

-  Secrets
-  ABAP
-  Apex
-  C
-  C++
-  CloudFormation
-  COBOL
-  C#
-  CSS
-  Flex
-  Go
-  HTML
-  Java
-  JavaScript
-  Kotlin
-  Kubernetes
-  **Objective C**
-  PHP
-  PL/I
-  PL/SQL
-  Python
-  RPG
-  Ruby
-  Scala
-  Swift
-  Terraform
-  Text
-  TypeScript
-  T-SQL
-  VB.NET
-  VB6
-  XML



# Objective C static code analysis

Unique rules to find Bugs, Vulnerabilities, Security Hotspots, and Code Smells in your OBJECTIVE C code

- All rules** 315
-  Vulnerability 10
-  Bug 75
-  Security Hotspot 18
-  Code Smell 212
-  Quick Fix 13


Tags

Search by name...

"memset" should not be used to delete sensitive data

 Vulnerability

POSIX functions should not be called with arguments that trigger buffer overflows

 Vulnerability

Function-like macros should not be invoked without all of their arguments

 Bug

The address of an automatic object should not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be unlocked in the reverse order they were locked

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should be properly initialized and destroyed

 Bug

"pthread\_mutex\_t" should not be consecutively locked or unlocked twice

 Bug

Functions with "noreturn" attribute should not return

 Bug

"memcpy" should only be called with pointers to trivially copyable types with no padding

 Bug

Stack allocated memory and non-owned memory should not be freed

 Bug

Closed resources should not be accessed

 Bug

Dynamically allocated memory should be released

 Bug

Freed memory should not be used
 Bug
Memory locations should not be released more than once
 Bug
Memory access should be explicitly bounded to prevent buffer overflows
 Bug
Printf-style format strings should not lead to unexpected behavior at runtime
 Bug
Recursion should not be infinite
 Bug
Resources should be closed
 Bug
Hard-coded credentials are security-sensitive
 Security Hotspot
"goto" should jump to labels declared later in the same function
 Code Smell
Only standard forms of the "defined" directive should be used
 Code Smell
Switch labels should not be nested inside non-switch blocks
 Code Smell

Relational and subtraction operators should not be used with pointers to different arrays

Analyze your code

 Bug  Critical   cppcoreguidelines based-on-misra

Attempting to make a comparison between pointers using >, >=, < or <= will produce undefined behavior if the two pointers point to different arrays.

Additionally, directly comparing two arrays for equality or inequality has been deprecated in C++.

However, equality or inequality between an array and a pointer is still valid

Noncompliant Code Example

```
void f1 ( )
{
    int a1[ 10 ];
    int a2[ 10 ];
    int * p1 = a1;
    if ( p1 < a2 ) // Non-compliant, p1 and a2 point to different arrays
    {
    }
    if ( p1 - a2 > 0 ) // Non-compliant, p1 and a2 point to different arrays
    {
    }
    if ( a1 == a2 ) // Non-compliant (in C++). Comparing different arrays
    {
    }
}
```

Compliant Solution

```
void f1 ( )
{
    int a1[ 10 ];
    int * p1 = a1;
    if ( p1 < a1 ) // Compliant, p1 and a1 point to the same array
    {
    }
    if ( p1 - a1 > 0 ) // Compliant, p1 and a1 point to the same array
    {
    }
    if ( p1 == a2 ) // Compliant, comparing a pointer and an array
    {
    }
}
```

See

- MISRA C:2004, 17.3 - >, >=, <, <= shall not be applied to pointer types except where they point to the same array.
- MISRA C++:2008, 5-0-18 - >, >=, <, <= shall not be applied to objects of pointer type, except where they point to the same array.
- [C++ Core Guidelines ES.62](#) - Don't compare pointers into different arrays

Available In:   Developer Edition