

ROB-501 HW-11

① $S = (-2, 3) \cup (3, 4) \cup \{5\} \cup [7, \infty)$ in the normed space $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$

- ② S^o of S : $(-2, 3)$ - open interval, \Rightarrow All points are interior points
 $(3, 4)$ - open interval \Rightarrow All points are interior points
 $\{5\}$ - no interior point \Rightarrow it cannot contain a neighbourhood
 $[7, \infty) \Rightarrow [7, \infty)$ {open set in the interval \neq of a set}

$(-2, 3) \cup (3, 4) \cup [7, \infty) \rightarrow \textcircled{a}$

- ③ Limit point of sets is a point where every neighbourhood intersects S in at least one point other than itself.

$(-2, 3) \rightarrow$ All points are limit points $[-2, 3]$

$(3, 4) \rightarrow$ All points are limit points $[3, 4]$

$[7, \infty) \rightarrow$ All points are limit points $[7, \infty)$

$\{5\} \rightarrow 5$ is not a limit point

\therefore means b is $[-2, 3] \cup [3, 4] \cup [7, \infty)$

$\rightarrow \textcircled{b}$

② To show when $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

a. $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2$

b. $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty$

c. $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq n \|x\|_\infty$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

① $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_2$

$\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$

$\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_1^2$

$= \left[\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right]^2 \leq [|x_1| + |x_2|]^2 \Rightarrow x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2|x_1||x_2|$

$\therefore \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ ①

④ For $(-2, 3) \cup (3, 4)$: The boundaries are the endpoints $-2, 3, 4$ as neighbourhoods around these points intersect S and its complement

For $\{5\}$: The point 5 is in the boundary since any neighbourhood of 5 intersects S at 5

For $[7, \infty)$: 7 is a boundary point; all other points are interior points.

$\partial S = \{-2, 3, 4, 5, 7\} \rightarrow \textcircled{c}$

$$\|x_1\| \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2$$

$$\|x_1\|^2 \leq \left[\sqrt{2} \|x\|_2 \right]^2 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \Rightarrow n=2$$

$$\|x_1\|^2 \leq 2 \|x\|_2^2$$

$$|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + 2|x_1||x_2| \leq 2[x_1^2 + x_2^2]$$

→ To prove this we can re-arrange it as follows.

$$|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + 2|x_1||x_2| \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Now lets compare (1) & (2)

it is visible that $2|x_1||x_2| \leq (x_1^2 + x_2^2)$

$$\Rightarrow (1) \leq (2)$$

$$\therefore \|x_1\| \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

∴ from (1) & (3) we get

$$\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2. \quad \square$$

$$(b) \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty$$

$$\text{let } x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \text{ also } |x_1| > |x_2|$$

$$\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_{\infty}$$

$$\|x\|_{\infty}^2 = (x_1)^2$$

$$\|x\|_2^2 = \left[\sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2} \right]^2 = |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_{\infty}^2 \leq \|x\|_2^2$$

$$\therefore \|x\|_{\infty} \leq \|x\|_2 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

lets consider

$$\|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_{\infty}$$

to prove

$$(\|x\|_2)^2 \leq n \|x\|_{\infty}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_2^2 = |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2$$

$$n \|x\|_{\infty}^2 = n |x_1|^2 = n |x_1|^2 \quad n=2 \quad \text{as } x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \|x\|_{\infty}^2 = 2 |x_1|^2 \quad \left\{ \text{Assumed } |x_1| > |x_2| \right\}$$

\hookrightarrow This can be rearranged as $|x_1|^2 + |x_1|^2$

with it $|x_1| > |x_2|$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \|x\|_{\infty}^2 \geq \|x\|_2^2$$

$$\therefore \|x\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|x\|_{\infty}^2 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Combining (1) & (2) we get

$$\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|_{\infty}$$

Extrapolating it to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we get

$$\boxed{\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_{\infty}} \quad \star \star$$

$$(c) \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq n \|x\|_\infty$$

Assume $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $|x_1| > |x_2|$
 $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$n = 2$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = |x_1|$$

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

consider

$$\|x\|_1 \leq 2 \|x\|_\infty \quad \{n=2\}$$

$$|x_1| + |x_2| = \|x\|_1$$

$$2|x_1| = 2\|x\|_\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_1| + |x_1|$$

$$|x_1| > |x_2|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_1 \leq 2 \|x\|_\infty \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

from (1) & (2) we get $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq 2 \|x\|_\infty$.

