

ROB-SDI - Home Work - 2.

problem-2 Negation of statements.

$$(a) (P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow \sim(P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow \sim P \vee \sim Q$$

Truth Table.

P	$\sim P$	Q	$\sim Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\sim P \vee \sim Q$	
T	F	T	F	T	F	→(Table 1)
T	F	F	T	F	T	
F	T	T	F	F	T	
F	T	F	T	F	T	

∴ The column 5 & 6 of Table(I) shows that the $\sim(P \wedge Q)$ is indeed $\sim P \vee \sim Q$.

$$(b) (P \vee Q) \Rightarrow \sim(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow \sim P \wedge \sim Q.$$

P	$\sim P$	Q	$\sim Q$	$P \vee Q$	$\sim P \wedge \sim Q$	
T	F	F	F	T	F	→(Table 2)
T	F	F	T	F	T	
F	T	T	F	F	T	
F	T	F	T	F	T	

∴ The column 5 & 6 of Table I shows that $\sim(P \vee Q)$ is indeed $\sim P \wedge \sim Q$.

Problem 2

(a) For every integer n , $2n+1$ is odd

Mathematical form :- $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2n+1$ is odd

Negation:- For some integers n , $2n+1$ is not odd.

Mathematical form:- $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{s.t. } 2n+1 \text{ is not odd}$

(b) For some integer n , 2^n+1 is prime

Mathematical form:- $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^n+1$ is prime.

Negation is For all integers n , 2^n+1 is not prime

Mathematical form:- $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^n+1$ is not prime

(c) $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Statement:- $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n, v \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } Av = xv$

Negation $\rightarrow \exists A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, v \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } Av \neq xv$

(d) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Statement:- $\forall n > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \text{ s.t.}$

$$|x| \leq \delta \Rightarrow |f(x)| \leq n|x|$$

Negation $\rightarrow \exists n > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \text{ s.t. } |x| \geq \delta \Rightarrow |f(x)| \geq n|x|$

Negation $\rightarrow \exists n > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists x \text{ with } |x| \leq \delta \text{ s.t. } |f(x)| > n|x|$

(Include every thing for the negation)

Problem 3: Let us assume $\sqrt{7}$ is rational (i.e. proof by contradiction)

if \sqrt{t} is rational; then

$\frac{p}{q} = P$ where, $P \neq q$ have no common factors. $P \neq 0$

[Note - This is the definition of a Rational Number]

$$(\sqrt{7})^2 = \left(\frac{P}{q}\right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists = p^2 \quad \dots \textcircled{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{The } q^2 = \underline{p^2}$$

Now in the problem i^2 is dividing f^2 then

we can assume that it divides P as well.

$$\Rightarrow P = \exists k \quad (\text{where } k \neq 0)$$

$$\therefore P^2 = 4gk^2$$

$$q^2 = \frac{4g\kappa^2}{7} = 7\kappa^2 x - - \textcircled{2} \quad A \quad (3)$$

(2) implies that q is also divisible by π .
 that means both p & q have a common factors
 that is π .

The result we have obtained contradicts our original assumption that $\sqrt{s} = \frac{p}{q}$ (where p, q have no common factors)

but our result is showing that β is a common factor. That means our original assumption is I , wrong.

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{7}$ is not a Rational number

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{7}$ is Irrational.

Problem-5 Prove that for all integers $n \geq 1$ $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$

$$\text{Let } P(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

Let's prove this by Induction.

(1) Base Case :- $k=1$ $P(1) = \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{n}{n+1}; n=1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow LHS = RHS \quad [\because \text{the base case is true}]$$

(2) Base $k=2$ (base case 2; $n=2$)

$$\Rightarrow P(2) = \frac{1}{1*(1+1)} + \frac{1}{2*(2+1)} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$n=2 \\ \therefore \frac{2}{2+1} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\Rightarrow LHS = RHS \quad (\because \text{base case 2 is true})$$

(3) $k=3$ (base case 3, $n=3$)

$$P(3) = \frac{1}{1*(1+1)} + \frac{1}{2*(2+1)} + \frac{1}{3*(3+1)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{8+1}{12} = \frac{9}{12} = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$n=3$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3}{3+1} = \frac{3}{4} \quad (\because LHS = RHS) \Rightarrow \text{base case 3 is done.}$$

