World War I

World War I, an international conflict that in 1914–18 embroiled most of the nations of Europe along with Russia, the United States, the Middle East, and other regions. The war pitted the Central
Powers—mainly Germany, Austria-Hungary, and Turkey—against the Allies—mainly France, Great
Britain, Russia, Italy, Japan, and, from 1917, the United States. It ended with the defeat of the Central Powers. The war was virtually unprecedented in the slaughter, carnage, and destruction it caused.

World War IA collection of significant facts about World War I.

Women of World War I: Marie Curie, Mabel St. Clair Stobart, and Aileen Cole StewartThree notable women of World War I: Marie Curie, Mabel St. Clair Stobart, and Aileen Cole Stewart.(more)

See all videos for this article

World War I was one of the great watersheds of 20th-century geopolitical history. It led to the fall of four great imperial <u>dynasties</u> (in <u>Germany</u>, <u>Russia</u>, Austria-Hungary, and <u>Turkey</u>), resulted in the <u>Bolshevik Revolution</u> in Russia, and, in its destabilization of European society, laid the groundwork for <u>World War II</u>.

The last surviving veterans of World War I were American serviceman <u>Frank Buckles</u> (died in February 2011), British-born Australian serviceman Claude Choules (died in May 2011), and British servicewoman <u>Florence Green</u> (died in February 2012), the last surviving veteran of the war.

The outbreak of war

With <u>Serbia</u> already much <u>aggrandized</u> by the two <u>Balkan Wars</u> (1912–13, 1913), Serbian nationalists turned their attention back to the idea of "liberating" the South Slavs of <u>Austria-Hungary</u>. Colonel <u>Dragutin Dimitrijević</u>, head of Serbia's <u>military intelligence</u>, was also, under the alias "Apis," head of the <u>secret society Union or Death</u>, pledged to the pursuit of this pan-Serbian ambition. Believing that the Serbs' cause would be served by the death of the <u>Austrian archduke Franz Ferdinand</u>, heir presumptive to the Austrian emperor <u>Franz Joseph</u>, and learning that the <u>Archduke</u> was about to visit Bosnia on a tour of military inspection, Apis plotted his <u>assassination</u>. <u>Nikola Pašić</u>, the Serbian <u>prime minister</u> and an enemy of Apis, heard of the plot and warned the Austrian government of it, but his message was too cautiously worded to be understood.

Archduke Franz Ferdinand and his wife, Sophie, duchess of Hohenberg Austrian Archduke Franz Ferdinand and his wife, Sophie, duchess of Hohenberg, in an open carriage at Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, shortly before their assassination, June 28, 1914. (more)

At 11:15 am on June 28, 1914, in the Bosnian capital, <u>Sarajevo</u>, Franz Ferdinand and his morganatic wife, Sophie, duchess of Hohenberg, were shot dead by a Bosnian Serb, <u>Gavrilo Princip</u>. The chief of the Austro-Hungarian <u>general staff</u>, <u>Franz</u>, <u>Graf (count) Conrad von Hötzendorf</u>, and the foreign minister, <u>Leopold</u>, <u>Graf von Berchtold</u>, saw the crime as the occasion for measures to humiliate Serbia and so to <u>enhance</u> Austria-Hungary's <u>prestige</u> in the <u>Balkans</u>. Conrad had already (October 1913) been assured by <u>William II</u> of <u>Germany</u>'s support if Austria-Hungary should start a preventive war against Serbia. This <u>assurance</u> was confirmed in the week following the <u>assassination</u>, before William, on July 6, set off upon his annual cruise to the <u>North Cape</u>, off <u>Norway</u>.

The Austrians decided to present an unacceptable ultimatum to Serbia and then to declare war, relying on Germany to deter <u>Russia</u> from intervention. Though the terms of the ultimatum were finally approved on July 19, its delivery was postponed to the evening of July 23, since by that time the French president, <u>Raymond Poincaré</u>, and his premier, <u>René Viviani</u>, who had set off on a state visit to Russia on July 15, would be on their way home and therefore unable to concert an immediate reaction with their Russian allies. When the delivery was announced, on July 24, Russia declared that Austria-Hungary must not be allowed to crush Serbia.

