You can format to a string using std::ostringstream
- Since C++20, std::format is also available to format strings

Sensitive Code Example

sprintf(str, "%s", message); // Sensitive: `str` buffer size is not checked and it is vulnerable to overflows

Compliant Solution

snprintf(str, sizeof(str), "%s", message); // Prevent overflows by enforcing a maximum size for `str` buffer

Exceptions

It is a very common and acceptable pattern to compute the required size of the buffer with a call to snprintf with the same arguments into an empty buffer (this will fail, but return the necessary size), then to call sprintf as the bound check is not needed anymore. Note that 1 needs to be added by the size reported by snprintf to account for the terminal null character.

size_t buflen = snprintf(0, 0, "%s", message);
char* buf = malloc(buflen + 1); // For the final 0
sprintf(buf, "%s", message);{code}

See

- OWASP Top 10 2021 Category A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components
- OWASP Top 10 2017 Category A9 Using Components with Known Vulnerabilities
- MITRE, CWE-676 Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- MITRE, CWE-119 Improper Restriction of Operations within the Bounds of a Memory Buffer
- SANS Top 25 Risky Resource Management

Available In: