

1.)  $t(x, y) = 8,192y / 1,000,000x$

$$1 \text{ KB} = 1,024 \text{ B} = 8,192 \text{ b}$$

$$1 \text{ Mb} = 1,000,000 \text{ b}$$

2a.)  $40,000 \text{ bits} / 100,000,000 \text{ bps} = 400 \mu\text{s}$

$$A \rightarrow S += 400 \mu\text{s} + 25 \mu\text{s} = 425 \mu\text{s}$$

$$S += 35 \mu\text{s} = 460 \mu\text{s}$$

$$S \rightarrow B += 400 \mu\text{s} + 25 \mu\text{s} = \mathbf{885 \mu\text{s}}$$

2b.)

$$A \rightarrow S += 400 \mu\text{s} + 25 \mu\text{s} = 425 \mu\text{s}$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ Packet } S += 35 \mu\text{s} = 460 \mu\text{s}$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ Packet } S \rightarrow B += 200 \mu\text{s} + 25 \mu\text{s} = 685 \mu\text{s}$$

$$(2^{\text{nd}} \text{ Packet } S += 10 \mu\text{s} \rightarrow 695 \mu\text{s})$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ Packet } S \rightarrow B += 200 \mu\text{s} + 25 \mu\text{s} = \mathbf{920 \mu\text{s}}$$

3a.)  $\text{Packet Size} = 10,000 + 150 \text{ bits} = 10,150 \text{ bits}$

$$A \rightarrow S += (10,150 \text{ bits} / 15,000,000 \text{ bps}) / (10^{-6} \text{ s}/\mu\text{s}) + 25 \mu\text{s} = 701.67 \mu\text{s}$$

$$S += 25 \mu\text{s} = 726.67 \mu\text{s}$$

$$S \rightarrow B += (10,150 \text{ bits} / 60,000,000 \text{ bps}) / (10^{-6} \text{ s}/\mu\text{s}) + 50 \mu\text{s} = \mathbf{945.83 \mu\text{s} \text{ (One-Way)}}$$

$$\text{Throughput} = 10,150 \text{ bits} / (945.83 \mu\text{s} * 10^{-6} \text{ s}/\mu\text{s}) = \mathbf{10.731 \text{ Mbps}}$$

3b.)

ACK Transmission and RTT:

$$B \rightarrow S += (150 \text{ bits} / 60,000,000 \text{ bps}) / (10^{-6} \text{ s}/\mu\text{s}) + 50 \mu\text{s} = 998.33 \mu\text{s}$$

$$S += 25 \mu\text{s} = 1,023.33 \mu\text{s}$$

$$S \rightarrow A += (150 \text{ bits} / 15,000,000 \text{ bps}) / (10^{-6} \text{ s}/\mu\text{s}) + 25 \mu\text{s} = \mathbf{1,058.33 \mu\text{s} \text{ (RTT)}}$$

$$\text{Throughput} = 10,150 \text{ bits} / (1,058.33 \mu\text{s} * 10^{-6} \text{ s}/\mu\text{s}) = \mathbf{9.591 \text{ Mbps}}$$

$$\text{Pipe Size} = \text{Throughput} * \text{RTT} = 10,150 \text{ Bits}$$

3c.)

The order of the hosts does not matter when determining pipe size, as the packets still need to go through both the 15 Mbps and 60 Mbps links. Therefore, both the RTT and Throughput remain the same, and so does the pipe size.

4.) I am assuming that incomplete packets are rounded up.

**1,000 Byte Packet:**

$1,000,000 \text{ data bytes} / 900 \text{ data bytes per packet} = 1,111.11 \text{ packets} \approx 1,112 \text{ packets}$

One packet lost  $\rightarrow$  1,113 packets.

Total bytes = 1,113 packets \* 1,000 bytes per packet = 1,113,000 bytes

**5,000 Byte Packet:**

$1,000,000 \text{ data bytes} / 4,900 \text{ data bytes per packet} = 204.08 \text{ packets} \approx 205 \text{ packets}$

One packet lost  $\rightarrow$  206 packets.

Total bytes = 206 packets \* 5,000 bytes per packet = **1,030,000 bytes**

**10,000 Byte Packet:**

$1,000,000 \text{ data bytes} / 9,900 \text{ data bytes per packet} = 101.01 \text{ packets} \approx 102 \text{ packets}$

One packet lost  $\rightarrow$  103 packets.

Total bytes = 103 packets \* 10,000 bytes per packet = **1,030,000 bytes**

**20,000 Byte Packet:**

$1,000,000 \text{ data bytes} / 19,900 \text{ data bytes per packet} = 50.25 \text{ packets} \approx 51 \text{ packets}$

One packet lost  $\rightarrow$  52 packets.

Total bytes = 52 packets \* 20,000 bytes per packet = 1,040,000 bytes

**Both the 5,000-byte and 10,000-byte packets are approximately ideal in this scenario.**

5a.)

300 bytes = 2400 bits:  $(2400 \text{ bits} / 100,000,000 \text{ bps}) * (200,000,000 \text{ m/s}) = \mathbf{4,800 \text{ meters}}$

5b.)

$$(100 \text{ m} / 200,000,000 \text{ m/s}) * 100,000,000 \text{ bps} = 50 \text{ bits}$$

Each 100 meters can only hold 50 bits:

Let  $x$  = # of hosts

$$50 \text{ bits per host} * x + 10 \text{ bits per host} * x = 2,400 \text{ bits}$$

$$60 \text{ bits per host} * x = 2,400 \text{ bits}$$

$$x = 40 \text{ hosts} = 4,000 \text{ meters}$$

6a.)