Serbia replied to the <u>ultimatum</u> on July 25, accepting most of its demands but protesting against two of them—namely, that Serbian officials (unnamed) should be dismissed at Austria-Hungary's behest and that Austro-Hungarian officials should take part, on Serbian soil, in proceedings against organizations hostile to Austria-Hungary. Though Serbia offered to submit the issue to international arbitration, Austria-Hungary promptly severed diplomatic relations and ordered partial mobilization.

Home from his cruise on July 27, William learned on July 28 how Serbia had replied to the ultimatum. At once he instructed the German Foreign Office to tell Austria-Hungary that there was no longer any justification for war and that it should content itself with a temporary occupation of Belgrade. But, meanwhile, the German Foreign Office had been giving such encouragement to Berchtold that already on July 27 he had persuaded Franz Joseph to authorize war against Serbia. War was in fact declared on July 28, and Austro-Hungarian artillery began to bombard Belgrade the next day. Russia then ordered partial mobilization against Austria-Hungary, and on July 30, when Austria-Hungary was riposting conventionally with an order of mobilization on its Russian frontier, Russia ordered general mobilization. Germany, which since July 28 had still been hoping, in disregard of earlier warning hints from Great Britain, that Austria-Hungary's war against Serbia could be "localized" to the Balkans, was now disillusioned insofar as eastern Europe was concerned. On July 31 Germany sent a 24-hour ultimatum requiring Russia to halt its mobilization and an 18-hour ultimatum requiring France to promise neutrality in the event of war between Russia and Germany.

Both Russia and France predictably ignored these demands. On August 1 Germany ordered general mobilization and declared war against Russia, and France likewise ordered general mobilization. The next day Germany sent troops into <u>Luxembourg</u> and demanded from <u>Belgium</u> free passage for German troops across its neutral territory. On August 3 Germany declared war against France.

In the night of August 3–4 German forces invaded Belgium. Thereupon, <u>Great Britain</u>, which had no concern with Serbia and no express <u>obligation</u> to fight either for Russia or for France but was expressly committed to defend Belgium, on August 4 declared war against Germany.

Austria-Hungary declared war against Russia on August 5; Serbia against Germany on August 6; Montenegro against Austria-Hungary on August 7 and against Germany on August 12; France and Great Britain against Austria-Hungary on August 10 and on August 12, respectively; Japan against Germany on August 23; Austria-Hungary against Japan on August 25 and against Belgium on August 28.

Romania had renewed its secret anti-Russian alliance of 1883 with the <u>Central Powers</u> on February 26, 1914, but now chose to remain neutral. <u>Italy</u> had confirmed the <u>Triple Alliance</u> on December 7, 1912, but could now propound formal arguments for <u>disregarding</u> it: first, Italy was not obliged to support its allies in a war of aggression; second, the original treaty of 1882 had stated expressly that the alliance was not against <u>England</u>.

On September 5, 1914, Russia, France, and Great Britain concluded the <u>Treaty of London</u>, each promising not to make a separate peace with the Central Powers. Thenceforth, they could be called the <u>Allied</u>, or Entente, powers, or simply the <u>Allies</u>.

The outbreak of war in August 1914 was generally greeted with confidence and jubilation by the peoples of Europe, among whom it inspired a wave of patriotic feeling and celebration. Few people imagined how long or how disastrous a war between the great nations of Europe could be, and most believed that their country's side would be victorious within a matter of months. The war was welcomed either patriotically, as a defensive one imposed by national necessity, or idealistically, as one for upholding right against might, the sanctity of treaties, and international morality.

Forces and resources of the combatant nations in 1914

When <u>war</u> broke out, the <u>Allied powers</u> possessed greater overall <u>demographic</u>, industrial, and military resources than the <u>Central Powers</u> and enjoyed easier access to the oceans for trade with neutral countries, particularly with the <u>United States</u>.

Table 1 shows the population, steel production, and armed strengths of the two rival coalitions in 1914.