Now lets assume $\Rightarrow P(n=Q)$ to be true.

$$\Rightarrow P(Q) = \sum_{k=1}^Q \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{Q}{Q+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1(1+1)} + \frac{1}{(1)(2+1)} + \frac{1}{(1)(3+1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{(Q)(Q+1)}$$
$$= \frac{Q}{Q+1} \quad \text{.....} \quad \textcircled{1}$$

Now lets consider $P(n=Q+1)$

$$\Rightarrow P(Q+1) = \sum_{k=1}^{Q+1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{Q+1}{(Q+1)+1} = \frac{Q+1}{Q+2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1(1+1)} + \frac{1}{(1)(2+1)} + \frac{1}{(1)(3+1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{(Q)(Q+1)}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{(Q+1)(Q+2)}$$

From $\textcircled{1}$ w.k.t $\frac{1}{1(1+1)} + \frac{1}{(1)(2+1)} + \frac{1}{(1)(3+1)} + \dots + \frac{1}{(Q)(Q+1)}$

$$= \frac{Q}{Q+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{Q}{(Q+1)} + \frac{1}{(Q+1)(Q+2)}$$

$$\frac{1}{(Q+1)} \left[Q + \frac{1}{(Q+2)} \right]$$

$$= \left[\frac{Q(Q+2) + 1}{(Q+2)} \right] \frac{1}{(Q+1)}$$

$$= \frac{Q^2 + 2Q + 1}{(Q+2)(Q+1)} \stackrel{\text{w.k.t}}{\Rightarrow} Q^2 + 2Q + 1 = (Q+1)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(Q+1)^2}{(Q+2)(Q+1)} \Rightarrow \frac{Q+1}{Q+2}$$

\therefore we have proved that $f(Q+1) = \sum_{k=1}^{Q+1} \frac{1}{(k)(k+1)} = \frac{Q+1}{Q+2}$

\therefore we have proved by induction that $\boxed{f(n)}$

$$\boxed{\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1, \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(k)(k+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}}$$

Problem-4 if A is a Square Matrix, if $\det(A) = 0$, then A is not invertible.

Definition of the inverse of a matrix.

The inverse of a Matrix A is denoted as A^{-1} .

$$\Rightarrow AA^{-1} = I = A^{-1}A$$

w.k.t if a matrix is invertible then its inverse exists.

$$\Rightarrow \det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$$

if $\det(A) = 0$, then $\frac{1}{\det(A)} = \frac{1}{0} \Rightarrow$ undefined.

\therefore if $\det(A) = 0$ then A is not invertible.

w.k.t $\det(AB) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$.

Let's assume that A is actually invertible.

$$\Rightarrow AA^{-1} = I = A^{-1}A$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(I) = 1 ; \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\det(A) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(I) = \det(A^{-1}) \det(A)$$

using w.k.t from (1) $\det(I) = 1$

$$\det(A^{-1}) \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow LHS \neq R.H.S$$

$$\therefore \det(I) \neq \det(A^{-1}) \det(A)$$

\therefore our assumption that A is invertible is false

$\Rightarrow A$ is non-invertible if $\det(A) = 0$

problem(b)

- (a) Prove that for all integers $n \geq 12$, there exists a non-negative integers k_1 & k_2 s.t $n = k_14 + k_25$.

Base Cases

→ let start with the least value of n ; $n=12$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad 12 = 3 \times 4 + 0 \times 5 = 12$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad 13 = 2 \times 4 + 5 \times 1 = 13$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad 14 = 1 \times 4 + 2 \times 5 = 14$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad 15 = 3 \times 5 + 0 \times 4 = 15$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad 16 = 4 \times 4 + 0 \times 5 = 16.$$

So for $n=12, 13, 14, 15, 16$ the statement holds good, these are the base-cases.

Induction hypothesis. - - - ①

lets assume that the statement is true for all integers $n \geq 12$ upto an integer k

i.e. for every $n=12, 13, \dots, k$ there exists a non-negative integers k_1 & k_2 s.t $n = k_14 + k_25$

Inductive Step.

we want to show the statement holds for $k+1 \leq n$

by ① there exists k_1 & k_2 s.t $n = k_14 + k_25$.

if $k \geq 12$ w.k.t $n = k_14 + k_25$ & because $k \geq 12$

we can subtract 4 or 5 from k & still represent the difference as a product of 4 or 5 so adding

1 to k must be a value that can be written as a product of 4 or 5. Since the method holds for any $k \geq 12$

the induction step is complete.