Unlike Synchronous TDM, which assigns each host to their own slot, the Round-Robin approach assigns the next-available slot to the next host with data to send. This helps ensure that most of the slots are used, even if some of the hosts aren't sending anything.

Unlike Statistical TDM, which dynamically allocates multiple slots for one host whenever they need it, Round-Robin only gives a host one slot at a time, then let the others have a turn. While STDM gives busy hosts faster networking, Round-Robin allows every host a turn in sending their data. While Round-Robin can help fight congestion, it also has to spend a little bit of time asking idle hosts.

6b.)

I think Statistical TDM will have the highest utilization, since slots are dynamically allocated to whoever needs it, even if they end up hogging it. I think Synchronous TDM will have the lowest utilization, as there may be several times where a slot goes unused due to an idle host.

7a.)

$$\text{Total Time for 15 KB } (15 * 8192 \text{ bits}) = 300 \text{ ms (Handshake)} + (15 * 8192 \text{ b} / 2,500 \text{ bpms}) + 75 \text{ ms} + 75 \text{ ms (ACK)} = \mathbf{499.15 \text{ ms}}$$

7b.)

$$\text{Total Time} = 300 \text{ ms (Handshake)} + 15 * ((8192 \text{ b} / 2,500 \text{ bpms}) + 75 \text{ ms} + 75 \text{ ms (ACK)}) = \mathbf{2,599.15 \text{ ms}}$$

7c.)

$$\text{Total Time} = 300 \text{ ms (Handshake)} + (75 \text{ ms} + 75 \text{ ms (ACK)}) = \mathbf{450 \text{ ms}}$$

7d.)

Total Time = 300 ms (Handshake) + (150 ms) (1<sup>st</sup> Packet) + (150 ms) (2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> Packet) + (150 ms) (4<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> Packet) + (150 ms) (7<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> Packet) + (150 ms) (11<sup>th</sup> + 15<sup>th</sup> Packet) = **1,050 ms**

8a.)

ucsd.edu avg time = **18.530 ms**

google.com avg time = **32.513 ms**

uni-heidelberg.de avg time = **128.734 ms**

8bi.)

```
sethi@DESKTOP-OS3NOHA:~$ tracepath www.clemson.edu
1?: [LOCALHOST] pmtu 1500
1:  DESKTOP-OS3NOHA.mshome.net 0.262ms
1:  DESKTOP-OS3NOHA.mshome.net 0.199ms
2:  HG6Box 2.347ms
3:  cpe-172-72-128-1.carolina.res.rr.com 15.110ms
4:  no reply
5:  cpe-024-074-249-066.carolina.res.rr.com 10.469ms
6:  24.93.67.204 16.080ms
7:  bu-ether14.atlngamq46w-bcr00.tbone.rr.com 23.067ms
8:  0.ge-0-0-0.ar0.den30.tbone.rr.com 29.571ms asymm 9
9:  209-18-43-59.dfw10.tbone.rr.com 30.244ms
10: 107.14.16.82 29.859ms asymm 9
11: no reply
12: no reply
13: lo-0.8.rtsw.rale.net.internet2.edu 34.015ms asymm 12
14: 64.57.21.214 43.220ms asymm 16
15: 205-186-62-93.generic.c-light.net 38.254ms asymm 14
16: 205-186-62-92.generic.c-light.net 45.227ms asymm 15
17: 130.127.3.185 40.510ms asymm 16
18: 130.127.3.72 39.531ms asymm 16
19: 130.127.204.30 44.425ms reached
Resume: pmtu 1500 hops 19 back 18
```

The output shows the user each host/router that the packet goes through before being redirected to [www.clemson.edu](http://www.clemson.edu). The numbers on the right display how long it took for the packet to go from the prior host to the current one. The word “asymm” means that the packet had to take a detour due to some network jam or redirection.

8bii.) Tracert didn't work so I went to a windows computer.

```
C:\Users\Admin>tracert ucsd.edu

Tracing route to ucsd.edu [75.2.44.127]
over a maximum of 30 hops:

  0  2 ms    1 ms    1 ms  HG6Box [192.168.1.1]
  1  15 ms   10 ms   11 ms  cpe-172-72-128-1.carolina.res.rr.com [172.72.128.1]
  2  31 ms   32 ms   25 ms  cpe-024-074-252-237.carolina.res.rr.com [24.74.252.237]
  3  13 ms    9 ms   17 ms  cpe-024-074-249-066.carolina.res.rr.com [24.74.249.66]
  4  16 ms   14 ms   23 ms  24.93.67.204
  5  18 ms   14 ms   17 ms  66.109.7.196
  6  14 ms   19 ms   27 ms  66.109.5.125
  7  20 ms   41 ms   16 ms  52.46.166.168
  8  *        *        *      Request timed out.
  9  21 ms   19 ms   33 ms  acb2b7f80f439100a.awsglobalaccelerator.com [75.2.44.127]

Trace complete.
```

Backbone networks:

carolina.res.rr.com: Spectrum/Charter Communications host

awsglobalaccelerator.com: Amazon Web Services host

8c.)

North American Avg. Response Time: 21 ms

Minimum Time:

<a href="#">dnsauth1.sys.gtei.net</a>	California (Los Angeles)	99	10	0
---------------------------------------	--------------------------	----	----	---

Maximum Time:

<a href="#">gate.netwx1.com</a>	Wisconsin	92	74	0
---------------------------------	-----------	----	----	---