All the initial <u>belligerents</u> in World War I were self-sufficient in food except Great Britain and <u>Germany</u>. Great <u>Britain's</u> industrial establishment was slightly superior to Germany's (17 percent of world trade in 1913 as compared with 12 percent for Germany), but Germany's diversified <u>chemical industry facilitated</u> the production of <u>ersatz</u>, or substitute, materials, which compensated for the worst shortages ensuing from the British wartime <u>blockade</u>. The German chemist <u>Fritz Haber</u> was already developing a process for the fixation of <u>nitrogen</u> from air; this process made Germany self-sufficient in <u>explosives</u> and thus no longer dependent on imports of <u>nitrates</u> from <u>Chile</u>.

Of all the initial <u>belligerent</u> nations, only Great Britain had a volunteer army, and this was quite small at the start of the war. The other nations had much larger conscript armies that required three to four years of service from able-bodied males of military age, to be followed by several years in reserve formations. Military strength on land was counted in terms of divisions composed of 12,000–20,000 officers and men. Two or more divisions made up an army corps, and two or more corps made up an army. An army could thus <u>comprise</u> anywhere from 50,000 to 250,000 men.

The higher state of <u>discipline</u>, training, leadership, and armament of the German army reduced the importance of the initial numerical inferiority of the armies of the Central Powers. Because of the comparative slowness of mobilization, poor higher leadership, and lower scale of armament of the Russian armies, there was an approximate balance of forces between the Central Powers and the Allies in August 1914 that prevented either side from gaining a quick victory.

Germany and Austria also enjoyed the advantage of "interior lines of communication," which enabled them to send their forces to critical points on the battlefronts by the shortest route. According to one estimate, Germany's railway network made it possible to move eight divisions simultaneously from the <u>Western Front</u> to the <u>Eastern Front</u> in four and a half days.

Even greater in importance was the advantage that Germany derived from its strong military traditions and its cadre of highly efficient and <u>disciplined</u> regular officers. Skilled in directing a war of movement and quick to exploit the advantages of flank attacks, German senior officers were to prove

generally more capable than their Allied counterparts at directing the operations of large troop formations.

Sea power was largely reckoned in terms of capital ships, or dreadnought battleships and battle cruisers having extremely large guns. Despite intensive competition from the Germans, the British had maintained their superiority in numbers, with the result that, in capital ships, the Allies had an almost two-to-one advantage over the Central Powers.

The numerical superiority of the British <u>navy</u>, however, was offset by the technological lead of the German navy in many categories, such as range-finding equipment, magazine protection, searchlights, torpedoes, and mines. Great Britain relied on the <u>Royal Navy</u> not only to ensure necessary imports of food and other supplies in wartime but also to sever the Central Powers' access to the markets of the world. With superior numbers of warships, Great Britain could impose a blockade that gradually weakened Germany by preventing imports from overseas.

Technology of war in 1914



1 of 3

Maxim machine gun German infantrymen operating a Maxim machine gun during World War I.



2 of 3

<u>Somme; machine gun</u>French soldiers operating a Saint-Étienne machine gun at the Somme, World War I.



3 of 3

<u>cannon</u>The French 75-mm cannon, the archetypal rapid-firing gun from its introduction in 1897 through World War I.(more)

The planning and conduct of war in 1914 were crucially influenced by the invention of new weapons and the improvement of existing types since the Franco-German War of 1870–71. The chief developments of the intervening period had been the machine gun and the rapid-fire field artillery gun. The modern machine gun, which had been developed in the 1880s and '90s, was a reliable belt-fed gun capable of sustained rates of extremely rapid fire; it could fire 600 bullets per minute with a range of more than 1,000 yards (900 metres). In the realm of field artillery, the period leading up to the war saw the introduction of improved breech-loading mechanisms and brakes. Without a brake or recoil mechanism, a gun lurched out of position during firing and had to be re-aimed after each round. The new improvements were epitomized in the French 75-millimetre field gun; it remained motionless during firing, and it was not necessary to readjust the aim in order to bring sustained fire on a target.