Satisfying in to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we get

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq n \|x\|_\infty$$

(3) TO Show

$$\tilde{B}_{\frac{\alpha}{k_2}}(x_0) \subseteq B_\alpha(x_0) \subseteq \tilde{B}_{\frac{\alpha}{k_1}}(x_0)$$

Def. of open Balls.

$$\|\cdot\| : B_\alpha(x_0) = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \alpha\}.$$

$$\text{For } \|\cdot\| : \tilde{B}_\alpha(x_0) = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \alpha\}.$$

$$3(a) \quad \tilde{B}_{\frac{a}{k_2}}(x_0) \Rightarrow \|x - x_0\| < \frac{a}{k_2}$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{k_2} \|x - x_0\| \leq \|x - x_0\| \Rightarrow \|x - x_0\| \leq k_2 \|x - x_0\| < a(k_2) \quad k_2 = a$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x - x_0\| < a$$

$$\therefore \|x - x_0\| < a \Rightarrow B_a(x_0) \quad \therefore \tilde{B}_{\frac{a}{k_2}}(x_0) \subset B_a(x_0) \quad \dots (1)$$

$$B_a(x_0) \Rightarrow \|x - x_0\| < a, \therefore k_1 \|x - x_0\| \leq \|x - x_0\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x - x_0\| \leq \frac{1}{k_1} \|x - x_0\| < \frac{a}{k_1} \Rightarrow \tilde{B}_{\frac{a}{k_1}}(x_0)$$

$$\therefore B_a(x_0) \subset \tilde{B}_{\frac{a}{k_1}}(x_0) \quad \dots (2)$$

$$\text{from (1) \& (2)} \quad \tilde{B}_{\frac{a}{k_2}}(x_0) \subset B_a(x_0) \subset \tilde{B}_{\frac{a}{k_1}}(x_0) \quad \square.$$

$$(b) \quad \text{w.k.t } \frac{1}{k_2} \|x\| \leq \|x\| \quad \dots (1)$$

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{k_1} \|x\| \quad \dots (2)$$

$$P \text{ is open in } (X, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|) \Leftrightarrow P \text{ is open in } (X, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow P = P^0 = \{x \in X \mid d(x, \sim P) > 0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall y \in \sim P, \text{ s.t. } \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$$

$$\text{by (1), } \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{k_2} \leq \frac{1}{k_2} \|x - y\| \leq \|x - y\|_1$$

$$\text{take } \varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{k_2} \Rightarrow \|x - y\|_1 \geq \varepsilon'$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon' > 0, \forall y \in \sim p \text{ s.t. } \|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon' \Rightarrow P \text{ is open in } (X, \|\cdot\|, \tau)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P = P^0 = \{x \in X \mid d(x, \mathcal{N}P) > 0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{N}_P, \text{ s.t. } \|x - y\| > \varepsilon$$

by ② $\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon, \|x-y\| \geq k, \|x-y\| \geq k, \varepsilon$

take $\varepsilon' = \kappa_1 \varepsilon \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon', \forall y \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{T}} \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon'$

$\Rightarrow P$ is open in $(X, \tau, \|\cdot\|)_{\square}$.

(c) we need to prove (x_n) is Cauchy in $(X, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|)$

$\Rightarrow x_n$ is Cauchy in $(X, 1R, || \cdot ||)$

from defⁿ $\frac{1}{k_2} \|x\| \leq \|Ax\| \dots \textcircled{1}$

$$\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{k_1} \|x\| - \dots - \dots \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N(\varepsilon) = \infty, \text{ s.t. } \forall n < N, \|x_n - w\| < \varepsilon$$

from (2) $\|x_m - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{k_1} \|x_m - x_n\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{k_1}$

* Take $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{k_1}$: $\varepsilon > 0$, $k_1 > 0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon' > 0$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N(\varepsilon) < \infty, \text{ s.t. } \forall n, m \geq N$$

$$||| X_m - X_0 ||| \leq \varepsilon^1$$

$\Rightarrow 3 \geq \|u\|_m - \|x\|_m \leq \|w\|_m \leq 15$ and $0 < 3A$

from (1), $\|x_m - x_n\| \leq k_2 \|x_m - x_n\| \leq k_2 \varepsilon$

take $\varepsilon' = k_2 \varepsilon \quad \therefore \varepsilon > 0, k_2 > 0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon' > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon' > 0, \exists N(\varepsilon') < \infty, \text{ s.t. } \forall n, m > N, \|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon'$$

