

- Secrets
- ABAP
- Apex
- C
- C++
- CloudFormation
- COBOL
- COBOL
- C#
- CSS
- Flex
- Go
- HTML
- Java
- JavaScript
- Kotlin
- Kubernetes
- Objective C**
- PHP
- PL/I
- PL/SQL
- Python
- RPG
- Ruby
- Scala
- Swift
- Terraform
- Text
- TypeScript
- T-SQL
- VB.NET
- VB6
- XML



Objective C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your OBJECTIVE C code

All rules 315

Vulnerability 10

Bug 75

Security Hotspot 18

Code Smell 212

Quick Fix 13

Tags

Search by name...



"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

Bug

"pthread_mutex_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

Bug

"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

Bug

Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed

Bug

Closed resources should not be accessed

Bug

Dynamically allocated memory should be released

Bug

Nested code blocks should not be used

Analyze your code

Code Smell Minor bad-practice

Nested code blocks can be used to create a new scope: variables declared within that block cannot be accessed from the outside, and their lifetime end at the end of the block.

While this might seem convenient, using this feature in a function often indicates that it has too many responsibilities and should be refactored into smaller functions.

A nested code block is acceptable when it surrounds all the statements inside an alternative of a switch (a case xxx: or a default:) because it prevents variable declarations from polluting other cases.

Noncompliant Code Example

```
void f(Cache &c, int data) {
    int value;
    { // Noncompliant
        std::scoped_lock l(c.getMutex());
        if (c.hasKey(data)) {
            value = c.get(data);
        } else {
            value = compute(data);
            c.set(data, value);
        }
    } // Releases the mutex

    switch(value) {
        case 1:
        { // Noncompliant, some statements are outside of the block
            int result = compute(value);
            save(result);
        }
        log();
        break;
        case 2:
        // ...
    }
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
int getValue(Cache &c, int data) {
    std::scoped_lock l(c.getMutex());
    if (c.hasKey(data)) {
        return c.get(data);
    } else {
        value = compute(data);
        c.set(data, value);
        return value;
    }
}

void f(Cache &c, int data) {
    int value = getValue(c, data);

    switch(value) {
        case 1:
        { // Compliant, limits the scope of "result"
            int result = compute(value);
```

Freed memory should not be used  Bug
Memory locations should not be released more than once  Bug
Memory access should be explicitly bounded to prevent buffer overflows  Bug
Printf-style format strings should not lead to unexpected behavior at runtime  Bug
Recursion should not be infinite  Bug
Resources should be closed  Bug
Hard-coded credentials are security-sensitive  Security Hotspot
"goto" should jump to labels declared later in the same function  Code Smell
Only standard forms of the "defined" directive should be used  Code Smell
Switch labels should not be nested inside non-switch blocks  Code Smell

```
        save(result);
        log();
    }
    break;
    case 2:
        // ...
    }
```

Available In:

sonarcloud

sonarqube

Developer Edition