See how No Man's Land between World War I trenches led to the use of chemical weapons, tanks, and warplanesHeavy fighting on what became known as "No Man's Land" spawned the first military use of airplanes, tanks, and many other deadly weapons.(more)

Machine guns and rapid-firing artillery, when used in combination with trenches and barbed-wire emplacements, gave a decided advantage to the defense, since these weapons' rapid and sustained firepower could decimate a frontal assault by either infantry or cavalry.

When was the motorized ambulance invented?Learn about the medical innovations that came from World War I.

There was a considerable disparity in 1914 between the deadly effectiveness of modern armaments and the doctrinal teachings of some armies. The <u>South African War</u> and the <u>Russo-Japanese War</u> had revealed the futility of frontal infantry or cavalry attacks on prepared positions when unaccompanied by surprise, but few military leaders foresaw that the machine gun and the rapid-firing field gun

would force armies into trenches in order to survive. Instead, war was looked upon by many leaders in 1914 as a contest of national wills, spirit, and courage. A prime example of this attitude was the French army, which was dominated by the <u>doctrine</u> of the offensive. French military doctrine called for headlong bayonet charges of French infantrymen against the German rifles, machine guns, and artillery. German military thinking, under the influence of Alfred, Graf von Schlieffen, sought, unlike the French, to avoid frontal assaults but rather to achieve an early decision by deep flanking attacks; and at the same time to make use of reserve divisions alongside regular formations from the outset of war. The Germans paid greater attention to training their officers in defensive <u>tactics</u> using machine guns, <u>barbed wire</u>, and fortifications.

The initial stages of the war

Years before 1914, successive chiefs of the German general staff had been foreseeing Germany's having to fight a war on two fronts at the same time, against Russia in the east and France in the west, whose combined strength was numerically superior to the Central Powers'. The elder Helmuth von Moltke, chief of the German general staff from 1858 to 1888, decided that Germany should stay at first on the defensive in the west and deal a crippling blow to Russia's advanced forces before turning to counterattack the French advance. His immediate successor, Alfred von Waldersee, also believed in staying on the defensive in the west. Alfred, Graf von Schlieffen, who served as chief of the German general staff from 1891 to 1905, took a contrary view, and it was the plan he developed that was to guide Germany's initial wartime strategy. Schlieffen realized that on the outbreak of war Russia would need six full weeks to mobilize and assemble its vast armies, given the immense Russian countryside and population, the sparsity of the rail network, and the inefficiency of the government bureaucracy. Taking advantage of this fact, Schlieffen planned to initially adopt a purely defensive posture on the Eastern Front with a minimal number of troops facing Russia's slowly gathering armies. Germany would instead concentrate almost all of its troops in the west against France and would seek to bypass France's frontier fortifications by an offensive through neutral Belgium to the north. This offensive would sweep westward and then southward through the heart of northern France, capturing the capital and knocking that country out of the war within a few weeks. Having gained security in the west, Germany would then shift its troops to the east and destroy the Russian menace with a similar concentration of forces.

By the time of his retirement in 1905, Schlieffen had elaborated a plan for a great wheeling movement of the right (northern) wing of the German armies not only through central Belgium but also, in order to bypass the Belgian fortresses of Liège and Namur in the Meuse valley, through the southernmost part of the Netherlands. With their right wing entering France near Lille, the Germans would continue to wheel westward until they were near the English Channel; they would then turn southward so as to sever the French armies' line of retreat from France's eastern frontier to the south; and the outermost arc of the wheel would sweep southward west of Paris, in order to avoid exposing the German right flank to a counterstroke launched from the city's outskirts. If the Schlieffen Plan succeeded, Germany's armies would simultaneously encircle the French army from the north, overrun all of northeastern France, and capture Paris, thus forcing France into a humiliating surrender. The large wheeling movement that the plan envisaged required correspondingly large forces for its execution, in view of the need to keep up the numerical strength of the long-stretched marching line and the need to leave adequate detachments on guard over the Belgian fortresses that had been bypassed. Accordingly, Schlieffen allocated nearly seven-eighths of Germany's available troop strength to the execution of the wheeling movement by the right and centre wings, leaving only one-eighth to face a possible French offensive on Germany's western frontier. Thus, the maximum of strength was allocated to the wheel's edge—that is, to the right.