\therefore for $n \geq 12$, there exists non-negative integers $k_1 \& k_2$ s.t $n = k_1 4 + k_2 5$.

To check if the statement is true for $n \geq 8$.

Let's check for values below 12 as for $n \geq 12$ we have already proved the integers can be represented as $k_1 4 + k_2 5$.

$$n = 8; 8 = 2 \times 4 + 0 \times 5 = 8$$

$$n = 9; 9 = 1 \times 4 + 5 \times 1 = 9$$

$$n = 10; 10 = 5 \times 2 + 4 \times 0 = 10$$

$n = 11; 11 = \text{NA} \rightarrow$ it's impossible to represent 11 as $k_1 4 + k_2 5$.

(b) Prove that, for all even integers $n \geq 6$, there exists non-negative integers $k_1 \& k_2$ s.t $n = k_1 3 + k_2 5$.

Let's consider the base cases.

$$① n=6; 6 = 2 \times 3 + 0 \times 5 = 6 \quad (\text{As it is the least value})$$

$$② 8 = 5 \times 1 + 3 \times 1 = 8$$

$$③ 10 = 5 \times 2 + 3 \times 0 = 10$$

$$④ 12 = 3 \times 4 + 5 \times 0 = 12$$

$$⑤ 14 = 3 \times 3 + 5 \times 1 = 14$$

Thus our the base cases & for all the cases the statement $n = k_1 3 + k_2 5$ holds good.

Now let's assume that, for $n \geq 6$ to some value K , the statement $n = k_1 3 + k_2 5$ holds good & all these numbers are even.

$$K = k_1 3 + k_2 5 \rightarrow \text{(induction step)}$$

Now, we have to prove that it holds good for $K+2$.

\therefore for $n = k+2$ (as n is even)

$$\Rightarrow k+2 = \underbrace{(k_1 3 + k_2 5)}_K + 2$$

Now we can represent the above expression as follows.

$$\Rightarrow k+2 = (k_1 - 1) \times 3 + (k_2 + 1) \times 5$$

\therefore we are able to represent $k+2$ as some form of multiples of 3 & 5.

\therefore The statement holds true for $n = k+2$, even.

Thus for all values of $n \geq 6$ there exists non-negative integers k_1 & k_2 s.t $n = k_1 3 + 5k_2$

(7) we need to

(a) maximize $f(x) = x^T M x$ subjected to the constraint $g(x) = x^T x - 1 = 0$

$$L(x, \lambda) = x^T M x - \lambda(x^T x - 1) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (L(x, \lambda)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^T M x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\lambda(x^T x - 1)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^T x) - \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^T x) + \lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x^T M - \lambda(2x) = 0$$

$$Mx = \lambda x \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

\rightarrow Std eigen value problem. It says that x must be an eigen vector of matrix M & λ the corresponding eigen value

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (L(x, \lambda))' &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (x^T M x - \lambda(x^T x - 1)) \\ &= \cancel{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}} (x^T M x) - \cancel{\lambda} \cdot (x^T x - 1) \cdot \cancel{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\lambda)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (L(x, \lambda)) &= -(x^T x - 1) = 0\end{aligned}$$

This gives the constraint $x^T x = 1$ meaning x is a unit vector.

From ① (ie $Mx = \lambda x$) λ is the eigenvalue corresponding to the Eigen Vector.

so solving this will give the Eigen Value of M

$$\Rightarrow \text{lets consider } F(x) = x^T M x$$

$F(x) = x^T M x \Rightarrow (\lambda \text{ is the Eigen value corresponding to the Eigen Vector } x)$ fine

∴ the max value of $F(x)$ will be the max value of λ

& the min. value of $F(x)$ will be the min. value of λ

Conclusion

(a) The max value of $x^T M x$ subject to $x^T x = 1$ is λ_{\max} where λ_{\max} is the largest eigen value of M , & the corresponding Vector x is the Eigen Vector associated with λ_{\max}

(b) The min value of $x^T M x$ subject to $x^T x = 1$ is λ_{\min} where λ_{\min} is the min eigen value of M , & the corresponding Vector x is the Eigen Vector associated with λ_{\min}