(4) If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at x_0 , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$
 $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$: (to prove)

Proof:-

f is continuous at x_0 if:-

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $|x - x_0| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$

Let assume x_n is a sequence s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$$

for every $\delta > 0$, There exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $n > N \Rightarrow |x_n - x_0| < \delta$

W.k.t f is continuous at x_0 using that we get

$$|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$$

\therefore for an $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $n > N \Rightarrow |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0).$$

if f is not continuous at x_0 if $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $\nexists \delta > 0$,
 there exists n satisfying.

$$\frac{|x - x_0| < \delta}{\text{Sequence converges}} \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{|f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq \epsilon}{\text{The fun}^n \text{ does not converge.}}$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ but $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| \geq \epsilon$ for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$

Since $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| \geq \epsilon$, the sequence does not converge to $f(x_0)$.

\rightarrow This shows that if f is discontinuous at x_0 , we can find a sequence $\{x_n\}$ that converges to x_0 \square .

$$(5) f(x_1, x_2) = f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x - x x^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x x^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_1 & x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{f(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_1 & x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4x_1 + 3x_2 \\ 2x_1 + 1x_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 + 2x_1 x_2 \\ x_2 x_1 + 2x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{f(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 + 4x_1 + 3x_2 - x_1^2 - 2x_1 x_2 \\ 4 + 2x_1 + 1x_2 - x_2 x_1 - 2x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{J_f(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - 2x_1 & -2x_2 & 3 - 2x_1 \\ 2 - x_2 & 1 - x_1 - 4x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta x = -J_f(x_k)^{-1} f(x_k)$$

$$x_1 = x_0 + \Delta x$$

$$\underline{x_k} = (0, 0)^T \quad x_k = [x_1, x_2]^T$$

$$x_0 = [0, 0]^T \text{ (assuming an in. value)}$$

Starting point: [0 0]
Starting point [0 0] converged in 8 iterations.
Solution: [-2.22682999 1.45781962]
F(solution): [-1.77635684e-15 -8.88178420e-16]

Starting point: [0 1]
Starting point [0 1] converged in 6 iterations.
Solution: [-2.22682999 1.45781962]
F(solution): [3.64153152e-14 -4.70734562e-14]

Starting point: [1 0]
Starting point [1 0] converged in 7 iterations.
Solution: [0.11819328 -1.25155111]
F(solution): [1.11022302e-16 -8.88178420e-16]

Starting point: [1 1]
Starting point [1 1] converged in 10 iterations.
Solution: [0.11819328 -1.25155111]
F(solution): [1.11022302e-16 -4.44089210e-16]

Starting point: [-1 -1]
Starting point [-1 -1] converged in 5 iterations.
Solution: [0.11819328 -1.25155111]
F(solution): [-3.88578059e-16 -8.88178420e-16]

Starting point: [-1 0]
Starting point [-1 0] converged in 8 iterations.
Solution: [0.11819328 -1.25155111]
F(solution): [1.66533454e-16 -8.88178420e-16]

Starting point: [0 -1]
Starting point [0 -1] converged in 4 iterations.
Solution: [0.11819328 -1.25155111]
F(solution): [4.82947016e-15 -6.66133815e-15]

Observation.

↳ with Different initial guesses, different solutions were obtained.

i.e It can be concluded that Newton-Raphson is sensitive to the Starting point.

⑥ T $X \sim \exp(0.001)$, $P(X < 365 | \lambda = 365) = \int_0^{365} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} = e$.

② \rightarrow TRUE

$$= -e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_0^{365} = -e^{-365\lambda} + 1 = 0.3058 \approx 0.31$$

⑥ F For unbiased estimator $E\{\hat{\lambda}\} = \lambda$

$$E\{\hat{\lambda}\} = kcx + E\{k\varepsilon\}$$

\rightarrow FALSE

$$= x + E\{k\varepsilon\} \text{ since } \varepsilon \text{ is not zero mean.}$$

\Rightarrow The estimator is biased.

⑥ F $\text{cov} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{cov}(x_1, x_1) & \text{cov}(x_1, x_2) \\ \text{cov}(x_2, x_1) & \text{cov}(x_2, x_2) \end{bmatrix}$

\rightarrow false

Since $\text{cov}(x_1, x_2) = \text{cov}(x_2, x_1) = 0$, x_1, x_2 are uncorrelated but it doesn't imply independence Dlt x_1 & x_2