Schlieffen's plan was observed by the younger Helmuth von Moltke, who became chief of the general staff in 1906. Moltke was still in office when war broke out in 1914.

Eastern Front strategy, 1914

Russian <u>Poland</u>, the westernmost part of the <u>Russian Empire</u>, was a thick tongue of land enclosed to the north by <u>East Prussia</u>, to the west by German Poland (Poznania) and by Silesia, and to the south by Austrian Poland (<u>Galicia</u>). It was thus obviously exposed to a two-pronged invasion by the <u>Central Powers</u>, but the Germans, apart from their <u>grand strategy</u> of crushing <u>France</u> before attempting anything against <u>Russia</u>, took note of the poverty of Russian Poland's transportation network and so were disinclined to overrun that <u>vulnerable</u> area prematurely. <u>Austria-Hungary</u>, however, whose frontier with Russia lay much farther east than <u>Germany's</u> and who was moreover afraid of disaffection among the Slav minorities, urged some immediate action to forestall a Russian offensive. Moltke therefore agreed to the Austrian <u>general staff's</u> suggestion for a northeastward thrust by the Austrian army into Russian Poland—the more readily because it would occupy the Russians during the crisis in France.

The Russians, for their part, would have preferred to concentrate their immediately available forces against Austria and to leave Germany undisturbed until their mobilization should have been completed. The French were anxious to relieve the German pressure against themselves, however, and so they persuaded the Russians to <u>undertake</u> an offensive involving two armies against the Germans in <u>East Prussia</u> simultaneously with one involving four armies against the Austrians in Galicia. The Russian army, whose proverbial slowness and unwieldy organization dictated a cautious strategy, thus undertook an extra offensive against East Prussia that only an army of high mobility and tight organization could have hoped to execute successfully.

The strategy of the Western Allies, 1914

For some 30 years after 1870, considering the likelihood of another German war, the French high command had subscribed to the strategy of an initial defensive to be followed by a counterstroke against the expected invasion: a great system of fortresses was created on the frontier, but gaps were left in order to "canalize" the German attack. France's alliance with Russia and its entente with Great Britain, however, encouraged a reversal of plan, and after the turn of the century a new school of military thinkers began to argue for an offensive strategy. The advocates of the offensive à *l'outrance* ("to the utmost") gained control of the French military machine, and in 1911 a spokesman of this school, General J.-J.-C. Joffre, was designated chief of the general staff. He sponsored the notorious Plan XVII, with which France went to war in 1914.

Plan XVII gravely underestimated the strength that the Germans would <u>deploy</u> against France. Accepting the possibility that the Germans might employ their reserve troops along with regular troops at the outset, Plan XVII estimated the strength of the German army in the west at a possible maximum of 68 infantry divisions. The Germans actually <u>deployed</u> the equivalent of 83 1/2 divisions, counting *Landwehr* (reserve troops) and *Ersatz* (low-grade substitute troops) divisions, but French military opinion ignored or doubted this possibility; during the war's crucial opening days, when the rival armies were concentrating and moving forward, the French Intelligence counted only Germany's regular divisions in its estimates of the enemy strength. This was a serious miscalculation. Plan XVII also miscalculated the direction and scope of the coming onslaught: though it foresaw an invasion through <u>Belgium</u>, it assumed that the Germans would take the route through the Ardennes, thereby exposing their communications to attack. Basing itself on the idea of an immediate and general offensive, Plan XVII called for a French thrust toward the Saar into <u>Lorraine</u> by the 1st and 2nd

armies, while on the French left (the north) the 3rd and 5th armies, facing Metz and the Ardennes, respectively, stood ready either to launch an offensive between Metz and Thionville or to strike from the north at the flank of any German drive through the Ardennes. When war broke out, it was taken for granted that the small British Expeditionary Force (BEF) under Sir John French should be used as an adjunct to France's forces, more or less as the French might see fit. It is clearly evident that the French were oblivious to the gigantic German offensive that was being aimed at their left (northern) wing.